arXiv:1401.0141v1 [math.AG] 31 Dec 2013

Cycle theory of relative correspondences

Masaki Hanamura

Abstract

We establish a theory of complexes of *relative correspondences*. The theory generalizes the known theory of complexes of correspondences of smooth projective varieties. It will be applied in the sequel of this paper to the construction of the triangulated category of motives over a base variety.

There is the theory of algebraic correspondences of smooth projective varieties for the Chow group and for the higher Chow group. We first recall the classical theory of correspondences for the Chow group. For smooth projective varieties X, Y over a field k, let $\mathrm{CH}^r(X \times Y)$ be the Chow group of codimension r cycles of $X \times Y$. An element of this group is said to be a correspondence from X to Y. Let Z be another smooth projective variety. For $u \in \mathrm{CH}^r(X \times Y)$ and $v \in \mathrm{CH}^s(Y \times Z)$, the composition $u \circ v \in \mathrm{CH}^{r+s-\dim Y}(X \times Z)$ is defined by

$$u \circ v = p_{13\,*}(p_{12}^* u \cdot p_{23}^* v)$$

where for example p_{12} is the projection from $X \times Y \times Z$ to $X \times Y$. One has associativity for composition: $(u \circ v) \circ w = u \circ (v \circ w)$. The theory of *motives* (to be precise, Chow motives) over k is based on this theory of correspondences. The basic idea is to consider the additive category where objects are smooth projective varieties, morphisms are given by correspondences, and composition given by composition of correspondences.

Instead of the Chow group one can take the higher Chow group, and still has the theory of correspondences. Recall for a variety X the cycle complex $(\mathcal{Z}^r(X, \bullet), \partial)$ is a chain complex where $\mathcal{Z}^r(X, n)$ is the free abelian group on the set of non-degenerate irreducible subvarieties V of $X \times \square^n$ meeting faces properly (see §0 for details). The boundary map ∂ is given by restricting cycles to codimension one faces and taking an alternating sum. The homology of this complex is the group $\mathrm{CH}^r(X, n)$. One has $\mathrm{CH}^r(X, 0) = \mathrm{CH}^r(X)$.

For elements $u \in \mathrm{CH}^r(X \times Y, n)$ and $v \in \mathrm{CH}^s(Y \times Z, m)$ the composition $u \circ v \in \mathrm{CH}^{r+s-\dim Y}(X \times Z, n+m)$ is defined by the same formula, and one has associativity. Indeed we can do this at the level of chain complexes. For X and Y smooth projective, $\mathcal{Z}^r(X \times Y, \bullet)$ is the complex of "higher" correspondences from X to Y. For $u \in \mathcal{Z}^r(X \times Y, n)$ and $v \in \mathcal{Z}^s(Y \times Z, m)$ the pull-backs $p_{12}^* u$ and $p_{23}^* v$ may not meet properly in $X \times Y \times Z \times \square^{n+m}$. But according to a moving lemma the subcomplex

$$\mathcal{Z}^r(X \times Y, \bullet) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}^s(Y \times Z, \bullet)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C25; Secondary 14C15, 14C35.
Key words: algebraic cycles, Chow group, motives.

of $\mathcal{Z}^r(X\times Y,\bullet)\otimes\mathcal{Z}^s(Y\times Z,\bullet)$ generated by elements $u\otimes v$, where u, v are non-degenerate irreducible subvarieties such that p_{12}^*u and p_{23}^*v meet properly, is a quasi-isomorphic subcomplex. For such u and v, the composition $u\circ v\in\mathcal{Z}^{r+s-\dim Y}(X\times Z,\bullet)$ is defined by the same formula, yielding a map of complexes

$$\rho:\mathcal{Z}^r(X\times Y,\bullet)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}^s(Y\times Z,\bullet)\to\mathcal{Z}^{r+s-\dim Y}(X\times Z,\bullet)\ .$$

If W is a fourth smooth projective variety, the subcomplex $\mathcal{Z}(X\times Y,\bullet)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(Y\times Z,\bullet)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(Z\times W,\bullet)$, generated by $u\otimes v\otimes w$ such that the triple $p_{12}^*u, p_{23}^*v, p_{34}^*w$ is properly intersecting on the four-fold product, is a quasi-isomorphic subcomplex. For such u,v,w, one has $u\circ v\circ w\in\mathcal{Z}(X\times W,\bullet)$ defined by $p_{14\,*}(p_{12}^*u\cdot p_{23}^*v\cdot p_{34}^*w)$, and the following holds: $u\circ v\circ w=(u\circ v)\circ w=u\circ(v\circ w)$.

Complexes $\mathcal{Z}(X\times Y,\bullet)$ and the partially defined composition were used in the construction of a theory of the triangulated category of *mixed motives* over k, see [6], [7]. An object of the category is *a diagram of smooth projective varieties* which consists of a sequence of smooth projective varieties and higher correspondences between them, subject to "cocycle" conditions.

We shall generalize this to relative correspondences. Let S be a quasi-projective variety over k. By a *smooth variety X over S* we mean a smooth variety over k, equipped with a projective map to S (the map $X\to S$ need not be smooth). The class of such varieties we denote by (Smooth/k, Proj/S). Let X and Y be smooth varieties over S. A natural choice for the complex of correspondences from X to Y would be $\mathcal{Z}_a(X\times_S Y,\bullet)$, the cycle complex of dimension a cycles of the fiber product $X\times_S Y$. Since the variety $X\times_S Y$ is not smooth, we replace this with another complex of abelian groups $F(X,Y)$. Concretely $F(X,Y)$ is the cone of the restriction map of the cycle complexes $\mathcal{Z}(X\times Y,\bullet)\to\mathcal{Z}(X\times Y-X\times_S Y,\cdot)$, shifted by -1. (To be precise one should keep track of the dimensions of the cycle complex, which we ignore now.) With this modification, we still does not have a partially defined composition map, but we achieve something close:

(1) There is an injective quasi-isomorphism of complexes $\mathcal{Z}(X\times_S Y,\bullet)\to F(X,Y)$.

(2) If Z is another smooth variety, projective over S, there is a quasi-isomorphic subcomplex

$$\iota:F(X,Y)\hat{\otimes}F(Y,Z)\hookrightarrow F(X,Y)\otimes F(Y,Z)\ .$$

(3) There is another complex $F(X,Y,Z)$ and a surjective quasi-isomorphism

$$\sigma:F(X,Y,Z)\to F(X,Y)\hat{\otimes}F(Y,Z)\ .$$

(4) There is a map of complexes $\varphi:F(X,Y,Z)\to F(X,Z)$.

In the derived category at least, one has an induced map $F(X,Y)\otimes F(Y,Z)\to F(X,Z)$ obtained by composing $(\iota\sigma)^{-1}$ and φ. This map plays the role of composition. One should note, in contrast to the case $S=\operatorname{Spec}k$, there is no composition map defined on $F(X,Y)\hat{\otimes}F(Y,Z)$; the composition φ is defined only on $F(X,Y,Z)$.

The pattern persists for more than three varieties. For the formulation it is convenient to change the notation as follows. In the above situation, write X_1, X_2 and X_3 in place of X,Y,Z; let

$$F(X_1,X_2,X_3\top\{2\}):=F(X_1,X_2)\otimes F(X_2,X_3)$$

and

$$F(X_1,X_2,X_3|\{2\}):=F(X_1,X_2)\hat{\otimes}F(X_2,X_3)\ .$$

Then the maps are of the form $\iota_2 : F(X_1, X_2, X_3 \rceil\{2\}) \hookrightarrow F(X_1, X_2, X_3|\{2\})$, $\sigma_2 : F(X_1, X_2, X_3) \to F(X_1, X_2, X_3 \mid \{2\})$, and $\varphi_2 : F(X_1, X_2, X_3) \to F(X_1, X_3)$. The generalization goes as follows.

(1) For each sequence of objects in (Smooth/k, Proj/S), $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ ($n \geq 2$), there corresponds a complex $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$. If $n = 2$ there is an injective quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{Z}(X_1 \times_S X_2, \bullet) \to F(X_1, X_2)$.

For a subset of integers $S = \{i_1, \cdots, i_{a-1}\} \subset (1, n)$, let $i_0 = 1$, $i_a = n$ and

$$F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \rceil S) := F(X_{i_0}, \cdots, X_{i_1}) \otimes F(X_{i_1}, \cdots, X_{i_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(X_{i_{a-1}}, \cdots, X_{i_a}) \ .$$

This is an a-tuple complex. There is an a-tuple complex $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)$ and an injective quasi-isomorphism

$$\iota_S : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S) \hookrightarrow F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \rceil S) \ .$$

We assume $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|\emptyset) = F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$.

(2) For $S \subset S'$ there is a surjective quasi-isomorphism of multiple complexes

$$\sigma_{S\,S'} : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S) \to F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S') \ .$$

For $S \subset S' \subset S''$, $\sigma_{S\,S''} = \sigma_{S'\,S''}\sigma_{S\,S'}$. In particular we have $\sigma_S := \sigma_{\emptyset\,S} : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \to F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)$.

(3) For $K = \{k_1, \cdots, k_b\} \subset (1, n)$ disjoint from S, a map of multiple complexes

$$\varphi_K : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S) \to F(X_1, \cdots, \widehat{X_{k_1}}, \cdots, \widehat{X_{k_b}}, \cdots, X_n|S) \ .$$

If K is the disjoint union of K' and K'', one has $\varphi_K = \varphi_{K'}\varphi_{K''}$.

(4) If K and S' are disjoint $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ and φ_K commute.

In §1 and 2 of this paper, we define the complexes $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ as above for a sequence of smooth quasi-projective varieties $X_1, \cdots, X_n$, each equipped with a projective map to the base variety S. We now explain the ideas for the construction in case $n \leq 3$.

In §1, given a smooth variety M and an open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ (indexed by a finite totally ordered set I) of an open set $U \subset M$, we define a complex $\mathcal{Z}(M, \mathcal{U})$ (called the Čech cycle complex) which is quasi-isomorphic to the cycle complex $\mathcal{Z}(A, \bullet)$ of $A = M - U$. If $\mathcal{U} = \{U\}$, the covering consisting of U only, $\mathcal{Z}(M, \mathcal{U})$ is the cone of the restriction map $\mathcal{Z}(M) \to \mathcal{Z}(U)$, shifted by -1. In general one replaces $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ by $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U})$, the Čech complex with respect to the covering.

Assume M' is another smooth variety, $\mathcal{U}'$ a finite ordered open covering of $U' \subset M'$; assume also there are smooth maps $q : M \to Y$ and $q' : M' \to Y$. Let $M \times_Y M'$ be the fiber product and $p : M \times_Y M' \to M$, $p' : M \times_Y M' \to M'$ be the projections. One has the covering $p^{-1}\mathcal{U} \amalg p'^{-1}\mathcal{U}'$ of the open set $p^{-1}U \cup p'^{-1}U'$ of $M \times_Y M'$. For $u \in \mathcal{Z}(M, \mathcal{U})$ and $v \in \mathcal{Z}(M', \mathcal{U}')$ one has the pull-backs $p^*u \in \mathcal{Z}(M \times_Y M', p^{-1}\mathcal{U})$ and $p'^*v \in \mathcal{Z}(M \times_Y M', p'^{-1}\mathcal{U}')$, and if they meet properly, their *product* is defined as an element of $\mathcal{Z}(M \times_Y M', p^{-1}\mathcal{U} \amalg p'^{-1}\mathcal{U}')$. Let $\mathcal{Z}(M, \mathcal{U})\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M', \mathcal{U}') \subset \mathcal{Z}(M, \mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M', \mathcal{U}')$ be the subcomplex generated by $u \otimes v$ for such u, v; this is called the *restricted tensor product*, and shown to be a quasi-isomorphic subcomplex. The product gives a map of complexes

$$\rho : \mathcal{Z}(M, \mathcal{U})\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M', \mathcal{U}') \to \mathcal{Z}(M \times_Y M', p^{-1}\mathcal{U} \amalg p'^{-1}\mathcal{U}') \ .$$

If $p : M \to N$ is a projective map, $\mathcal{V}$ a covering of an open set of $V \subset N$, then $p^{-1}\mathcal{V}$ is an open covering of $p^{-1}V \subset M$, and there is the projection map $p_* : \mathcal{Z}(M, p^{-1}\mathcal{V}) \to \mathcal{Z}(N, \mathcal{V})$.

If we apply this to $A = X \times_S Y \subset M = X \times Y$ and the covering consisting only of $U_{12} := M - A$, one obtains the complex $\mathcal{Z}(X \times Y, \{U_{12}\})$. If we set $F(X, Y)$ to be this complex our problem is partially solved. If Z is another variety over S, one has $F(Y, Z) = \mathcal{Z}(Y \times Z, \{U_{23}\})$ with $U_{23} = Y \times Z - Y \times_S Z$, and there is the product map

$$\rho : \mathcal{Z}(X \times Y, \{U_{12}\}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}(Y \times Z, \{U_{23}\}) \to \mathcal{Z}(X \times Y \times Z, \{p_{12}^{-1}(U_{12}), p_{23}^{-1}(U_{23})\}) \ .$$

The problem remains, since from the target of ρ there is no projection $p_{13\,*}$ to the cycle complex $\mathcal{Z}(X \times Z, \{U_{13}\})$ where $U_{13} = X \times Z - X \times_S Z$.

One notices here that there is the restriction map

$$r : \mathcal{Z}(X \times Y \times Z, \{U_{123}\}) \to \mathcal{Z}(X \times Y \times Z, \{p_{12}^{-1}(U_{12}), p_{23}^{-1}(U_{23})\}) \ ,$$

where $U_{123} := X \times Y \times Z - X \times_S Y \times_S Z$, since U_{123} contains both $p_{12}^{-1}(U_{12})$ and $p_{23}^{-1}(U_{23})$. The map r is a quasi-isomorphism, since both complexes are quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}(X \times_S Y \times_S Z)$. Assume for simplicity Y is projective. One then defines the projection along p_{13} as the composition

$$p_{13\,*} : \mathcal{Z}(X \times Y \times Z, \{U_{123}\}) \to \mathcal{Z}(X \times Y \times Z, \{p_{13}^{-1}U_{13}\}) \to \mathcal{Z}(X \times Z, \{U_{13}\}) = F(X, Z) \ .$$

Here the first map is the restriction, which is defined since $U_{123} \supset p_{13}^{-1}U_{13}$, and the second map is the projection along p_{13}. Consider now the double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathcal{Z}(X \times Y, \{U_{12}\}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}(Y \times Z, \{U_{23}\}) \\ & & \big\downarrow \rho \\ \mathcal{Z}(X \times Y \times Z, \{U_{123}\}) & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{Z}(X \times Y \times Z, \{p_{12}^{-1}(U_{12}), p_{23}^{-1}(U_{23})\}) \end{array}$$

where the upper right corner and lower left corner are placed in degree 0, and let $F(X, Y, Z)$ be the total complex. In other words it is the cone of $r + \rho$ shifted by -1. We thus have a homotopy commutative diagram of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X, Y, Z) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}(X \times Y, \{U_{12}\}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}(Y \times Z, \{U_{23}\}) \\ \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow \rho \\ \mathcal{Z}(X \times Y \times Z, \{U_{123}\}) & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{Z}(X \times Y \times Z, \{p_{12}^{-1}(U_{12}), p_{23}^{-1}(U_{23})\}) \end{array}$$

The required properties are satisfied with this: The map $\sigma : F(X, Y, Z) \to F(X, Y) \hat{\otimes} F(Y, Z)$ is given by the projection to $\mathcal{Z}(X \times Y, \{U_{12}\}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}(Y \times Z, \{U_{23}\})$, and the map $\varphi : F(X, Y, Z) \to F(X, Z)$ is obtained by composing the projection to $\mathcal{Z}(X \times Y \times Z, \{U_{123}\})$ with the map $p_{13\,*}$.

The construction of the complexes $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ for $n \geq 3$ and the maps σ, φ consists of a systematic generalization of the above. In §1 we discuss the properties of the complexes $\mathcal{Z}(M, \mathcal{U})$ and their functorial properties. We also study the restricted tensor product of them. Using these, we construct in §2 the complexes $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n | S)$, the maps ι, σ, φ, and verify the properties (1)-(4) above. As in case $n = 2, 3$ explained above, $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ is built up of complexes of the form $\mathcal{Z}(M, \mathcal{U})$ for appropriate $(M, \mathcal{U})$.

In §3 we study further properties of the complexes $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n | S)$ and show:

- The multiple complex $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ is a degreewise free $\mathbb{Z}$-module on a given set of generators $sF(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$.

- Let $S = \{i_1, \cdots, i_{a-1}\} \subset (1,n)$ and $I_j := [i_{j-1}, i_j]$ for $j = 1, \cdots, a$. For an element

$$(\alpha_j) \in \prod_{j=1,\cdots,a} sF(I_j)$$

we have the condition whether or not it is *properly intersecting*. (The condition reduces to the properly intersecting property in relevant cycle complexes.)

- The multiple complex $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)$ has an alternative description as the subcomplex generated by $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_a$ for $\alpha_j \in sF(I_j)$, with (α_j) properly intersecting.
- One can define a class of quasi-isomorphic subcomplexes of $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \top S)$ called *distinguished subcomplexes*. The careful exposition of the details takes a large part of §3.

The complex $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)$ is an example of a distinguished subcomplex. To give another typical example, let $n < m$ and given a sequence of varieties $X_1, \cdots, X_m$, a subset $S \subset (1,n)$, and an element $f \in sF(X_n, \cdots, X_m)$. The subcomplex $[F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)]'$ of $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \top S)$ generated by $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_a$ such that $\{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_a, f\}$ is properly intersecting is a distinguished subcomplex of $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \top S)$. One has by definition $[F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)]' \subset F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)$. Then the map

$$F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S) \to F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S) \otimes F(X_n, \cdots, X_m)$$

sending u to $u \otimes f$ restricts to give a map $[F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)]' \to F(X_1, \cdots, X_m|S \cup \{n\})$, as is obvious from the definitions.

In §4 we construct the *diagonal cycles* and the *diagonal extension* which play the role of the identity. Let $\Delta_X \in \mathcal{Z}(X \times_S X, 0)$ be the element given by the diagonal $X \subset X \times_S X$. Its image under the inclusion to $F(X,X)$ is also denoted Δ_X; it has degree 0 and boundary zero. One can construct, for $n \geq 2$, an element $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_X(1, \cdots, n) \in F(\overbrace{X, \cdots, X}^{n})$ of degree 0 with boundary zero, satisfying the properties (compatibility with the maps σ and φ) below. For the statement we introduce some notation. When X is understood, for any subset $I = \{j_1, \cdots, j_m\} \subset [1,n]$ set $F(I) = F(\overbrace{X, \cdots, X}^{m})$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_X(I) = \boldsymbol{\Delta}_X(j_1, \cdots, j_m) \in F(I)$. For $S \subset (1,n)$ let $\tau_S : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \to F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \top S)$ be the composition of σ_S and ι_S.

- One has $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_X(1,2) = \Delta_X \in F(X,X)$.
- If $S = \{i_1, \cdots, i_{a-1}\} \subset (1,n)$, and $I_j = [i_{j-1}, i_j]$ for $j = 1, \cdots, a$, one has

$$\tau_S(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_X(1, \cdots, n)\,) = \boldsymbol{\Delta}(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{\Delta}(I_a)$$

in $F(X, \cdots, X \top S) = F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_a)$.

- For $K \subset (1,n)$, $\varphi_K(\boldsymbol{\Delta}(1, \cdots, n)\,) = \boldsymbol{\Delta}([1,n] - K)$.

Let $\lambda : [1,m] \to [1,n]$ be a surjective map, and X be a sequence of objects in (Smooth/k, Proj/S) indexed by $[1,n]$. Let $\lambda^* X : i \mapsto X_{\lambda(i)}$ be the induced sequence of objects on $[1,m]$. We shall construct a map of complexes called the diagonal extension

$$\lambda^* : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \to F(X_{\lambda(1)}, \cdots, X_{\lambda(m)})$$

and show that it is compatible with the maps σ and φ in an appropriate sense.

The constructions and results in this paper show that the classes of smooth varieties over S, the complexes $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ and the maps σ, φ form a *quasi DG category*, a generalization

of a DG category, to be introduced in another paper [10]. A quasi DG category consists of a class of objects, complexes $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)$ for a sequence of objects, maps $\sigma_{S\,S'}$, φ_K and additional structure that are subject to a set of axioms. The axioms is an abstraction of the properties verified for the relative cycle complexes.

To be more precise, a *symbol* over S is by definition a formal finite sum $\bigoplus_\alpha (X_\alpha/S, r_\alpha)$ where X_α is a smooth variety over S and $r_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. To a finite sequence of symbols $K_1, \cdots, K_n$ $(n \geq 2)$ and a subset $S \subset (1, n)$ one can associate a complex of abelian groups $F(K_1, \cdots, K_n|S)$; if $K_i = (X_i, r_i)$, then $F(K_1, \cdots, K_n|S)$ is the complex $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)$, the integers r_i specifying the dimensions of the cycle complexes involved. (If $n = 2$, $F((X_1/S), (X_2/S))$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}_{\dim X_2 - r_2 + r_1}(X_1 \times_S X_2, \bullet)$.) One has maps $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ and φ_K for $F(K_1, \cdots, K_n|S)$ as well. The class of symbols over S, the complexes $F(K_1, \cdots, K_n|S)$, the maps $\sigma_{S\,S'}$, φ_K, along with additional structure – generating set for the complex, notion of properly intersecting elements, distinguished subcomplexes with respect to constraints, diagonal cycles and diagonal extension mentioned above – constitute a quasi DG category.

0 Basic notions.

Subsections (0.1) and (0.3) are used throughout this paper, (0.2) and (0.4) are needed in §§2 and 3.

(0.1) *Multiple complexes.* By a complex of abelian groups we mean a graded abelian group $A^\bullet$ with a map d of degree one satisfying $dd = 0$. If $u : A \to B$ and $v : B \to C$ are maps of complexes, we define $u \cdot v : A \to C$ by $(u \cdot v)(x) = v(u(x))$. So $u \cdot v$ is $v \circ u$ in the usual notation. As usual we also write vu for $v \circ u$ (but not for $v \cdot u$).

A double complex $A = (A^{i,j}; d', d'')$ is a bi-graded abelian group with differentials d' of degree $(1, 0)$, d'' of degree $(0, 1)$, satisfying $d'd'' + d''d' = 0$. Its total complex $\mathrm{Tot}(A)$ is the complex with $\mathrm{Tot}(A)^k = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} A^{i,j}$ and the differential $d = d' + d''$. In contrast a "double" complex $A = (A^{i,j}; d', d'')$ is a bi-graded abelian group with differentials d' of degree $(1, 0)$, d'' of degree $(0, 1)$, satisfying $d'd'' = d''d'$. Its total complex $\mathrm{Tot}(A)$ is given by $\mathrm{Tot}(A)^k = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} A^{i,j}$ and the differential $d = d' + (-1)^i d''$ on $A^{i,j}$. (Note that the totalization depends on the ordering of the two gradings; if we reverse the order, the corresponding totalization has differential $(-1)^j d' + d''$ on $A^{i,j}$.) A "double" complex can be viewed as a double complex by taking the differentials to be $(d', (-1)^i d'')$ (or, when we reverse the order, $((-1)^j d', d'')$).

Let (A, d_A) and (B, d_B) be complexes. Then $(A^{i,j} = A^j \otimes B^i; d_A \otimes 1, 1 \otimes d_B)$ is a "double" complex. Its total complex has differential d given by

$$d(x \otimes y) = (-1)^{\deg y} dx \otimes y + x \otimes dy$$

(for the tensor product complex, we always take the reverse order of the gradings for the totalization). Note this differs from the usual convention.

More generally for $n \geq 2$ one has the notion of n-tuple complex and "n-tuple" complex. An n-tuple (resp. "n-tuple") complex is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded abelian group $A^{i_1, \cdots, i_n}$ with differentials $d_1, \cdots, d_n$, d_k raising i_k by 1, such that for $k \neq \ell$, $d_k d_\ell + d_\ell d_k = 0$ (resp. $d_k d_\ell = d_\ell d_k$). An "n-tuple" complex $A^{i_1, \cdots, i_n}$ is an n-tuple complex with respect to the differentials $(d_1, (-1)^{\alpha_1} d_2, \cdots, (-1)^{\alpha_n} d_n)$ where $\alpha_k = \sum_{j<i} \deg_j$. In this way we turn an "n-tuple" into an n-tuple complex. (As for double complexes, one may reverse the order of the gradings; in

that case we will explicitly mention it.) A single complex $\mathrm{Tot}(A)$, called the total complex, is defined in either case.

As a variant one can define partial totalization. To explain it, let $S_1, \cdots, S_m$ be an ordered set of non-empty subsets of $[1,n] := \{1, \cdots, n\}$ such that $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and $\cup S_i = [1,n]$. Such data corresponds to a surjective map $f : [1,n] \to [1,m]$. Then, given an n-tuple complex (resp. an "n-tuple" complex) $A^{i_1,\cdots,i_n}$ one can "totalize" in degrees in S_i, and form an m-tuple (resp. "m-tuple") complex denoted $\mathrm{Tot}^{S_1,\cdots,S_m}(A)$ or $\mathrm{Tot}^f(A)$. Given surjective maps $f : [1,n] \to [1,m]$ and $g : [1,m] \to [1,\ell]$, one has $\mathrm{Tot}^g \mathrm{Tot}^f(A) = \mathrm{Tot}^{gf}(A)$. For example, if a subset $S = [k,\ell] \subset [1,n]$ is specified, one can "totalize" in degrees in S, so the result $\mathrm{Tot}^S(A)$ is an m-tuple (resp. "m-tuple") complex, where $m = n - |S| + 1$.

For n complexes $A_1^\bullet, \cdots, A_n^\bullet$, the tensor product $A_1^\bullet \otimes \cdots \otimes A_n^\bullet$ is an "n-tuple" complex. For the tensor product, we view it as an n-tuple complex with respect to the reverse order of the gradings. This n-tuple complex and its total complex will be still denoted $A_1^\bullet \otimes \cdots \otimes A_n^\bullet$.

The only difference between n-tuple and "n-tuple" complexes is that of signs. For the rest of this section, what we say for n-tuple complexes equally applies to "n-tuple" complexes.

If A is an n-tuple complex and B an m-tuple complex, and when $S = [k,\ell] \subset [1,n]$ with $m = n - |S| + 1$ is specified, one can talk of maps of m-tuple complexes $\mathrm{Tot}^S(A) \to B$. When the choice of S is obvious from the context, we just say maps of multiple complexes $A \to B$. For example if A is an n-tuple complex and B an $(n-1)$-tuple complex, for each set $S = [k,k+1]$ in $[1,n]$ one can speak of maps of $(n-1)$-tuple complexes $\mathrm{Tot}^S(A) \to B$; if $n = 2$ there is no ambiguity.

(0.1.1) *Multiple subcomplexes of a tensor product complex.* Let A and B be complexes. A double subcomplex $C^{i,j} \subset A^i \otimes B^j$ is a submodule closed under the two differentials. If $\mathrm{Tot}(C) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(A \otimes B)$ is a quasi-isomorphism, we say $C^{\bullet\bullet}$ is a quasi-isomorphic subcomplex. It is convenient to let $A^\bullet \hat{\otimes} B^\bullet$ denote such a subcomplex. (Note it does not mean the tensor product of subcomplexes of A and B.) Likewise a quasi-isomorphic multiple subcomplex of $A_1^\bullet \otimes \cdots \otimes A_n^\bullet$ is denoted $A_1^\bullet \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} A_n^\bullet$.

(0.2) *Tensor product of "double" complexes.* Let $A^{\bullet\bullet} = (A^{a,p}; d'_A, d''_A)$ be a "double" complex (so d' has degree $(1,0)$, d'' has degree $(0,1)$, and $d'd' = 0$, $d''d'' = 0$ and $d'd'' = d''d'$). The associated total complex $\mathrm{Tot}(A)$ has differential d_A given by $d_A = d' + (-1)^a d''$ on $A^{a,p}$. The association $A \mapsto \mathrm{Tot}(A)$ forms a functor. Let $(B^{b,q}; d'_B, d''_B)$ be another "double" complex. Then the tensor product of A and B as "double" complexes, denoted $A^{\bullet\bullet} \times B^{\bullet\bullet}$, is by definition the "double" complex $(E^{c,r}; d'_E, d''_E)$, where

$$E^{c,r} = \bigoplus_{a+b=c\,,p+q=r} A^{a,p} \otimes B^{b,q}$$

and $d'_E = (-1)^b d'_A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d'_B$, $d''_E = (-1)^q d''_A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d''_B$.

The tensor product complex $\mathrm{Tot}(A) \otimes \mathrm{Tot}(B)$ and the total complex of $A^{\bullet\bullet} \times B^{\bullet\bullet}$ are related as follows. There is an isomorphism of complexes

$$u : \mathrm{Tot}(A) \otimes \mathrm{Tot}(B) \to \mathrm{Tot}(A^{\bullet\bullet} \times B^{\bullet\bullet})$$

given by $u = (-1)^{aq} \cdot id$ on the summand $A^{a,p} \otimes B^{b,q}$.

Let $A^{\bullet\bullet}$, $B^{\bullet\bullet}$, $C^{\bullet\bullet}$ be "double" complexes. One has an obvious isomorphism of "double" complexes $(A^{\bullet\bullet} \times B^{\bullet\bullet}) \times C^{\bullet\bullet} = A^{\bullet\bullet} \times (B^{\bullet\bullet} \times C^{\bullet\bullet})$; it is denoted $A \times B \times C$. We will often

suppress the double dots for simplicity. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Tot}(A)\otimes\mathrm{Tot}(B)\otimes\mathrm{Tot}(C) & \xrightarrow{u\otimes 1} & \mathrm{Tot}(A\times B)\otimes\mathrm{Tot}(C) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\otimes u} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ \mathrm{Tot}(A)\otimes\mathrm{Tot}(B\times C) & \xrightarrow{u} & \mathrm{Tot}(A\times B\times C)\ . \end{array}$$

The composition defines an isomorphism $u : \mathrm{Tot}(A)\otimes\mathrm{Tot}(B)\otimes\mathrm{Tot}(C) \tilde{\to} \mathrm{Tot}(A\times B\times C)$.

One can generalize this to the case of tensor product of more than two "double" complexes. If $A_1, \cdots, A_n$ are "double" complexes, there is an isomorphism of complexes

$$u_n : \mathrm{Tot}(A_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathrm{Tot}(A_n) \to \mathrm{Tot}(A_1\times\cdots\times A_n)$$

which coincides with the above u if $n = 2$, and is in general a composition of u's in any order. As in case $n = 3$, one has commutative diagrams involving u's; we leave the details to the reader.

Let A, B, C be "double" complexes and $\rho : A^{\bullet\bullet}\times B^{\bullet\bullet} \to C^{\bullet\bullet}$ be a map of "double" complexes, namely it is bilinear and for $\alpha\in A^{a,p}$ and $\beta\in B^{b,q}$,

$$d'\rho(\alpha\otimes\beta) = \rho((-1)^b d'\alpha\otimes\beta + \alpha\otimes d'\beta)$$

and

$$d''\rho(\alpha\otimes\beta) = \rho((-1)^q d''\alpha\otimes\beta + \alpha\otimes d''\beta)\ .$$

Composing $\mathrm{Tot}(\rho) : \mathrm{Tot}(A\times B) \to \mathrm{Tot}(C)$ with $u : \mathrm{Tot}(A)\otimes\mathrm{Tot}(B) \tilde{\to} \mathrm{Tot}(A\times B)$, one obtains the map

$$\hat{\rho} : \mathrm{Tot}(A)\otimes\mathrm{Tot}(B) \to \mathrm{Tot}(C)\ ;$$

it is given given by $(-1)^{aq}\cdot\rho$ on the summand $A^{a,p}\otimes B^{b,q}$.

The same holds for a map of "double" complexes $\rho : A_1\times\cdots\times A_n \to C$.

Remark. One could discuss more general sign rules for the change of ordering of the set of gradings of multiple complexes. We have restricted our discussions to the case we will need in §2.

(0.3) *Finite ordered sets, partitions and segmentations.* Let I be a non-empty finite totally ordered set (we will simply say a finite ordered set), so $I = \{i_1, \cdots, i_n\}, i_1 < \cdots < i_n$, where $n = |I|$. The *initial* (resp. *terminal*) element of I is i_1 (resp. i_n); let $\mathrm{in}(I) = i_1$, $\mathrm{tm}(I) = i_n$. If $n \geq 2$, let $\overset{\circ}{I} = I - \{\mathrm{in}(I), \mathrm{tm}(I)\}$.

If $I = \{i_1, \cdots, i_n\}$, a subset I' of the form $[i_a, i_b] = \{i_a, \cdots, i_b\}$ is called a *sub-interval*.

In the main body of the paper, for the sake of concreteness we often assume $I = [1, n] = \{1, \cdots, n\}$, a subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. More generally a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ is an example of a finite ordered set.

A *partition* of I is a disjoint decomposition into sub-intervals $I_1, \cdots, I_a$ such that there is a sequence of elememtns $\mathrm{in}(I) = i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_{a-1} < i_a = \mathrm{tm}(I)$ so that $I_k = [i_{k-1}, i_k - 1]$.

So far we have assumed I and I_i to be of cardinality ≥ 1. In some contexts we allow only finite ordered sets with at least two elements. There instead of partition the following notion plays a role. Given a subset of $\overset{\circ}{I}$, $\Sigma = \{i_1, \cdots, i_{a-1}\}$, where $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{a-1}$, one has a decomposition of I into the sub-intervals $I_1, \cdots, I_a$, where $I_k = [i_{k-1}, i_k]$, with $i_0 = \mathrm{in}(I)$, $i_a = \mathrm{tm}(I)$. Thus the sub-intervals satisfy $I_k \cap I_{k+1} = \{i_k\}$ for $k = 1, \cdots, a-1$. The sequence of

sub-intervals $I_1, \cdots, I_a$ is called the *segmentation* of I corresponding to Σ. (The terminology is adopted to distinguish it from the partition).

Finite ordered sets of cardinality ≥ 1 and partitions appear in connection with a sequence of fiberings. On the other hand, finite ordered sets of cardinality ≥ 2 and segmentations appear when we consider a sequence of varieties (or an associated sequence of fiberings). See below.

(0.4) *Sequence of fiberings* (§1). Let $n \geq 1$. A *sequence of fiberings* consists of smooth varieties M_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and Y_i $(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$, together with smooth maps $M_i \to Y_i$ and $M_{i+1} \to Y_i$. For a sub-interval $I = [j,k] \subset [1,n]$ of cardinality ≥ 1, one defines M_I to be the fiber product $M_j \times_{Y_j} M_{j+1} \times \cdots \times_{Y_{k-1}} M_k$. If $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ is a partition of $[1,n]$, then one has smooth varieties $M_{I_1}, \cdots, M_{I_c}$, which form a sequence of smooth varieties over appropriate Y's.

(0.5)*Sequence of varieties* (§2). Let $n \geq 2$. A *sequence of smooth varieties* over S is a set of smooth varieties X_i indexed by $i \in [1,n]$, where each X_i is equipped with a projective map to S. For a sub-interval $I = [j,k]$ of cardinality ≥ 2, let X_I be the direct product $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$. Given an segmentation $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ corresponding to $\Sigma = \{i_k\}$, the varieties X_{I_t} and the projections to X_{i_k} form a sequence of fiberings.

1 The Čech cycle complexes $\mathcal{Z}(M, \mathcal{U})$

Let k be a fixed ground field. By a smooth variety over k we mean a smooth quasi-projective equi-dimensional variety over k. We refer to §0 for cycle complexes and finite ordered sets.

(1.1) *I-coverings.* Let M be a smooth variety over k, $A \subset M$ a closed set, and $U := M - A$. Let I be a finite ordered set. An open covering of U indexed by I (or just an *I-covering* of U) is a set of open sets $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$, with $\cup_i U_i = U$. It is also denoted by $(I, \mathcal{U})$.

If $V \subset M$ is another open set, J is another finite ordered set and $\mathcal{V} = \{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ a J-covering of V, a map of coverings $(I, \mathcal{U}) \to (J, \mathcal{V})$, or just $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ for short, is an order preserving map $\lambda : J \to I$ such that $U_{\lambda(j)} \supset V_j$ for $j \in J$. One then has $V \subset U$. We thus have the category of I-coverings of open sets of M, for varying I; it is denoted by $Cov(\mathcal{O}(M))$. The subcategory of I-coverings of a given $U \subset M$ is denoted $Cov(U \subset M)$, or just $Cov(U)$.

If $\mathcal{U}$ is an I-covering of U and $\lambda : J \to I$ is an order preserving map, define $\lambda^*\mathcal{U}$ to be the J-covering of $U' = \cup_j U_{\lambda(j)}$ given by $j \mapsto U_{\lambda(j)}$. There is a natural map of coverings $\lambda^* : (I, \mathcal{U}) \to (J, \lambda^*\mathcal{U})$. For composition of maps λ, $\lambda^*\mathcal{U}$ is contravariantly functorial. A map of coverings $\lambda : (I, \mathcal{U}) \to (J, \mathcal{V})$ factors as $(I, \mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} (J, \lambda^*\mathcal{U}) \to (J, \mathcal{V})$.

If $\mathcal{U}$ is an I-covering of U and $\mathcal{U}'$ an I'-covering of U' then one has an $I \amalg I'$-covering $\mathcal{U} \amalg \mathcal{U}'$ of $U \cup U'$. Here $I \amalg I'$ is ordered so that $i < i'$ for $i \in I$ and $i' \in I'$.

For the rest of this section, without so mentioning an indexing set I is always finite ordered, and a map between them is always order preserving.

The notion of coverings and maps can be defined for I unordered or infinite. For our purposes we restrict to finite ordered indexing sets.

(1.2) *The complex $\mathcal{Z}(M, \mathcal{U})$.* For a quasi-projective variety X and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathcal{Z}_s(X, \cdot)$ denote the cubical cycle complex as recalled in §0; we will abbreviate it to $\mathcal{Z}_s(X)$ or $\mathcal{Z}(X)$, as long as there is no confusion. We have the cycle complex $\mathcal{Z}_s(U, \cdot)$ for an open set $U \subset M$.

For an I-covering $\mathcal{U}$ of U, we define a complex denoted $\mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U})$ to be the total complex associated to the double complex $Z^{\bullet\bullet}$ given as follows. Let

$$Z^{0,q} = \mathcal{Z}(M,-q)\ ,$$

and for $p \geq 0$,

$$Z^{p+1,q} = \bigoplus_{i_0<\cdots<i_p} \mathcal{Z}(U_{i_0,\cdots,i_p},-q)$$

where $U_{i_0,\cdots,i_p} = U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_p}$. An element of $Z^{p+1,q}$ is $\alpha = (\alpha_{i_0,\cdots,i_p})$ with $\alpha_{i_0,\cdots,i_p} \in \mathcal{Z}(U_{i_0,\cdots,i_p},-q)$. It is convenient to set $U_\emptyset = M$, and when $p=-1$, we interpret $(i_0,\cdots,i_p)=\emptyset$, so $\alpha_\emptyset \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$. With this convention, the differential δ of degree $(1,0)$ is given by sending $\alpha \in \bigoplus \mathcal{Z}(U_{i_0,\cdots,i_p})$ to

$$\delta(\alpha)_{i_0,\cdots,i_{p+1}} = \sum (-1)^r\, \alpha_{i_0,\cdots,\widehat{i_r},\cdots,i_{p+1}}|U_{i_0,\cdots,i_{p+1}}\ .$$

The differential ∂ of degree $(0,1)$ is the boundary map of each cycle complex. The differential of the total complex is $\delta + (-1)^p\partial$ on $Z^{p,q}$. We shall denote it by ∂ by abuse of notation, as long as there is no confusion. Thus the total complex is of the form

$$\mathcal{Z}_s(M,\mathcal{U}) = \big[\mathcal{Z}(M) \xrightarrow{\delta} \bigoplus \mathcal{Z}(U_{i_0}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \bigoplus_{i_0<i_1} \mathcal{Z}(U_{i_0\,i_1}) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{i_0<\cdots<i_p} \mathcal{Z}(U_{i_0,\cdots,i_p}) \to \cdots\big]\ .$$

Note that the natural map

$$\iota : \mathcal{Z}_s(A) \to \mathcal{Z}_s(M,\mathcal{U})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. This follows from the localization theorem for the cycle complex [2].

If $(J,\mathcal{V})$ covers V, and $\lambda : (I,\mathcal{U}) \to (J,\mathcal{V})$ a map of coverings, there is the induced map of complexes (called the *restriction map*)

$$\mathcal{Z}(M,\lambda) : \mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{V})\ ;$$

thus we have a functor $\mathcal{Z}(M,-)$ from the category $Cov(\mathcal{O}(M))$ to $C(Ab)$. The following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}(M,\lambda)} & \mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{V}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{Z}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}(B)\ . \end{array}$$

Here $B = M - V$, and the bottom is the map induced by inclusion.

As special cases of $\mathcal{Z}(M,\lambda)$, we have two types, as follows. If $I = J$ and $\mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{V}$ are coverings such that $V_i \subset U_i$, one has the map

$$\mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{V})\ .$$

If $\lambda : J \to I$ is a map and $\mathcal{V} = \lambda^*\mathcal{U}$, then $\lambda^* : (I,\mathcal{U}) \to (J,\lambda^*\mathcal{U})$ induces the map

$$\lambda^* : \mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{Z}(M,\lambda^*\mathcal{U})\ .$$

In general, the map $\mathcal{Z}(M,\lambda)$ is a composition of these two types of maps.

(1.3) *Push-forward and pull-back.* If $p : M \to N$ is a projective map, $B \subset N$ a closed set with complement V such that $p^{-1}V = U$ and $\mathcal{V} \in Cov(V \subset N)$, then $p^{-1}\mathcal{V} \in Cov(U \subset M)$

is defined in the obvious manner, and push-forward on cycle complexes induces a map (also called the push-forward)

$$p_* : \mathcal{Z}_s(M, p^{-1}\mathcal{V}) \to \mathcal{Z}_s(N, \mathcal{V}) \ .$$

It is compatible with $p_* : \mathcal{Z}_s(A) \to \mathcal{Z}_s(B)$ via the maps ι.

The push-forward is covariantly functorial in p. It commutes with the maps of functoriality for coverings: For a map $\lambda : (I, \mathcal{U}) \to (J, \mathcal{V})$ of coverings in $Cov(\mathcal{O}(N))$, one has the induced map of coverings $\lambda : (I, p^{-1}\mathcal{U}) \to (J, p^{-1}\mathcal{V})$ in $Cov(\mathcal{O}(M))$, and the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}(M, p^{-1}\mathcal{U}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}(M,\lambda)} & \mathcal{Z}(M, p^{-1}\mathcal{V}) \\ {\scriptstyle p_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_*} \\ \mathcal{Z}(N, \mathcal{U}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}(N,\lambda)} & \mathcal{Z}(N, \mathcal{V}) \ . \end{array}$$

Let $p : M \to N$ be a smooth map of relative dimension d. For $\mathcal{V} \in Cov(V \subset N)$, the pull-back map

$$p^* : \mathcal{Z}_s(N, \mathcal{V}) \to \mathcal{Z}_{s+d}(M, p^{-1}\mathcal{V})$$

is defined. It is compatible with the pull-back map

$$p^* : \mathcal{Z}_s(B) \to \mathcal{Z}_{s+d}(p^{-1}B)$$

(B is the complement of V) via the maps ι. The pull-back is contravariantly functorial in p. It commutes with the functoriality maps for coverings $\mathcal{V}$.

(1.4) *Restricted tensor product and the product map.* Let M, M' and Y be smooth varieties and $q : M \to Y$, $q' : M' \to Y$ be *smooth* maps of varieties. Let $M \diamond M' := M \times_Y M'$ and $p : M \diamond M' \to M$, $p' : M \diamond M' \to M'$ be the projections.



Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $c = a + b - \dim Y$. We define the subcomplex

$$\mathcal{Z}_a(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}_b(M') \subset \mathcal{Z}_a(M) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_b(M')$$

to be the submodule generated by elements $\alpha \otimes \beta \in \mathcal{Z}_a(M) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_b(M')$ (α and β are assumed to be irreducible, non-degenerate) such that $p^*\alpha$ and $p'^*\beta$ meet properly in $M \diamond M'$, and that the product $p^*\alpha \cdot p'^*\beta \in \mathcal{Z}_c(M \diamond M')$. We define

$$\alpha \circ_Y \beta = \alpha \circ \beta := p^*\alpha \cdot p'^*\beta \ .$$

(We say briefly that the condition is that $\alpha \circ \beta \in \mathcal{Z}(M \diamond M')$ be defined.)

Then the following conditions are satisfied; (i) is non-trivial and will be proved later in this section, and the rest are immediate from the definitions.

(i) The inclusion of the subcomplex is a quasi-isomorphism.

(ii) There is a map of complexes

$$\rho_Y = \rho : \mathcal{Z}_a(M)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}_b(M') \to \mathcal{Z}_c(M \diamond M')$$

which sends $\alpha \otimes \beta$ to $\alpha \circ_Y \beta$.

(iii) If $\pi : N \to M$ is a smooth map of dimension d, the pull-back $\pi^* \otimes id : \mathcal{Z}_a(M) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_b(M') \to \mathcal{Z}_{a+d}(N) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_b(M')$ takes the subcomplex $\mathcal{Z}_a(M)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}_b(M')$ into $\mathcal{Z}_{a+d}(N)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}_b(M')$. We thus have the induced map of complexes

$$\pi^* \otimes id : \mathcal{Z}_a(M)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}_b(M') \to \mathcal{Z}_{a+d}(N)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}_b(M') \ .$$

This applies in particular to open immersions $\pi : N \to M$. Similar property holds for pull-backs in M'.

If $\pi : M \to N$ is a projective map, the push-forward $\pi_* \otimes id : \mathcal{Z}_a(M) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_b(M') \to \mathcal{Z}_a(N) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_b(M')$ takes the subcomplex $\mathcal{Z}_a(M)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}_b(M')$ into $\mathcal{Z}_a(N)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}_b(M')$:

$$\pi_* \otimes id : \mathcal{Z}_a(M)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}_b(M') \to \mathcal{Z}_a(N)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}_b(M') \ .$$

Similar property holds for push-forward in M'.

To state the next level of generalization, let $M_1 \to Y_1 \leftarrow M_2 \to Y_2 \leftarrow M_3$ be a sequence of smooth varieties and smooth maps. We have $M_i \diamond M_{i+1} = M_i \times_{Y_i} M_{i+1}$ as before, and $M_1 \diamond M_2 \diamond M_3 = M_1 \times_{Y_1} M_2 \times_{Y_2} M_3$. Note $M_1 \diamond M_2 \diamond M_3 = (M_1 \diamond M_2) \diamond M_3 = M_1 \diamond (M_2 \diamond M_3)$. Let $p_i : M_1 \diamond M_2 \diamond M_3 \to M_i$ be the projection. We assume that the projection $M_1 \diamond M_2 \diamond M_3 \to M_1 \times M_3$ is smooth. (This is called a sequence of fiberings on $[1, 3]$.)



Define the subcomplex

$$\mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3) \subset \mathcal{Z}(M_1) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_2) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_3)$$

as follows. (In what follows we will not specify the dimensions a_i for $\mathcal{Z}_{a_i}(M_i)$.) It is generated by $\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2 \otimes \alpha_3 \in \mathcal{Z}(M_1) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_2) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_3)$ (α_i are assumed irreducible, non-degenerate) satisfying the two conditions:

(i) The set of cycles

$$\{p_1^*\alpha_1, p_2^*\alpha_2, p_3^*\alpha_3\}$$

is properly intersecting in $M_1 \diamond M_2 \diamond M_3$. (A set of cycles $\{z_1, \cdots, z_r\}$ on a smooth variety M is defined to be properly intersecting if any for any sequence $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq r$, the intersection $z_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap z_{i_s}$ is empty or has codimension equal to the sum of the codimensions of z_{i_k}.)

(ii) The intersections

$$p_1^*\alpha_1 \cdot p_2^*\alpha_2, \quad p_2^*\alpha_2 \cdot p_3^*\alpha_3, \quad \text{and} \quad p_1^*\alpha_1 \cdot p_2^*\alpha_2 \cdot p_3^*\alpha_3$$

are all in $\mathcal{Z}(M_1 \diamond M_2 \diamond M_3)$.

One sets

$$\alpha_1 \underset{Y_1}{\circ} \alpha_2 \underset{Y_2}{\circ} \alpha_3 := p_1^*\alpha_1 \cdot p_2^*\alpha_2 \cdot p_3^*\alpha_3 \in \mathcal{Z}(M_1 \diamond M_2 \diamond M_3).$$

We have $\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(M_1 \diamond M_2)$, and $(\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2) \otimes \alpha_3 \in \mathcal{Z}(M_1 \diamond M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3)$. Similarly $\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_3 \in \mathcal{Z}(M_2 \diamond M_3)$ and $\alpha_1 \otimes (\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_3) \in \mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2 \diamond M_3)$. Further,

$$\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 \circ \alpha_3 = (\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2) \circ \alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \circ (\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_3) \ .$$

The following statements hold, the only non-trivial one being the first assertion in (i).

(i) The inclusion of the subcomplex is a quasi-isomorphism. There are also inclusions

$$\mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3) \subset (\mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2)) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_3)$$

and

$$\mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3) \subset \mathcal{Z}(M_1) \otimes (\mathcal{Z}(M_2))\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3)) \ .$$

(ii) There is a map of complexes

$$\rho_{Y_1} : \mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3) \to \mathcal{Z}(M_1 \diamond M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3)$$

which sends $\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2 \otimes \alpha_2$ to $(\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2) \otimes \alpha_3$. Similarly there is a map

$$\rho_{Y_2} : \mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3) \to \mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2 \diamond M_3) \ .$$

Also we have a map

$$\rho_{Y_1Y_2} : \mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3) \to \mathcal{Z}(M_1 \diamond M_2 \diamond M_3)$$

which sends $\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2 \otimes \alpha_3$ to $\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 \circ \alpha_3$. One has $\rho_{Y_1Y_2} = \rho_{Y_2}\rho_{Y_1}$, where ρ_{Y_2} is the product map $\mathcal{Z}(M_1 \diamond M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3) \to \mathcal{Z}(M_1 \diamond M_2 \diamond M_3)$. Similarly $\rho_{Y_1Y_2} = \rho_{Y_1}\rho_{Y_2}$.

We may shorten the notation and write ρ_i for ρ_{Y_i} and ρ_{12} for $\rho_{Y_1Y_2}$. We may alternatively write $\rho([1,2],[3])$ for ρ_{Y_1}, $\rho([1],[2,3])$ for ρ_{Y_2}, and $\rho([1,3])$ for $\rho_{Y_1Y_2}$. Here $[j,k]$ denotes the set of integers between j and k.

The inclusions and the product maps are compatible in the sense that the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{Y_1}} & \mathcal{Z}(M_1 \diamond M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{incl}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{incl}} \\ \mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_3) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{Y_1}\otimes 1} & \mathcal{Z}(M_1 \diamond M_2) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_3) \ . \end{array}$$

Similarly for ρ_{Y_2}.

(iii) If $\pi : N_1 \to M_1$ is a smooth map of dimension d, the pull-back

$$\pi^* \otimes 1 \otimes 1 : \mathcal{Z}(M_1) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_2) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_3) \to \mathcal{Z}(N_1) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_2) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_3)$$

takes the subcomplex $\mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3)$ into $\mathcal{Z}(N_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3)$. So the map $\pi^* \otimes 1 \otimes 1 : \mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3) \to \mathcal{Z}(N_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3)$ is defined. Similar property holds in each M_i.

If $\pi : M_1 \to N_1$ is a projective map, the push-forward

$$\pi_* \otimes 1 \otimes 1 : \mathcal{Z}(M_1) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_2) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_3) \to \mathcal{Z}(N_1) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_2) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_3)$$

takes the $\mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3)$ into $\mathcal{Z}(N_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_2)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_3)$. Similar property holds in each M_i.

In the sequel of this section, we will give generalizations of these. We first give the notion of a sequence of fiberings.

(1.5) *Sequence of fiberings.* Let $n \geq 1$. A *sequence of fiberings* (M, Y) indexed by $[1, n]$ consists of smooth varieties M_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and Y_i $(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ together with smooth maps $M_i \to Y_i$ and $M_{i+1} \to Y_i$.



Given such, for any sub-interval $I = [j, k] \subset [1, n]$, let

$$M_I = M_j \diamond M_{j+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond M_k \ .$$

There are projection maps $M_I \to M_{I'}$ for $I' \subset I$, and in particular, the map $p_i : M_I \to M_i$ for each $i \in I$.

We will always assume the following condition:

(*) For each triple $1 \leq j < k < \ell \leq n$, the product of projections

$$M_{[j,\ell]} \to M_{[j,k-1]} \times M_{[k+1,\ell]}$$

is smooth.

This is naturally satisfied for the sequence of fiberings arising from a sequence of varieties (see §2). It simplifies some of our considerations (see (1.7.3) for example).

Of course one can also define a sequence of fiberings indexed by any finite ordered set instead of $[1, n]$.

(1.6) *Distinguished subcomplexes of cycle complexes.* In [3] Bloch showed, for a smooth variety X, the subcomplex of $\mathcal{Z}(X, \cdot)$ consisting of the cycles meeting a given set of subvarieties of X properly is a quasi-isomorphic subcomplex. We discuss a generalization of this.

Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety. A finite set $\{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n\}$ of irreducible subvarieties of X is *properly intersecting* if for any subset $\{i_1, \cdots, i_r\}$ of $\{1, \cdots, n\}$, the intersection $\alpha_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap \alpha_{i_r}$ is empty or has codimension equal to the sum of the codimensions of α_{i_k}. A set of

cycles $\{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n\}$ is properly intersecting if, for any choice of irreducible components β_i of α_i, the set $\{\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_n\}$ is properly intersecting. In this case, the intersection cycle $\alpha_{i_1} \cdot \alpha_{i_2} \cdot \cdots \cdot \alpha_{i_r}$ is well-defined, independent of the order of taking intersections.

Let $X_1, \cdots, X_r$ be smooth quasi-projective varieties. For a sequence of integers $s_1, \cdots, s_r$, we have the cycle complexes $\mathcal{Z}_{s_i}(X_i)$ and their tensor product $\mathcal{Z}_{s_1}(X_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Z}_{s_r}(X_r)$. We will consider a class of subcomplexes of this tensor product complex. From now we will usually drop the dimensions from the notation.

Consider now triples of the form $(T; W; P)$ where

(a) T is another smooth variety, and W is an admissible cycle on $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_r \times T \times \square^\ell$ for some ℓ (*admissible* means meeting faces properly).

(b) P is a subset of $[1, r]$.

Let $W = \{(T_\lambda; W_\lambda; P_\lambda)\}_{\lambda=1,\cdots,c}$ be a finite set of triples; thus W_λ is an admissible cycle on $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_r \times T_\lambda \times \square^{\ell_\lambda}$. We define an r-tuple subcomplex, called the *distinguished subcomplex* with respect to W,

$$[\mathcal{Z}(X_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Z}(X_r)]_W \subset \mathcal{Z}(X_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Z}(X_r)\,,$$

to be the subgroup generated by elements

$$\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_r \in \mathcal{Z}(X_1, n_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Z}(X_r, n_r)$$

where α_i are irreducible non-degenerate subvarieties of $X_i \times \square^{n_i}$ satisfying the following condition:

(PI) For each λ, the set of cycles

$$\{\pi_i^* \alpha_i \text{ (for } i \in P_\lambda),\ W_\lambda, \text{ faces}\,\}$$

is properly intersecting in $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_r \times T_\lambda \times \square^{n_1+\cdots+n_r} \times \square^{\ell_\lambda}$. Here we employ the following obvious abuse of notation:

- π_i denotes also the projection $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_r \times T_\lambda \times \square^{n_1+\cdots+n_r} \times \square^{\ell_\lambda} \to X_i \times \square^{n_i}$;
- W_λ denotes its pull-back by the projection $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_r \times T_\lambda \times \square^{n_1+\cdots+n_r} \times \square^{\ell_\lambda} \to X_1 \times \cdots \times X_r \times T_\lambda \times \square^{\ell_\lambda}$;
- a face means the pull-back of a face, namely a closed set of the form $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_r \times T_\lambda \times F$ where F is a face of $\square^{n_1+\cdots+n_r} \times \square^{\ell_\lambda}$.

Remarks. (1) Let $T = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_c$ and $p_\lambda : T \to T_\lambda$ be the projection. Then the set of triples

$$\{(T; p_\lambda^{-1} W_\lambda; P_\lambda)\}$$

gives the same distinguished subcomplex. Thus we will usually assume $T = T_\lambda$ in our discussion of distinguished subcomplexes.

(2) The class of distinguished subcomplexes is closed under finite intersections and tensor product. For the latter, if $W = \{(T; W_\lambda; P_\lambda)\}$ specifies a distinguished subcomplex of $\mathcal{Z}(X_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Z}(X_n)$, and $W' = \{(T'; W'_\mu; P'_\mu)\}$ specifies a distinguished subcomplex of $\mathcal{Z}(X'_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Z}(X'_m)$, then the "union" of them,

$$\{(T; W_\lambda; P_\lambda)\} \cup \{(T'; W'_\mu; P'_\mu)\}$$

(where W_λ, say, stands for its pull-back by the projection $X_1 \times \cdots X_n \times X'_1 \times \cdots \times X'_m \to X_1 \times \cdots X_n$, and P_λ is viewed as a subset of $[1, n] \amalg [1', m']$) specifies the tensor product of the two subcomplexes.

(1.6.1)**Theorem.** *The inclusion* $[\mathcal{Z}(X_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(X_r)]_W \subset \mathcal{Z}(X_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(X_r)$ *is a quasi-isomorphism.*

This is proved in case X_i are smooth projective in [7], §1, generalizing [3]. (To be precise, the proof in [7] is for the case $r \leq 2$, but the proof is the same for any r).

The case X_i are smooth quasi-projective is similar. We sketch here an argument due to A. Krishna (as communicated to us by M. Levine). Assume $r = 1$, so we must show $\mathcal{Z}_W(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(X)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Take a projective closure $\bar{X}$ of X, let $Z = \bar{X} - X$, and consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{Z}(Z) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}(\bar{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}(X) \\ \| & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{Z}(Z) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}_W(\bar{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}_W(X)\ . \end{array}$$

By the localization theorem [2] we know the map $\mathcal{Z}(\bar{X})/\mathcal{Z}(Z) \to \mathcal{Z}(X)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. The same proof shows $\mathcal{Z}_W(\bar{X})/\mathcal{Z}(Z) \to \mathcal{Z}_W(X)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. The argument in [3] shows $\mathcal{Z}_W(\bar{X}) \to \mathcal{Z}(\bar{X})$ is a quasi-isomorphism; although $\bar{X}$ is singular, the same proof works since W is contained in the smooth locus of $\bar{X}$. Hence one obtains the conclusion. We leave the case $r \geq 2$ to the reader.

Remarks. (1) For simplicity the defining condition (PI) may be phrased as follows, dropping π^* and $\square^{n_1+\cdots+n_r}\times\square^{\ell_\lambda}$: For each λ, the set

$$\{\alpha_i\,(\text{for } i\in P),\ W_\lambda,\ \text{faces}\,\}$$

is properly intersecting in $X_1\times\cdots\times X_r\times T$.

(2) The condition (PI) is equivalent to: For each λ and each face F of $\square^{n_1+\cdots+n_r}\times\square^{\ell_\lambda}$, the set of cycles $\{\alpha_i\ (i\in P)\,,\ W_\lambda\cap F\,\}$ is properly intersecting in $X_1\times\cdots\times X_r\times T$. This follows from the following lemma.

(1.6.2)**Lemma.** *Let X be a smooth variety, $\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n$ be cycles on X, and $z_1,\cdots,z_m$ be properly intersecting cycles on X. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n,z_1,\cdots,z_m\}$ is properly intersecting in X.

(ii) For each intersection $z_{j_1}\cap\cdots\cap z_{j_p}$, where $1\leq j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_p\leq m$, the set

$$\{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n,z_{j_1}\cap\cdots\cap z_{j_p}\}$$

is properly intersecting in X.

(1.6.3)**Example.** Distinguished subcomplexes may be given as follows. Let $\{V_1,\cdots,V_k\}$ be a finite set of admissible cycles V_j on $X_1\times\cdots\times X_r\times T\times\square^{\ell_j}$. We assume the set

$$\{V_1,\cdots,V_k,\ \text{faces}\}$$

is *properly intersecting*. For a subset $P\subset[1,r]$, consider the subcomplex of $[\mathcal{Z}(X_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(X_r)]$ generated by elements

$$\alpha_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_r\in\mathcal{Z}(X_1,n_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(X_r,n_r)$$

where α_i are irreducible non-degenerate subvarieties satisfying the following condition: the set

$$\{\pi_i^*\alpha_i \ (i \in P) \ , V_1, \cdots, V_k, \text{faces}\}$$

is properly intersecting in $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_r \times T \times \square^*$. Then it is a distinguished subcomplex.

Indeed, by the above lemma, the subcomplex coincides with the distinguished subcomplex with respect to

$$W = \{(T; V_{j_1,\cdots,j_p}; P)\}$$

for partial intersections $V_{j_1,\cdots,j_p} = V_{j_1} \cap \cdots \cap V_{j_p}$. Note that the subcomplex differs from the distinguished subcomplex with respect to $\{(T; V_j; P)\}$, in which the proper intersection property is required with respect to each of V_j separately.

(1.7) *The subcomplex* $\mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_n)$. Let (M, Y) be a sequence of fiberings on $[1, n]$. We have $M_{[1,n]} = M_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond M_n \subset M_1 \times \cdots \times M_n$. There are projections $p_{[1,n],i} = p_i : M_{[1,n]} \to M_i$, $\pi_i : M_1 \times \cdots \times M_n \to M_i$, and we have a commutative diagram:



Let $\{I_1, \cdots, I_r\}$ be a partition of an interval $I = [j, k]$ into sub-intervals, namely there is an increasing sequence $j = i_1 < \cdots < i_{r+1} = k + 1$ such that $I_a = [i_a, i_{a+1} - 1]$. Then there are projections

$$M_{I_a} \to Y_{i_{a+1}-1} \leftarrow M_{I_{a+1}} \ .$$

So after renumbering

$$M'_a = M_{I_a}, \quad Y'_a = Y_{i_{a+1}-1}$$

we have another sequence of fiberings indexed by $[1, r]$. Thus $M_{I_1} \diamond \cdots \diamond M_{I_r}$ makes sense and coincides with M_I.

In what follows we fix a sequence of integers $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and take the complexes $\mathcal{Z}_{a_i}(M_i)$. To an interval $I = [j, k]$ we assign the integer

$$a_I = \sum_{i=j}^{k} a_i - \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} \dim Y_i$$

and take it as the dimension of the cycle complex $\mathcal{Z}(M_I)$. With this agreement we will drop the dimensions from the notation.

(1.7.1)**Proposition.** For a set of elements $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{Z}(M_i, m_i), i \in [1, n]$, the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) The set of cycles $\{p_i^*\alpha_i(i=1,\cdots,n),\text{ faces}\}$ is properly intersecting in $M_{[1,n]}\times\square^{m_1+\cdots m_n}$.
(ii) The set of cycles $\{\pi_i^*\alpha_i(i=1,\cdots,n), M_{[1,n]},\text{ faces}\}$ is properly intersecting in $M_1\times\cdots\times M_n\times\square^{m_1+\cdots m_n}$.

When this condition is satisfied we will just say that the set $\{\alpha_i(i=1,\cdots,n),\text{ faces}\}$ is properly intersecting in $M_{[1,n]}$. The equivalence follows from the obvious

(1.7.2)**Lemma.** *Let X be a smooth variety and $Y\subset X$ a smooth subvariety (both assumed to be). For a set of cycles $\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n$ on X, the following are equivalent.*

(i) The set $\{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n,Y\}$ is properly intersecting in X.

(ii) The set $\{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n\}$ is properly intersecting in X, the intersection $\alpha_i\cap Y$ is proper for each i, and the set $\{\alpha_i\cdot Y\}$ is properly intersecting in Y.

We define the (multiple) subcomplex

$$\mathcal{Z}(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_n)\subset\mathcal{Z}(M_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(M_n) \tag{1.7.a}$$

to be the one generated by elements $\alpha_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_n$, with each α_i irreducible non-degenerate, and $\{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n,\text{ faces}\}$ properly intersecting in $M_{[1,n]}$. It is also denoted by $\widehat{\bigotimes}_{i\in[1,n]}\mathcal{Z}(M_i)$.

The proposition shows that it coincides with the distinguished subcomplex with respect to the triple (see (1.6)) $W=\{(T=pt;M_{[1,n]};P=[1,n])\}$

Slightly more generally, given a subset P of $[1,n]$, the subcomplex generated by elements $\alpha_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_n$, with

$$\{p_i^*\alpha_i\,(i\in P),\ \text{ faces}\}$$

properly intersecting, is a distinguished subcomplex given by the triple $W=\{(pt;M_{[1,n]};P)\}$. We show that this coincides with a tensor product of complexes of the form (1.7.a). Let $\{I_1,\cdots,I_r\}$ be the partition of $[1,n]$, where each I_j is either a maximal sub-interval (of $[1,n]$) contained in P, or a single point not in P. [Example: If $n=8$ and $P=\{1,2,3,6,7\}$, then the partition consists of $[1,3],\{4\},\{5\},[6,7],\{8\}$.] With this we have:

(1.7.3)**Proposition.** *The above distinguished subcomplex coincides with the tensor product*

$$(\widehat{\bigotimes_{I_1}}\mathcal{Z}(M_i)\,)\otimes\cdots\otimes(\widehat{\bigotimes_{I_r}}\mathcal{Z}(M_i)\,)\ .$$

Proof. The projection

$$M_{[1,n]}\to M_{I_1}\times M_{I_2}\times\cdots\times M_{I_r}$$

is smooth by assumption (*) of (1.5). So the collection $\{\alpha_i\,(i\in P),\ \text{ faces}\}$ is properly intersecting in $M_{[1,n]}$ if and only if for each j, $\{\alpha_i\,(i\in I_j),\text{ faces}\}$ is properly intersecting in M_{I_j}. The assertion follows from this.

Let $\alpha_i\in\mathcal{Z}(M_i,m_i), i\in[1,n]$ be elements such that $\{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n,\text{faces}\}$ is properly intersecting in $M_{[1,n]}$. Then for each interval $I=[j,k]\subset[1,n]$, the set $\{\alpha_j,\cdots,\alpha_k,\text{faces}\}$ is also properly intersecting, thus

$$\alpha_j\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_k\in\mathcal{Z}(M_j)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_k)\ .$$

[To see this note the projection $M_{[1,n]} \to M_I$ is smooth, and the pull-back by a smooth map preserves the proper intersection property of cycles.] Thus the intersection in $M_I \times \square^{m_j+\cdots+m_k}$

$$(p^*_{I,j}\alpha_j) \cdot \ \cdots \ \cdot (p^*_{I,k}\alpha_k)$$

is defined and $\in \mathcal{Z}(M_I)$. This is denoted by

$$\alpha_j \underset{Y_j}{\circ} \cdots \underset{Y_{k-1}}{\circ} \alpha_k = \alpha_j \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_k$$

or just by α_I.

If $I_1, \cdots, I_r$ is a partition of I then

$$\alpha_{I_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{I_r} \in \mathcal{Z}(M_{I_1}) \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}(M_{I_r})$$

and

$$\alpha_{I_1} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_{I_r} = \alpha_I$$

in $\mathcal{Z}(M_I)$. So one has the product map

$$\rho(I_1, \cdots, I_r) : \mathcal{Z}(M_1) \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}(M_n) \to \mathcal{Z}(M_{I_1}) \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}(M_{I_r}) \ ,$$

that maps $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_n$ to $\alpha_{I_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{I_r}$. It is a map of r-tuple complexes where the source $\mathcal{Z}(M_1) \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}(M_n)$ is viewed as an r-tuple complex by appropriate totalization (0.1).

(1.8) *Functorial properties of* $\widehat{\bigotimes} \mathcal{Z}(M_i)$. In what follows by a complex we mean a multiple complex, and by a map of complexes we mean a map of multiple complexes (with appropriate totalization as needed). We list the maps between the complexes $\widehat{\bigotimes} \mathcal{Z}(M_i)$.

(1) One clearly has, for any partition $I_1, \cdots, I_r$ of $[1, n]$, the inclusion

$$\underset{[1,n]}{\widehat{\bigotimes}} \mathcal{Z}(M_i) \subset (\underset{I_1}{\widehat{\bigotimes}} \mathcal{Z}(M_i)\,) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\underset{I_r}{\widehat{\bigotimes}} \mathcal{Z}(M_i)\,) \ .$$

(2) To a partition $I_1, \cdots, I_r$ of $[1, n]$ there corresponds a map

$$\rho(I_1, \cdots, I_r) : \widehat{\bigotimes} \mathcal{Z}(M_i) \to \widehat{\bigotimes} \mathcal{Z}(M_{I_a}) \, ,$$

defined in the previous subsection.

(3) If $\pi_i : N_i \to M_i$ are smooth maps, there is the corresponding map

$$\pi^* = \otimes \pi_i^* : \widehat{\bigotimes} \mathcal{Z}(M_i) \to \widehat{\bigotimes} \mathcal{Z}(N_i) \, .$$

If $\pi_i : N_i \to M_i$ are projective maps, there is the corresponding map

$$\pi_* = \otimes \pi_{i\,*} : \widehat{\bigotimes} \mathcal{Z}(N_i) \to \widehat{\bigotimes} \mathcal{Z}(M_i) \, .$$

These maps are subject to the following compatibilities, all easily verified.

- The inclusion (1) and the map ρ of (2) commute (the vertical maps are the inclusions):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{[1,n]} \mathcal{Z}(M_i) & \xrightarrow{\rho(I_1,\cdots,I_r)} & \widehat{\bigotimes}_{[1,r]} \mathcal{Z}(M_{I_a}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\bigotimes}_{I_1} \mathcal{Z}(M_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{\bigotimes}_{I_r} \mathcal{Z}(M_i) & \xrightarrow{\rho(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\rho(I_r)} & \bigotimes_{[1,r]} \mathcal{Z}(M_{I_a}) \ . \end{array}$$

- The map ρ in (2) safisfies associativity. To formulate it, it will be convenient to think of the partition $I_1, \cdots, I_r$ of $[1,n]$ as follows. Let $f : [1,n] \to [1,r]$ be the map that takes I_j to j. This gives a one-to-one correspondence between the set of partitions of $[1,n]$ and order-preserving surjective maps from $[1,n]$ to another finite ordered set. We also write $\rho(f)$ for $\rho(I_1, \cdots, I_r)$.

Let $f : [1,n] \to [1,r]$ and $g : [1,r] \to [1,\ell]$ be order-preserving surjections; let $I_1, \cdots, I_r$ be the partition of $[1,n]$ given by f, $K_1, \cdots, K_\ell$ the partition of $[1,r]$ given by g, and $J_1, \cdots, J_\ell$ the partition of $[1,n]$ given by gf. Note that $M'_j = M_{I_j}$ for $j \in [1,r]$ gives a sequence of fibrations on $[1,r]$; this sequence and the partition $K_1, \cdots, K_\ell$ gives another sequence of fibrations M'_{K_k} on $[1,\ell]$, which coincides with the sequence of fibrations M_{J_k} on $k \in [1,\ell]$. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{[1,n]} \mathcal{Z}(M_i) & \xrightarrow{\rho(f)} & \widehat{\bigotimes}_{[1,r]} \mathcal{Z}(M_{I_j}) \\ & {\scriptstyle \rho(gf)} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle \rho(g)} & \\ & \widehat{\bigotimes}_{[1,\ell]} \mathcal{Z}(M_{J_k}) & \end{array}$$

- The maps π^*, π_* in (3) commute with the inclusion map (1). The pull-back map π^* commutes with ρ of (2).

(1.9) *Restricted tensor product of Čech cycle complexes.* Let M, M' and Y be as in (1.4). For open subsets $U \subset M$ and $U' \subset M'$, let $U \diamond U' = U \times_Y U' = p^{-1}(U) \cap p'^{-1}(U') \subset M \diamond M'$. If A, A' are the complements of U, U', $A \diamond A' := A \times_Y A'$ is the complement of $p^{-1}(U) \cup p'^{-1}(U')$.

Given coverings $\mathcal{U} \in Cov(U \subset M)$ and $\mathcal{V} \in Cov(U' \subset M')$, we define the open covering

$$p^{-1}\mathcal{U} \amalg p'^{-1}\mathcal{U}' \in Cov(p^{-1}(U) \cup p'^{-1}(U') \subset M \diamond M') \tag{1.9.a}$$

as follows. Let I (resp. I') be the index set for $\mathcal{U}$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}'$). The disjoint union $I \amalg I'$ is a finite ordered set by $i < j$ for $i \in I$, $j \in I'$. The covering (1.9.a) is given by

$$I \ni i \mapsto p^{-1}(U_i), \qquad I' \ni j \mapsto p'^{-1}(V_j)\,.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{Z}(M, \mathcal{U}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}(M', \mathcal{U}') \subset \mathcal{Z}(M, \mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M', \mathcal{U}')$$

be the quasi-isomorphic subcomplex defined as the direct sum

$$\bigoplus \mathcal{Z}(U_{i_0,\cdots,i_p}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}(V_{j_0,\cdots,j_q}) \subset \bigoplus \mathcal{Z}(U_{i_0,\cdots,i_p}) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(V_{j_0,\cdots,j_q})\ .$$

For the open covering $p^{-1}\mathcal{U} \amalg p'^{-1}\mathcal{U}'$, the open set corresponding to a sequence $(i_0 \cdots i_p j_0 \cdots j_q)$ is

$$U_{i_0,\cdots,i_p} \diamond V_{j_0,\cdots,j_q} = p^{-1}(U_{i_0,\cdots,i_p}) \cap p'^{-1}(V_{j_0,\cdots,j_q}) \,.$$

Then we have the map

$$\rho_Y = \rho : \mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U})\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M',\mathcal{U}') \to \mathcal{Z}(M \diamond M', p^{-1}\mathcal{U} \amalg p'^{-1}\mathcal{U}')$$

which sends $\alpha \otimes \alpha' \in \mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U})\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M',\mathcal{U}')$ to $\alpha \circ_Y \alpha'$ given by

$$(\alpha \circ_Y \alpha')_{i_0\cdots i_p j_0 \cdots j_q} = \alpha_{i_0\cdots i_p} \circ_Y \alpha'_{j_0\cdots i_q} \,.$$

Here α consists of components $\alpha_{i_0\cdots i_p} \in \mathcal{Z}(U_{i_0,\cdots,i_p})$, where we interpret $\alpha_\emptyset \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$ if $p = -1$. Recall $\mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U})$ and $\mathcal{Z}(M',\mathcal{U}')$ are "double" complexes, so their tensor product may also be viewed as a "double" complex. One verifies that ρ_Y is a map of "double" complexes.

If $\lambda : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ and $\lambda' : \mathcal{U}' \to \mathcal{V}'$ are maps of coverings of open sets of M, M', respectively, there is an induced map of coverings $p^{-1}\mathcal{U} \amalg p'^{-1}\mathcal{U}' \to p^{-1}\mathcal{V} \amalg p'^{-1}\mathcal{V}'$, and one easily verifies that the following diagram of "double" complexes commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U})\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M',\mathcal{U}') & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathcal{Z}(M \diamond M', p^{-1}\mathcal{U} \amalg p'^{-1}\mathcal{U}') \\ {\scriptstyle \lambda\otimes\lambda'}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{V})\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M',\mathcal{V}') & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathcal{Z}(M \diamond M', p^{-1}\mathcal{V} \amalg p'^{-1}\mathcal{V}') \,. \end{array}$$

Since $A \diamond A'$ is the complement of $p^{-1}U \cup p'^{-1}U'$, the above ρ gives rise to a map in the derived category

$$\rho_Y : \mathcal{Z}_a(A) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_b(A') \to \mathcal{Z}_{a+b-\dim Y}(A \diamond A')$$

which makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M',\mathcal{U}') & \hookleftarrow & \mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U})\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M',\mathcal{U}') & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathcal{Z}(M \diamond M', p^{-1}\mathcal{U} \amalg p'^{-1}\mathcal{U}') \\ {\scriptstyle \iota\otimes\iota}\uparrow & & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \iota} \\ \mathcal{Z}(A) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(A') & & \xrightarrow{\rho} & & \mathcal{Z}(A \diamond A') \,. \end{array}$$

All this can be generalized as follows. Let (M,Y) be a sequence of fiberings on $[1,n]$; let $U_i \subset M_i$ be open sets, and $A_i = M_i - U_i$. For an interval $I = [j,k]$, one has M_I and the projections $p_i : M_I \to M_i$. The complement of the union of $p_i^{-1}U_i$ for $i \in I$ is $A_I = A_j \diamond \cdots \diamond A_k$.

Assume given open coverings $\mathcal{U}_i \in Cov(U_i \subset M_i)$. One has a quasi-isomophic subcomplex

$$\mathcal{Z}(M_1,\mathcal{U}_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_n,\mathcal{U}_n) \subset \mathcal{Z}(M_1,\mathcal{U}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_n,\mathcal{U}_n) \,.$$

There is the open covering

$$p_1^{-1}\mathcal{U}_1 \amalg p_2^{-1}\mathcal{U}_2 \amalg \cdots \amalg p_n^{-1}\mathcal{U}_n \in Cov(\bigcup_i p_i^{-1}U_i \subset M_{[1,n]}) \,,$$

which will be abbreviated to $\mathcal{U}_{[1,n]}$.

Let $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i), i \in [1,n]$ be elements such that $\{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n,\text{faces}\}$ is properly intersecting in $M_{[1,n]}$. Then for each interval $I = [j,k] \subset [1,n]$, the set $\{\alpha_j,\cdots,\alpha_k,\text{faces}\}$ is also properly intersecting in M_I, so that

$$\alpha_I := \alpha_j \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_k \in \mathcal{Z}(M_I,\mathcal{U}_I)$$

is defined.

For a partition $I_1, \cdots I_r$ of $[1,n]$, we have open coverings

$$\mathcal{U}_{I_a} = \coprod_{i\in I_a} p_i^{-1}\mathcal{U}_i \in Cov(\bigcup_{i\in I_a} p_i^{-1}U_i \subset M_{I_a}) \ ,$$

and a map of "double" complexes

$$\rho(I_1,\cdots,I_r) : \mathcal{Z}(M_1,\mathcal{U}_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_n,\mathcal{U}_n) \to \mathcal{Z}(M_{I_1},\mathcal{U}_{I_1})\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_{I_r},\mathcal{U}_{I_r})$$

which sends $\alpha_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_n$ to $\alpha_{I_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_{I_r}$. (Recall from §0 that if $A_1^{\bullet\bullet},\cdots,A_n^{\bullet\bullet}$ are "double" complexes, the tensor product $A_1^{\bullet\bullet}\otimes\cdots\otimes A_n^{\bullet\bullet}$ may be viewed as a "double" complex.)

(1.10) *Functorial properties of* $\widehat{\bigotimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)$. Continuing the previous subsection, we list the maps between the complexes $\mathcal{Z}(M_1,\mathcal{U}_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_n,\mathcal{U}_n)$.

(1) For any partition $I_1,\cdots,I_r$ of $[1,n]$, there is inclusion

$$\widehat{\bigotimes_{[1,n]}}\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i) \subset (\widehat{\bigotimes_{I_1}}\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)\,)\otimes\cdots\otimes(\widehat{\bigotimes_{I_r}}\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)\,) \ .$$

(2) The subcomplex is functorial in $\mathcal{U}_i$. If $\mathcal{U}_i\to\mathcal{V}_i$ are maps in $Cov(M_i)$, there is an induced map

$$\mathcal{Z}(M_1,\mathcal{U}_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_n,\mathcal{U}_n) \to \mathcal{Z}(M_1,\mathcal{V}_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_n,\mathcal{V}_n) \ .$$

(3) To a partition $I_1,\cdots,I_r$ of $[1,n]$ there corresponds a map

$$\rho(I_1,\cdots,I_r) : \mathcal{Z}(M_1,\mathcal{U}_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_n,\mathcal{U}_n) \to \mathcal{Z}(M_{I_1},\mathcal{U}_{I_1})\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_{I_r},\mathcal{U}_{I_r}) \, .$$

(4) If $\pi_i : N_i\to M_i$ are smooth maps, there is the corresponding map

$$\pi^* = \otimes\pi_i^* : \widehat{\bigotimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i) \to \widehat{\bigotimes}\mathcal{Z}(N_i,p_i^{-1}\mathcal{U}_i) \, .$$

If $\pi_i : N_i\to M_i$ are projective maps, there is the corresponding map

$$\pi_* = \otimes\pi_{i\,*} : \widehat{\bigotimes}\mathcal{Z}(N_i,p_i^{-1}\mathcal{U}_i) \to \widehat{\bigotimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i) \, .$$

These maps satisfy the following compatibilities.

- The maps (1) and (2) commute.
- The map $\rho(I_1,\cdots,I_r)$ in (3) safisfies associativity, formulated as in (1.8). It also commutes with the maps (1) and (2); the commutativity with (1) means that the following diagram commutes (where the vertical maps are inclusions):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{[1,n]}\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i) & \xrightarrow{\rho(I_1,\cdots,I_r)} & \widehat{\bigotimes}_{[1,r]}\mathcal{Z}(M_{I_a},\mathcal{U}_{I_a}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\bigotimes}_{I_1}\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)\otimes\cdots\otimes\widehat{\bigotimes}_{I_r}\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i) & \xrightarrow{\rho(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\rho(I_r)} & \bigotimes_{[1,n]}\mathcal{Z}(M_{I_a,\mathcal{U}_{I_a}}) \ ; \end{array}$$

the commutativity with the map (2) means the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}(M_1,\mathcal{U}_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_n,\mathcal{U}_n) & \xrightarrow{\rho(I_1,\cdots,I_r)} & \mathcal{Z}(M_{I_1},\mathcal{U}_{I_1})\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_{I_r},\mathcal{U}_{I_r}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{Z}(M_1,\mathcal{V}_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_n,\mathcal{V}_n) & \xrightarrow{\rho(I_1,\cdots,I_r)} & \mathcal{Z}(M_{I_1},\mathcal{V}_{I_1})\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{Z}(M_{I_r},\mathcal{V}_{I_r})\ . \end{array}$$

- The maps π^*, π_* in (4) commute with the maps (1) and (2). The pull-back map π^* commute with ρ in (3).

(5) The quasi-isomorphisms $\iota : \mathcal{Z}(A_i) \to \mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)$ induce a quasi-isomorphism

$$\otimes\iota : \bigotimes_{[1,n]} \mathcal{Z}(A_i) \to \bigotimes_{[1,n]} \mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)\ .$$

Composing with the inverse of the inclusion $\widehat{\bigotimes}\,\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i) \hookrightarrow \bigotimes \mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)$, one obtains an isomorphism in the *derived category*

$$\iota : \bigotimes_{[1,n]} \mathcal{Z}(A_i) \to \widehat{\bigotimes_{[1,n]}}\, \mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)\ .$$

(It is a slight abuse of notation to use the same ι for a map in the derived category.) For a partition $I_1,\cdots,I_r$ of $[1,n]$, there is a unique map in the derived category

$$\rho(I_1,\cdots,I_r) : \mathcal{Z}(A_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(A_n) \to \mathcal{Z}(A_{I_1})\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(A_{I_r})$$

which makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{[1,n]}\,\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i) & \xrightarrow{\rho(I_1,\cdots,I_r)} & \widehat{\bigotimes}_{[1,r]}\,\mathcal{Z}(M_{I_a},\mathcal{U}_{I_a}) \\ {\scriptstyle\iota}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle\iota} \\ \bigotimes_{[1,n]}\mathcal{Z}(A_i) & \xrightarrow{\rho(I_1,\cdots,I_r)} & \bigotimes_{[1,r]}\mathcal{Z}(A_{I_a})\ . \end{array}$$

This map $\rho(I_1,\cdots,I_r)$ also satisfies associativity (in the derived category), formulated as that for the map ρ in (3).

2 Function complexes $F(X_1,\cdots,X_n)$

For notions regarding finite ordered sets, see §0. In particular, for an integer $n \geq 2$, we have the finite ordered set $[1,n] = \{1,\ldots,n\}$. We often use that to a finite ordered set I of (cardinality ≥ 2) and a subset $\Sigma \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$, there corresponds a segmentation of I.

(2.1) *The complex* $\mathcal{Z}(X_{[1,n]},\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}))$. Let S be a quasi-projective variety and $X_1,\cdots,X_n$, $n\geq 2$, be smooth quasi-projective varieties, each equipped with a projective map to S (we call such X_i a *sequence of varieties* over S). For a subset $I \subset [1,n]$, let $X_I = \prod_{i\in I} X_i$ (product over k). So $X_{[1,n]} = X_1\times\cdots\times X_n$.

For a non-empty subset $I \subset [1,n]$, let $X_{[1,n]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i\in I} X_i \times \prod_{i\notin I} X_i$ be the natural isomorphism (switching factors); define the closed subset $A_I \subset X_{[1,n]}$ by the Cartesian square (up to taking reduced structure)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{[1,n]} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \prod_{i\in I} X_i \times \prod_{i\notin I} X_i \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A_I & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \prod_{i\in I}{}_S X_i \times \prod_{i\notin I} X_i \end{array}$$

where $\prod_S$ denotes fiber product over S.

- For example, if I consists of a single element, $A_I = X_{[1,n]}$; if $I = \{1,2\}$, $A_I = (X_1 \times_S X_2) \times X_3 \times \cdots \times X_n$; if $I = [1,n]$, $A_{[1,n]} = X_1 \times_S X_2 \times_S \cdots \times_S X_n$.
- If $I \subset I'$, then $A_I \supset A_{I'}$. For two subsets I and I' with non-empty intersection, $A_{I\cup I'} = A_I \cap A_{I'}$.

Let $U_I = X_{[1,n]} - A_I$. $U_{[1,n]}$ is the complement of $X_1 \times_S X_2 \times_S \cdots \times_S X_n$. If $I \subset I'$, then $U_I \subset U_{I'}$. If $I \cap I'$ is non-empty, $U_{I\cup I'} = U_I \cup U_{I'}$.

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a subset of $(1,n) = [2,n-1]$. If $\mathcal{J} = \{j_1, \cdots, j_r\}$, the corresponding segmentation consists of sub-intervals $J^k = [j_k, j_{k+1}]$ for $k = 0, \cdots, r$ with $j_0 = 1$ and $j_{r+1} = n$. To each J^k there corresponds the closed set $A_{J^k} \subset X_{[1,n]} = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ and its complement U_{J^k}. The intersection of A_{J^k}'s is $A_{[1,n]}$, and the union of U_{J^k}'s is $U_{[1,n]}$. We thus have a covering of $U_{[1,n]}$ indexed by $[0,r]$:

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}) = \{U_{J^0}, U_{J^1}, \cdots, U_{J^r}\} \ .$$

Taking $M = X_{[1,n]}$ and $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J})$ in the construction of the previous section, one obtains the complex

$$\mathcal{Z}_s(X_{[1,n]}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J})) \ .$$

The differential of this complex is denoted by d, and when necessary we write $\mathcal{Z}_s(X_{[1,n]}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}))^\bullet$ where the upper indexing is the cohomological degree. There is a natural quasi-isomorphism

$$\iota : \mathcal{Z}_s(A_{[1,n]}) = \mathcal{Z}_s(X_1 \times_S \times \cdots \times_S X_n) \to \mathcal{Z}_s(X_{[1,n]}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J})) \ .$$

We will fix (and usually drop) $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the dimension of the cycle complex.

Note in the discussion so far, one can replace $[1,n]$ by any subset $\mathbb{I}$ (with cardinality ≥ 2) of $[1,n]$, and $\mathcal{J} \subset (1,n)$ by a subset $\mathcal{J} \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{I}}$. More specifically:

- One has the product

$$X_{\mathbb{I}} = \prod_{i\in\mathbb{I}} X_i \ .$$

Associated to a subset $I \subset \mathbb{I}$ is a closed set $A_I \subset X_{\mathbb{I}}$ and its complement U_I (to be specific, we write $A_{I\subset\mathbb{I}}$ and $U_{I\subset\mathbb{I}}$). In particular, $A_{\mathbb{I}}$ is the fiber product of all X_i over S, and $U_{\mathbb{I}}$ its complement.

- For a set $\mathcal{J} \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{I}}$ of cardinality r, there corresponds a set of intervals

$$J^i = J^i(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I}) \ , \quad 0 \leq i \leq r \ ,$$

of $\mathbb{I}$. Thus we have an $[0,r]$-covering of $U_{\mathbb{I}}$

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I}) = \{U_{J^0}, \cdots, U_{J^r}\} \ .$$

This gives us the complex $\mathcal{Z}(X_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I}))$ equipped with a quasi-isomorphism from $\mathcal{Z}(A_{\mathbb{I}})$.

• Note $U_{I \subset \mathbb{I}}$ is an open set of $X_{\mathbb{I}}$; it should be distinguished from the open set $U_{I \subset [1,n]} \subset X_{[1,n]}$.

Assume now that S is projective. Then X_i are also all projective. We have natural maps between the complexes above, the restriction and the projection.

(1) For $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}'$, one has the *restriction map*, which is a quasi-isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{Z}(X_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J})) \to \mathcal{Z}(X_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}')) \ . \tag{2.1.a}$$

To define it, assume $\mathbb{I} = [1, n]$ for simplicity. Let $\mathcal{J} = \{j_1, \cdots, j_r\}$, $J^k = [j_k, j_{k+1}]$ for $0 \leq k \leq r$ as above. Similarly to $\mathcal{J}' = \{j'_1, \cdots, j'_{r'}\}$ there corresponds a set of intervals $\{J'^0, \cdots, J'^{r'}\}$, and the open covering

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}') = \{U_{J'^0}, \cdots, U_{J'^{r'}}\} .$$

Define an order-preserving map $\lambda : [0, r'] \to [0, r]$ by the condition $J'_t \subset J_{\lambda(t)}$ for $t \in [0, r']$. Since $U_{J'_t} \subset U_{J_{\lambda(t)}}$, one has a map of coverings $\lambda : \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}')$. It induces the map between the Čech cycle complexes as stated.

(2) For $\ell \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{I}} - \mathcal{J}$, we have the *projection* along X_ℓ

$$\pi_\ell : \mathcal{Z}(X_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I})) \to \mathcal{Z}(X_{\mathbb{I}-\{\ell\}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I} - \{\ell\})) \ . \tag{2.1.b}$$

The definition in case $\mathbb{I} = [1, n]$ is as follows. If $\ell \in (j_k, j_{k+1})$, we set

$$\bar{J}^i = \begin{cases} J^i & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq r,\ i \neq k, \\ J^k - \{\ell\} & \text{if } i = k. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding open covering is $\{U_{\bar{J}^i}\}$ in $X_{[1,n]-\{\ell\}}$. Since $U_{\bar{J}^i} \subset U_{J^i}$ for each i, one has the restriction map

$$\mathcal{Z}(X_{[1,n]}, \{U_{J^0}, \cdots, U_{J^r}\}) \to \mathcal{Z}(X_{[1,n]}, \{U_{\bar{J}^0}, \cdots, U_{\bar{J}^r}\}) \ . \tag{2.1.c}$$

Let $p : X_{[1,n]} \to X_{[1,n]-\{\ell\}}$ be the projection; p is a projective map since X_ℓ is projective. The segmentation of $[1, n] - \{\ell\}$ corresponding its subset $\mathcal{J}$ is $\{\bar{J}^0, \cdots, \bar{J}^r\}$, so the corresponding open cover in $X_{[1,n]-\{\ell\}}$ is

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset [1, n] - \{\ell\}) = \{U_{\bar{J}^0}, \cdots, U_{\bar{J}^r}\}.$$

Since one has $p^{-1}(U_I) = U_I$ (more precisely, $p^{-1}(U_{I \subset [1,n]-\{\ell\}}) = U_{I \subset [1,n]}$) for a subset $I \subset [1, n] - \{\ell\}$, there is the push-forward map

$$p_* : \mathcal{Z}(X_{[1,n]}, \{U_{\bar{J}^0}, \cdots, U_{\bar{J}^r}\}) \to \mathcal{Z}(X_{[1,n]-\{\ell\}}, \{U_{\bar{J}^0}, \cdots, U_{\bar{J}^r}\}) . \tag{2.1.d}$$

We define the map (2.1.b) as the composition of (2.1.c) and (2.1.d).

More generally for a subset $K \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{I}} - \mathcal{J}$ one has the corresponding projection

$$\pi_K : \mathcal{Z}(X_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I})) \to \mathcal{Z}(X_{\mathbb{I}-K}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I} - K)) \ . \tag{2.1.e}$$

If $K = K' \amalg K''$, then $\pi_K = \pi_{K''}\pi_{K'}$, namely the following diagram commutes.



(3) The quasi-isomorphism $\iota : \mathcal{Z}(A_{\mathbb{I}}) \to \mathcal{Z}(X_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}))$ is compatible with restriction maps and projections. It means, for projection, the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}(X_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J})) & \xrightarrow{\pi_K} & \mathcal{Z}(X_{\mathbb{I}-K}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J})) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \iota} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \iota} \\ \mathcal{Z}(A_{\mathbb{I}}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_K} & \mathcal{Z}(A_{\mathbb{I}-K}) \ . \end{array}$$

Here π_K at the bottom is the map induced by the projection $A_{\mathbb{I}} \to A_{\mathbb{I}-K}$.

(2.2) *The complex* $\mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}))$. We now generalize all in the previous subsection under the general assumption that S is quasi-projective and $X_i \to S$ are projective. With slight modifications, we will have a quasi-isomorphic complex to $\mathcal{Z}_s(X_1 \times_S \times \cdots \times_S X_n)$, one for each $\mathcal{J} \subset (1, n)$, and restriction and projection maps as above.

Let $S \hookrightarrow \bar{S}$ be a compactification, namely an open immersion to a projective variety. For each X_i take a projective variety $\bar{X}_i$ with a projective map $\bar{X}_i \to \bar{S}$ extending p_i. (We say $\bar{X}_i/\bar{S}$ is a compactification of X_i/S.)

Then one has

$$X_{[1,n]} = \prod X_i \qquad \text{and} \qquad \bar{X}_{[1,n]} := \prod \bar{X}_i \ .$$

To non-empty $I \subset [1, n]$, there corresponds a closed set $A_I \subset X_{[1,n]}$ and its complement U_I as in (2.1); similarly we have the closed set $\bar{A}_I \subset \bar{X}_{[1,n]}$ given by

$$\bar{A}_I \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i \in I}{}_{\bar{S}} \bar{X}_i \times \prod_{i \notin I} \bar{X}_i$$

and its complement $\bar{U}_I$.

Given $\mathcal{J} \subset (1, n)$, we define a partial compactification by

$$X^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]} := \prod_{i \in [1,n]} X'_i \quad \text{with} \quad X'_i = \begin{cases} \bar{X}_i & \text{if } i \in (1, n) - \mathcal{J} \\ X_i & \text{if } i \in \{1, n\} \cup \mathcal{J} \, . \end{cases}$$

It is the compactification of $X_{[1,n]}$ in the factor X_i with $i \in (1, n) - \mathcal{J}$.

For $I \subset [1, n]$, define the closed subset $A^{\mathcal{J}}_I \subset X^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]}$ by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \prod_{i \in I} X'_i \times \prod_{i \notin I} X'_i \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A^{\mathcal{J}}_I & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \prod_{i \in I}{}_{\bar{S}} X'_i \times \prod_{i \notin I} X'_i \ . \end{array}$$

Note that we have Cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_{[1,n]} & \hookrightarrow & X^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]} & \hookrightarrow & \bar{X}_{[1,n]} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A_{[1,n]} & \hookrightarrow & A^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]} & \hookrightarrow & \bar{A}_{[1,n]} \,. \end{array}$$

If $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}'$, then one has an open immersion $X^{\mathcal{J}'}_{[1,n]} \hookrightarrow X^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]}$ and the following square is Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{\mathcal{J}'}_{[1,n]} & \hookrightarrow & X^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A^{\mathcal{J}'}_{[1,n]} & \hookrightarrow & A^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]} \,. \end{array}$$

If $\mathcal{J} = (1,n)$, then $X^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]} = X_{[1,n]}$.

If $I \subset I'$ then $A^{\mathcal{J}}_I \supset A^{\mathcal{J}}_{I'}$. For two subsets I and I' with non-empty intersection, $A^{\mathcal{J}}_{I\cup I'} = A^{\mathcal{J}}_I \cap A^{\mathcal{J}}_{I'}$. Further, if $I \supset (1,n) - \mathcal{J}$ and $I \cap (\{1,n\} \cup \mathcal{J}) \neq \emptyset$, then $A^{\mathcal{J}}_I = A_I$. Indeed the first condition implies that $\prod_{i\notin I} X'_i = \prod_{i\notin I} X_i$, and the second condition implies $\prod_{i\in I} X'_i = \prod_{i\in I} X_i$. In particular, $A^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]} = A_{[1,n]} = X_1 \times_S \cdots \times_S X_n$.

Let $U^{\mathcal{J}}_I = X^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]} - A^{\mathcal{J}}_I$. If $I \subset I'$ then $U^{\mathcal{J}}_I \subset U^{\mathcal{J}}_{I'}$; if $I \cap I' \neq \emptyset$, then $U^{\mathcal{J}}_{I\cup I'} = U^{\mathcal{J}}_I \cup U^{\mathcal{J}}_{I'}$. Note $U^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]} = U_{[1,n]}$. One has Cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{[1,n]} & \subset & X^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ U_I & \subset & U^{\mathcal{J}}_I \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{\mathcal{J}'}_{[1,n]} & \subset & X^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ U^{\mathcal{J}'}_I & \subset & U^{\mathcal{J}}_I \end{array}$$

for $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}'$.

The $\mathcal{J}$ specifies a covering $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J})$ of $U^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]} = U_{[1,n]}$ defined now as

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}) = \{U^{\mathcal{J}}_{J^0}, \cdots, U^{\mathcal{J}}_{J^r}\} \,.$$

So we have the complex $\mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}))$ and a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{Z}(X_1 \times_S \times \cdots \times_S X_n) \to \mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}))$.

As before the same construction can be applied to a subset $\mathbb{I}$ of $[1,n]$, and a subset $\mathcal{J} \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{I}}$. One has the product $X_{\mathbb{I}}$ and its partial compactification $X^{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathbb{I}}$. To each subset $I \subset \mathbb{I}$ there corresponds a closed set $A^{\mathcal{J}}_I$ and its complement $U^{\mathcal{J}}_I$. A subset $\mathcal{J} \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{I}}$ gives a covering $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I})$ of $U_{\mathbb{I}}$, and thus the complex $\mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}))$ quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}(A_{\mathbb{I}})$.

Parallel to (1)-(3) of the previous subsection, we have:

(1) For $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}'$, we have a map, called the restriction map

$$\mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J})) \to \mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}'}_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}')) \,. \qquad (2.2.a)$$

It is a quasi-isomorphism.

To obtain this map, note that the covering $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I}) = \{U^{\mathcal{J}}_{J^0}, \cdots, U^{\mathcal{J}}_{J^r}\}$ restricts to the covering

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I}) \cap X^{\mathcal{J}'}_{\mathbb{I}} = \{U^{\mathcal{J}'}_{J^0}, \cdots, U^{\mathcal{J}'}_{J^r}\}$$

of $U_{\mathbb{I}}$ in $X^{\mathcal{J}'}_{\mathbb{I}}$. On the other hand, recall that $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}' \subset \mathbb{I}) = \{U^{\mathcal{J}'}_{J'^0}, \cdots, U^{\mathcal{J}'}_{J'^{r'}}\}$ where $\{J'^0, \cdots, J'^{r'}\}$ are the associated intervals to $\mathcal{J}'$. The map $\lambda : [0, r'] \to [0, r]$ such that $J'_t \subset J_{\lambda(t)}$ for $t \in [0, r']$ gives a refinement

$$\lambda : \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I}) \cap X^{\mathcal{J}'}_{\mathbb{I}} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}' \subset \mathbb{I})\,.$$

The map (2.2.a) is defined to be the composition of the restriction map and the refinement map

$$\mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I})) \to \mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}'}_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}) \cap X^{\mathcal{J}'}_{\mathbb{I}}) \to \mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}'}_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}' \subset \mathbb{I}))\,.$$

(2) For $\ell \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{I}} - \mathcal{J}$

$$\pi_\ell : \mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I})) \to \mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathbb{I}-\{\ell\}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I} - \{\ell\}))\ . \tag{2.2.b}$$

This is defined in the same way as before, since the projection $p : X^{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathbb{I}} = X^{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathbb{I}-\{\ell\}} \times \bar{X}_\ell \to X^{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathbb{I}-\{\ell\}}$ is projective.

More generally for $K \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{I}} - \mathcal{J}$ one has the projection $\pi_K : \mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I})) \to \mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathbb{I}-K}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{I} - K))$. If $K = K' \amalg K''$, then $\pi_K = \pi_{K''}\pi_{K'}$.

(3) The quasi-isomorphism $\iota : \mathcal{Z}(A_{\mathbb{I}}) \to \mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}))$ is compatible with restrictions and projections.

(2.3) *The complex* $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J})$. For simplicity let

$$\mathcal{F}([1, n], \mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,n]}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}))\ ; \tag{2.3.a}$$

this is a complex of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules with differential ∂, and write $\mathcal{F}([1, n], \mathcal{J})^\bullet$ to specify degrees. The same construction applies to any finite subset (of cardinality ≥ 2) $I \subset [1, n]$ and a subset $\mathcal{J} \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$, so that one has the complex $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J})$.

For $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}'$, there is the corresponding restriction map

$$r_{\mathcal{J},\mathcal{J}'} : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}) \to \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}')\,. \tag{2.3.b}$$

The $r_{\mathcal{J},\mathcal{J}'}$ is transitive for inclusions $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}' \subset \mathcal{J}''$. There is a quasi-isomorphism $\iota : \mathcal{Z}(A_I) \to \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J})$, compatible with the restrictions and projections.

To a subset K of $\overset{\circ}{I}$, there corresponds the projection

$$\pi_K : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}) \to \mathcal{F}(I - K, \mathcal{J})\,. \tag{2.3.b}$$

If $K = K' \amalg K''$, then $\pi_K = \pi_{K''}\pi_{K'}$.

(2.4) *The complex* $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma)$. Let I be the subset of $[1, n]$, and $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ be a segmentation of I corresponding to $\Sigma \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$. Assume given subsets $\mathcal{J}_j \subset \overset{\circ}{I_j}$ for $j = 1, \cdots, c$. There correspond a sequence of fiberings on $[1, c]$ consisting of $M_j = X^{\mathcal{J}_j}_{I_j}$, $Y_j = X_{t_j}$ with $t_j = \operatorname{tm} I_j$, and the natural

projections $M_j \to Y_j \leftarrow M_{j+1}$. The condition (*) in Definition (1.5) is obviously satisfied. One has open coverings $\mathcal{U}_j = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}_j)$ of open sets $U_{I_j}^{\mathcal{J}_j}$ of $X_{I_j}^{\mathcal{J}_j}$.

Let $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_1 \cup \{t_1\} \cup \mathcal{J}_2 \cup \cdots \cup \{t_{c-1}\} \cup \mathcal{J}_c$. Then we have

$$X_{I_1}^{\mathcal{J}_1} \diamond \cdots \diamond X_{I_c}^{\mathcal{J}_c} = X_I^{\mathcal{J}} \,.$$

Let $p_j : X_I^{\mathcal{J}} \to X_{I_j}^{\mathcal{J}_j}$ be the projection. Then one has (easily verified)

$$p_1^{-1}\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}_1) \amalg \cdots \amalg p_c^{-1}\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}_c) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J})$$

which is an open covering of U_I in $X_I^{\mathcal{J}}$.

According to (1.9) one has a c-tuple subcomplex

$$\widehat{\bigotimes}\, \mathcal{Z}(M_j, \mathcal{U}_j) \subset \bigotimes_{j\in[1,c]} \mathcal{Z}(M_j, \mathcal{U}_j)$$

which is also written

$$\mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_2, \mathcal{J}_2)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_c, \mathcal{J}_c) \subset \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1) \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_2, \mathcal{J}_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_c, \mathcal{J}_c)\,.$$

Recall that the differential of the tensor product complex, also denoted d, is given by

$$d(\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c) = \sum (-1)^{\sum_{j>i} \deg \alpha_j}\, \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{i-1} \otimes d(\alpha_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c \ .$$

For convenience we set

$$\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J} \pitchfork \Sigma) = \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1) \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_2, \mathcal{J}_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_c, \mathcal{J}_c) \ , \tag{2.4.a}$$

if $\mathcal{J} \subset \overset{\circ}{I} - \Sigma$, $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ is the segmentation of I by Σ, and $\mathcal{J}_i = \mathcal{J} \cap \overset{\circ}{I_i}$. Similarly we have

$$\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) := \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_2, \mathcal{J}_2)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_c, \mathcal{J}_c) \ . \tag{2.4.b}$$

The following properties hold by (1.10).

(1) There is a quasi-isomorphic inclusion $\iota_\Sigma : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J} \pitchfork \Sigma)$. More generally, if $\Sigma \supset T$, T gives the segmentation $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ of I, and $\mathcal{J}_j = \mathcal{J} \cap \overset{\circ}{I_j}$, $\Sigma_j = \Sigma \cap I_j$, then one has a quasi-isomorphic inclusion of c-tuple complexes

$$\iota_{\Sigma/T} : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1|\Sigma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_c, \mathcal{J}_c|\Sigma_c) \tag{2.4.c}$$

If $T = \emptyset$, $\iota_{\Sigma/\emptyset}$ is the identity, and if $T = \Sigma$, $\iota_{\Sigma/\Sigma}$ coincides with ι_Σ.

(2) For $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}'$ there is the corresponding quasi-isomorphism of complexes

$$r_{\mathcal{J},\mathcal{J}'} : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma)\,; \tag{2.4.d}$$

it is transitive in $\mathcal{J}$. The map $r_{\mathcal{J},\mathcal{J}'}$ is also compatible with the inclusion $\iota_{\Sigma/T}$ above, namely the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1|\Sigma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_c, \mathcal{J}_c|\Sigma_c) \\ {\scriptstyle r_{\mathcal{J},\mathcal{J}'}}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}'_1|\Sigma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_c, \mathcal{J}'_c|\Sigma_c) \end{array}$$

where the right vertical map is $\otimes r_{\mathcal{J}_i,\mathcal{J}'_i}$. This compatibility will be referred to as the compatibility of the map r and tensor product.

(3) For each $t = 1, \cdots, c-1$ (which corresponds to $k = \mathrm{tm}(I_t) \in \Sigma$), one has the product map

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_k : \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_t, \mathcal{J}_t)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_{t+1}, \mathcal{J}_{t+1})\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_c, \mathcal{J}_c)\\ \to \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_t \cup I_{t+1}, \mathcal{J}_t \cup \{k\} \cup \mathcal{J}_{t+1})\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_c, \mathcal{J}_c)\ ,\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\rho_k : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J} \cup \{k\}|\Sigma - \{k\})\,. \tag{2.4.e}$$

This sends $u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c$ to $u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (u_t \circ u_{t+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c$. In the following subsection we will discuss how to specify dimensions of cycle complexes in a manner compatible with the product map.

For distinct elements $k, k' \in \Sigma$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\rho_k} & \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J} \cup \{k\}|\Sigma - \{k\})\\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_{k'}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_{k'}}\\ \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J} \cup \{k'\}|\Sigma - \{k'\}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_k} & \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J} \cup \{k, k'\}|\Sigma - \{k, k'\})\ .\end{array}$$

For $K \subset \Sigma$, let

$$\rho_K : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J} \cup K|\Sigma - K)\ . \tag{2.4.f}$$

be the composition of ρ_k for $k \in K$ in any order. If $K = K' \amalg K''$ then $\rho_K = \rho_{K''}\rho_{K'}$. The map ρ_K is compatible with the inclusion $\iota_{\Sigma/T}$, namely the following diagram commutes, where $K_i = K \cap \Sigma_i$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) & \hookrightarrow & \otimes\mathcal{F}(I_i, \mathcal{J}_i|\Sigma_i)\\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_K} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \otimes\rho_{K_i}}\\ \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J} \cup K|\Sigma - K) & \hookrightarrow & \otimes\mathcal{F}(I_i, \mathcal{J}_i \cup K_i|\Sigma_i - K_i)\,.\end{array}$$

(4) To $K \subset \overset{\circ}{I} - \Sigma$ disjoint from $\mathcal{J}$, there corresponds a map of complexes

$$\pi_K : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I - K, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma)\ . \tag{2.4.g}$$

If $K = K' \amalg K''$ then $\pi_K = \pi_{K''}\pi_{K'}$. The map π_K is compatible with the inclusion $\iota_{\Sigma/T}$, meaning the commutativity of a diagram as the one for ρ_K.

(5) The maps r, ρ, and π commute with each other. The commutativity of r and ρ means the commutativity of the following square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{r_{\mathcal{J},\mathcal{J}'}} & \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma)\\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_K} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_K}\\ \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J} \cup K|\Sigma - K) & \xrightarrow{r_{\mathcal{J}\cup K,\mathcal{J}'\cup K}} & \mathcal{F}(I - K, \mathcal{J}' \cup K|\Sigma - K)\,.\end{array}$$

The reader can write down the commutative diagrams expressing the commutativity of r and π, and of ρ and π.

(6) The maps r, ρ and π provide another map in the derived category. Let $K \subset \Sigma$. We have the maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset|\Sigma)\\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_K}\\ \mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset|\Sigma - K) & \xrightarrow{r_{\emptyset,K}} & \mathcal{F}(I, K|\Sigma - K)\\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_K} & & \\ \mathcal{F}(I - K, \emptyset|\Sigma - K) & & \end{array}$$

Since the map r is a quasi-isomorphism, inverting it gives a map in the derived category of abelian groups

$$\psi_K : \mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset \barwedge \Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I - K, \emptyset \barwedge \Sigma - K) \ . \tag{2.4.h}$$

We call this the *composition map*.

The map ψ_K satisfies transitivity in K, which says $\psi_K = \psi_{K''}\psi_{K'}$ if $K = K' \amalg K''$. This follows from the compatibility of r, ρ, and π.

Also ψ is compatible with tensor product: Assume $m \in \Sigma$, $m \notin K$, and K is a subset of Σ. Let I', I'' be the segmentation of I by m, $\Sigma' = \Sigma \cap I'$, $\Sigma'' = \Sigma \cap I''$, and $K' = K \cap I'$, $K'' = K \cap I''$. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset \barwedge \Sigma) & = & \mathcal{F}(I', \emptyset \barwedge \Sigma') \otimes \mathcal{F}(I'', \emptyset \barwedge \Sigma'') \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_K} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_{K'} \otimes \psi_{K''}} \\ \mathcal{F}(I - K, \emptyset \barwedge \Sigma - K) & = & \mathcal{F}(I' - K', \emptyset \barwedge \Sigma' - K') \otimes \mathcal{F}(I'' - K'', \emptyset \barwedge \Sigma'' - K'') \ . \end{array}$$

This follows from the compatibility of $\iota_{\Sigma/T}$ with r, ρ, and π.

(2.5) *Dimensions of the cycle complexes.* The dimensions of the cycle complexes can be specified as follows. Assume to each interval $[i, i+1] \subset [1, n]$, an integer $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is assigned. For a subset $I \subset [1, n]$, if $j = \mathrm{in}(I)$, $k = \mathrm{tm}(I)$, let

$$a_I = \sum_{j \le i \le k-1} a_i - \sum_{j \le i \le k-1} \dim X_i \ .$$

We then have the following property: For $I = [i, i+1]$, one has $a_I = a_i$. The number a_I depends only on $\mathrm{in}(I)$ and $\mathrm{tm}(I)$. If $\mathrm{tm}(I) = \mathrm{in}(I') = c$, then $a_{I \cup I'} = a_I + a_{I'} - \dim X_c$.

Correspondingly let a_I be the dimension of the cycle complex for $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}) := \mathcal{Z}_{a_I}(X^{\mathcal{J}}_I, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}))$. The dimensions for $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma)$ are also specified by additivity.

Then the maps $\rho_k : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J} \cup \{k\}|\Sigma - \{k\})$ and $\pi_K : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I - K, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma)$ are compatible with the dimensions thus specified.

(2.6) *The complex* $\mathcal{F}(I)$. Let I be a subset of $[1, n]$. We will define a complex denoted $\mathcal{F}(I)$.

There is the restriction map, for $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}'$ with $|\mathcal{J}'| = |\mathcal{J}| + 1$,

$$r_{\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}'} : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}) \to \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}') \ .$$

This is a quasi-isomorphism. Let

$$A^{a,p} = \bigoplus_{a = |\mathcal{J}| + 1} \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J})^p \, ,$$

the sum over $\mathcal{J}$ with $a = |\mathcal{J}| + 1$. One has differential $\partial : A^{a,p} \to A^{a,p+1}$. If $\mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{J} \cup \{k\}$, let $\mathcal{J}_{>k} = \{i \in \mathcal{J} | i > k\}$, and $|\mathcal{J}_{>k}|$ be its cardinality. We define a map $r : A^{a,p} \to A^{a+1,p}$ as the sum of the maps

$$(-1)^{|\mathcal{J}_{>k}|} r_{\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}'} : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}) \to \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}')$$

for pairs $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}'$ with $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}'$, $a = |\mathcal{J}| + 1$, $a + 1 = |\mathcal{J}'| + 1$. Then one has $rr = 0$, so that $A^{a,p}$ forms a "double" complex with differentials r, ∂. The total complex $\mathrm{Tot}(A)$ is the complex with differential $d_{\mathcal{F}}$ (also denoted d as long as there is no confusion), which is $r + (-1)^a \partial$ on $A^{a,p}$. Now define

$$\mathcal{F}(I) = (\mathrm{Tot}(A); d) \ . \tag{2.6.a}$$

It has complexes $\mathcal{F}(I,\mathcal{J})[-(|\mathcal{J}|+1)]$ as subquotients. Here recall for a complex $(K^\bullet, d)$, the shift $K^\bullet[1]$ is defined by $(K^\bullet[1])^p = K^{p+1}$ and $d_{K[1]} = -d$.

(2.6.1)**Proposition.** (1) If $|I| = 2$, $\mathcal{F}(I) = \mathcal{F}(I,\emptyset)[-1]$, so there is a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{Z}(A_I)[-1] \to \mathcal{F}(I)$.

(2) If $|I| \geq 3$, then $\mathcal{F}(I)$ is acyclic.

Proof. (1) Obvious.

(2) Follows from the following lemma (apply to $T = \overset{\circ}{I}$ and $\mathcal{J} \mapsto \mathcal{F}(I,\mathcal{J})$).

(2.7) Let T be a non-empty finite set. Suppose to each subset S of T (including the empty set) there corresponds a complex $C_S \in C(Ab)$, and to each inclusion $S \subset S'$ there corresponds a map of complexes $f_{S\,S'} : C_S \to C_{S'}$, satisfying $f_{S\,S} = id$, and $f_{S'\,S''} f_{S\,S'} = f_{S\,S''}$ for $S \subset S' \subset S''$.

We then have a "double" complex

$$0 \to C_\emptyset \to \bigoplus_{|S|=1} C_S \to \bigoplus_{|S|=2} C_S \to \cdots \to C_T \to 0\ .$$

Here the maps are signed sums of the maps $f_{S\,S'}$ with $|S'| = |S|+1$, the signs being specified as in (2.6). We can form its total complex $\mathrm{Tot}(C_S)$. One proves the following lemma by induction on n.

(2.7.1)**Lemma.** *Assume for each $S \subset S'$ the map $f_{S\,S'}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Then $\mathrm{Tot}(C_S)$ is acyclic.*

(2.8) *The complex $\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)$.* Let I be the subset of $[1,n]$, and $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ be a segmentation of I corresponding to $\Sigma \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$. We have the c-tuple complex

$$\mathcal{F}(I\,\overline{\Sigma}) := \mathcal{F}(I_1) \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_c)\ , \tag{2.8.a}$$

which is the tensor product of the simple complexes $\mathcal{F}(I_i)$. One has as a module

$$\mathcal{F}(I\,\overline{\Sigma}) = \oplus \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}\,\overline{\Sigma})\,, \tag{2.8.b}$$

the sum for subsets $\mathcal{J}$ of $\overset{\circ}{I} - \Sigma$; the differential is a signed sum of the maps

$$1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \partial \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}\,\overline{\Sigma}) \to \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}\,\overline{\Sigma})$$

where ∂ is the differential of $\mathcal{F}(I_i, \mathcal{J}_i)$, and the maps

$$1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes r_{\mathcal{J}_i, \mathcal{J}_i'} \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}\,\overline{\Sigma}) \to \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}'\,\overline{\Sigma})\,,$$

for $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}'$ with $|\mathcal{J}'| = |\mathcal{J}| + 1$ (then there is i with $|\mathcal{J}_i'| = |\mathcal{J}_i| + 1$). Note there is a filtration of $\mathcal{F}(I\,\overline{\Sigma})$ by subcomplexes whose successive quotients are direct sums of the c-tuple complexes $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}\,\overline{\Sigma})$. Similarly we have another c-tuple complex $\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)$ which is defined, as the sum of modules, by

$$\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) = \oplus \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma)\,. \tag{2.8.c}$$

It is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{F}(I\,\overline{\Sigma})$, since the map $1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$ takes $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma)$ to itself and the $1 \otimes \cdots \otimes r_{\mathcal{J}_i, \mathcal{J}_i'} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$ takes $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma)$ to $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma)$.

There is a filtration by subcomplexes whose successive quotients are direct sums of the c-tuple complexes $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma)$. We also use the notation $\mathcal{F}(I_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_2)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_c)$ for $\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)$.

(1) The inclusion $\iota : \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I \amalg \Sigma)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. More generally, if $\Sigma \supset T$ and T gives the segmentation $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ of I, then one has a quasi-isomorphic inclusion of c-tuple complexes

$$\iota_{\Sigma/T} : \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(I_1|\Sigma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_c|\Sigma_c) \tag{2.8.d}$$

(2) Recall that $\mathcal{F}(I)$ was defined to be the total complex of a "double" complex. Likewise there is a "double" complex whose total complex is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)$. We explain this in the case $|\Sigma| = 1$.

Let $A^{\bullet\bullet}$, $B^{\bullet\bullet}$ be the "double" complexes as above defining $\mathcal{F}([1, m])$, $\mathcal{F}([m, n])$, respectively. Then the tensor product of them, $A^{\bullet\bullet} \otimes B^{\bullet\bullet}$, is a "quadruple" complex. There is a quasi-isomorphic multiple subcomplex in it, given by

$$A^{a,p}\hat{\otimes}B^{b,q} = \bigoplus_{a=|\mathcal{J}|+1, b=|\mathcal{J}'|+1} \mathcal{F}([1, m], \mathcal{J})^p \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}([m, n], \mathcal{J}')^q \ .$$

Recall from (0.2) that $A^{\bullet\bullet} \times B^{\bullet\bullet}$ is the "double" complex $E^{\bullet\bullet}$ obtained by applying the totalization $\mathrm{Tot}_{13}\,\mathrm{Tot}_{24}$ to the "quadruple" complex $A^{\bullet\bullet} \otimes B^{\bullet\bullet}$; specifically

$$E^{c,r} = \bigoplus_{a+b=c\,, p+q=r} A^{a,p} \otimes B^{b,q}$$

with appropriate differentials r, ∂. Likewise one defines a quasi-isomorphic "double" complex

$$A^{\bullet\bullet}\hat{\times}B^{\bullet\bullet} := \mathrm{Tot}_{13}\,\mathrm{Tot}_{24}(A^{\bullet\bullet}\hat{\otimes}B^{\bullet\bullet})\,.$$

By (0.2) there is an isomorphism of complexes

$$u : \mathcal{F}([1, m])\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}([m, n]) = \mathrm{Tot}(A)\hat{\otimes}\,\mathrm{Tot}(B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Tot}(A^{\bullet\bullet}\hat{\times}B^{\bullet\bullet}) \ .$$

Although the groups on the two sides are identical, the differentials are different, and u is not the identity.

More generally, let $A_1^{\bullet\bullet}, \cdots, A_c^{\bullet\bullet}$ be the "double" complexes for $\mathcal{F}(I_1), \cdots, \mathcal{F}(I_c)$, respectively. Then we have the "$2c$-tuple" tensor product complex $A_1^{\bullet\bullet} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_c^{\bullet\bullet}$, a "double" complex

$$A_1^{\bullet\bullet} \times \cdots \times A_c^{\bullet\bullet} := \mathrm{Tot}_{1,3,\cdots,2c-1}\,\mathrm{Tot}_{2,4,\cdots,2c}(A_1^{\bullet\bullet} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_c^{\bullet\bullet})$$

and its quasi-isomorphic subcomplex

$$A_1^{\bullet\bullet}\hat{\times}\cdots\hat{\times}A_c^{\bullet\bullet} := \mathrm{Tot}_{1,3,\cdots,2c-1}\,\mathrm{Tot}_{2,4,\cdots,2c}(A_1^{\bullet\bullet}\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}A_c^{\bullet\bullet})\,.$$

There is an isomorphism of complexes

$$u : \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) = \mathrm{Tot}(A_1^{\bullet\bullet})\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\,\mathrm{Tot}(A_c^{\bullet\bullet}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Tot}(A_1^{\bullet\bullet}\hat{\times}\cdots\hat{\times}A_c^{\bullet\bullet}) \ .$$

(3) We next define a map of complexes $\rho : \mathcal{F}([1, m])\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}([m, n]) \to \mathcal{F}([1, n])$, which we still call the product map. Let $A^{\bullet\bullet}$, $B^{\bullet\bullet}$, $C^{\bullet\bullet}$ be the "double" complexes as above defining $\mathcal{F}([1, m])$, $\mathcal{F}([m, n])$ and $\mathcal{F}([1, n])$, respectively. The product maps in the previous subsection

$$\rho : \mathcal{F}([1, m], \mathcal{J})\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}([m, n], \mathcal{J}') \to \mathcal{F}([1, n], \mathcal{J} \cup \{m\} \cup \mathcal{J}')$$

give a map of "double" complexes

$$\rho : A^{\bullet\bullet} \hat{\times} B^{\bullet\bullet} \to C^{\bullet\bullet} \ .$$

Namely we can verify that, for $u \in A^{a,p}$ and $v \in B^{b,q}$,

$$\partial\rho(u \otimes v) = \rho(\ (-1)^q \partial u \otimes v + u \otimes \partial v)\ ,$$

with respect to the second differential ∂. Also we have

$$r\rho(u \otimes v) = \rho(\ (-1)^b r(u) \otimes v + u \otimes r(v)\ )\ ,$$

which follows immediately from the definition of r. Taking Tot and using the isomorphism u above we get a map of complexes $\rho : \mathcal{F}([1,m])\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}([m,n]) \to \mathcal{F}([1,n])$; it sends $u \otimes v$, $u \in A^{a,p}$, $v \in B^{b,q}$, to $(-1)^{aq} u \circ v$.

More generally if $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ is a segmentation of $I \subset [1,n]$, and $I_t \cap I_{t+1} = k$, there is the corresponding product map

$$\rho_k : \mathcal{F}(I_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_t)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_{t+1})\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_c) \to \mathcal{F}(I_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_t \cup I_{t+1})\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_c)\ ,$$

or $\rho_k : \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma - \{k\})$. This is defined just as above, changing the order of totalization and tensor product in the factors $\mathcal{F}(I_t)$, $\mathcal{F}(I_{t+1})$, only. Thus

$$\rho_k(\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c) = (-1)^{aq} \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (\alpha_t \circ \alpha_{t+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c \qquad (2.8.e)$$

if $\alpha_t \in \mathcal{F}(I_t, \mathcal{J}_t)^p$, $a = |\mathcal{J}_t| + 1$, and $\alpha_{t+1} \in \mathcal{F}(I_{t+1}, \mathcal{J}_{t+1})^q$, $b = |\mathcal{J}_{t+1}| + 1$. The same notation ρ_k is used for the map (2.4.e) and the above map, so we will avoid possible confusions by specifying which map we mean.

The following diagram commutes (for distinct $k, k' \in \Sigma$):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\rho_k} & \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma - \{k\}) \\ {\scriptstyle \rho_{k'}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_{k'}} \\ \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma - \{k'\}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_k} & \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma - \{k,k'\})\ . \end{array}$$

For $K \subset \Sigma$ let

$$\rho_K : \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma - K) \qquad (2.8.f)$$

be the composition of ρ_k for $k \in K$ in any order. The map ρ_K is compatible with the inclusion $\iota_{\Sigma/T}$, namely the following diagram commutes, where $K_i = K \cap \Sigma_i$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(I_1|\Sigma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_c|\Sigma_c) \\ {\scriptstyle \rho_K}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \otimes\rho_{K_i}} \\ \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma - K) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(I_1 - K_1|\Sigma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_c - K_c|\Sigma_c)\ . \end{array}$$

(4) Recall from (2.4) that for a subset $K \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$ disjoint from $\mathcal{J} \cup \Sigma$, one has the map $\pi_K : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I - K, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma)$. Using this we will produce, for $K \subset \overset{\circ}{I} - \Sigma$, a map of complexes

$$\pi_K : \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I - K|\Sigma)\ . \qquad (2.8.g)$$

Let $\pi_K : \bigoplus \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \bigoplus \mathcal{F}(I-K, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma)$ be the sum of the maps $\pi_K : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I-K, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma)$ for K disjoint from $\mathcal{J}$, and the zero maps on $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma)$ if $K \cap \mathcal{J} \neq \emptyset$. The repeated use of π_K will not lead to a confusion. The map π_K is compatible with the inclusion $\iota_{\Sigma/T}$.

(5) The maps $\rho_{K'}$ and π_K (for K and K' disjoint) commute with each other, namely the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{K'}} & \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma - K') \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_K}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_K} \\ \mathcal{F}(I-K|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{K'}} & \mathcal{F}(I-K-K'|\Sigma - K') \ . \end{array}$$

(6) It follows from (2.6.1) that $\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)$ is acyclic unless $\Sigma = \overset{\circ}{I}$. If $I = [1, n]$ and $I_i = [i, i+1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(I|\overset{\circ}{I}) &= \mathcal{F}(I_1)\hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}(I_{n-1}) \\ &= \mathcal{F}(I_1, \emptyset)[-1]\hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}(I_{n-1}, \emptyset)[-1] \ . \end{aligned}$$

So one has quasi-isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{F}(I|\overset{\circ}{I}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(I_1)\hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}(I_{n-1}) \hookleftarrow \mathcal{Z}(A_{I_1})[-1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Z}(A_{I_{n-1}})[-1] \ .$$

(2.9) *Variant of the bar complex.* We give a variant of the so-called bar complex. Let $n \geq 2$ and assume:

(a) To each sub-interval $I \subset [1, n]$ of cardinality ≥ 2, a complex of abelian groups $(A(I)^\bullet, d_A)$ is assigned.

(b) For a sub-interval I and a segmentation of I into I' and I'', there corresponds a map of complexes $\rho : A(I') \otimes A(I'') \to A(I)$. If I is segmented into three intervals I', I'', I''', then the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(I') \otimes A(I'') \otimes A(I''') & \xrightarrow{\rho \otimes 1} & A(I' \cup I'') \otimes A(I''') \\ {\scriptstyle \rho}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} \\ A(I') \otimes A(I'' \cup I''') & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \rho} & A(I) \ . \end{array}$$

In the following we write $\alpha \cdot \beta$ for $\rho(\alpha \otimes \beta)$.

For a segmentation $(I_1, \cdots, I_c)$ of $[1, n]$, one forms the tensor product of modules $A(I_1) \otimes A(I_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes A(I_c)$. Let

$$B([1, n]) = \bigoplus A(I_1) \otimes A(I_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes A(I_c) \tag{2.9.a}$$

be the sum over all segmentations. Give a grading on $B([1, n])$ by

$$\overline{\deg}(\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c) = \sum (\deg \alpha_i - 1)$$

and give differentials by (put $\epsilon_j = \deg(\alpha_j) - 1$)

$$\bar{d}(\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c) = -\sum (-1)^{\sum_{j>i} \epsilon_j} \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{i-1} \otimes d_A(\alpha_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c \ ,$$

$$\bar{\rho}(\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c) = \sum (-1)^{\sum_{j \geq i} \epsilon_j} \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{i-2} \otimes (\alpha_{i-1} \cdot \alpha_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c \ .$$

One verifies $\bar{d}\bar{d} = 0$, $\bar{\rho}\bar{\rho} = 0$ and $\bar{d}\bar{\rho} + \bar{\rho}\bar{d} = 0$, so that $d_B = \bar{d} + \bar{\rho}$ is a differential. Thus with the grading $\overline{\deg}$ and the differential d_B, the module $B([1,n])$ is a complex; we call it the *bar complex* associated to $(A(I); d_A, \rho)$.

To a subset $\Sigma \subset (1,n)$ there corresponds a segmentation $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ of $[1,n]$. Let

$$A([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}\Sigma) = A(I_1) \otimes A(I_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes A(I_c)\ .$$

Then the above definition can be rewritten

$$B([1,n]) = \bigoplus_{\Sigma} A([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}\Sigma)$$

as a group. The differential $\bar{d}$ is the sum of the maps $\bar{d} : A([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}\Sigma) \to A([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}\Sigma)$, so each $A([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}\Sigma)$ is a complex with respect to $\bar{d}$. In view of the definition of $\bar{d}$, one has an identity of complexes

$$A([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}\Sigma) = A(I_1)[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes A(I_c)[1]\,.$$

The differential $\bar{\rho}$ is the sum of the maps

$$\bar{\rho}_k : A([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}\Sigma) \to A([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}\Sigma - \{k\}) \quad \text{ for } k \in \Sigma\,.$$

The complex $B([1,n])$ is of the form

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} \bigoplus_{|\Sigma|=2} A([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} \bigoplus_{|\Sigma|=1} A([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} A([1,n]) \to 0\,.$$

There is a decreasing filtration F^k of $B([1,n])$ by subcomplexes given by

$$F^k B([1,n]) = \bigoplus_{|\Sigma| \geq k} A([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}\Sigma)\,, \qquad k \geq 0\,.$$

A graded quotient in the filtration Gr_F^k is the direct sum of the complexes $A([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}\Sigma)$ for Σ with $|\Sigma| = k$.

(1) There are quotient complexes of $B([1,n])$ defined as follows. For a subset $S \subset (1,n)$, $\bigoplus_{\Sigma \not\supset S} A([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}\Sigma)$ is a subcomplex of $B([1,n])$; the quotient complex is denoted $B([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}S)$:

$$B([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}S) = \bigoplus_{\Sigma \supset S} A([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}\Sigma) \qquad \text{as a module.} \tag{2.9.b}$$

For $S = \emptyset$, $B([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}\emptyset) = B([1,n])$. For $S = (1,n)$, one has $B([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}(1,n)) = A([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}(1,n)\,)$. If $S \subset S'$ there is a natural surjection of complexes

$$\tau_{S\,S'} : B([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}S) \to B([1,n]\,\overline{\uparrow}S')\ . \tag{2.9.c}$$

If $S \subset S' \subset S''$ then $\tau_{S\,S''} = \tau_{S'\,S''}\tau_{S\,S'}$.

Note the above construction can be applied to any sub-interval $I \subset [1,n]$, with $|I| \geq 2$, in place of $[1,n]$. So one has the complex $B(I)$, the quotient complexes $B(I\,\overline{\uparrow}S)$ for $S \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$, and the maps $\tau_{S\,S'} : B(I\,\overline{\uparrow}S) \to B(I\,\overline{\uparrow}S')$.

(2) If S corresponds to a segmentation $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ of $I = [1,n]$, there is an identity of complexes

$$B(I\,\overline{\uparrow}S) = B(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes B(I_c)\ , \tag{2.9.d}$$

where the right hand side is the usual tensor product of complexes. This is obtained by taking the sum, for $\Sigma \supset S$, of the identities of modules

$$A(I \restriction \Sigma) = A(I_1 \restriction \Sigma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes A(I_c \restriction \Sigma_c)$$

where $\Sigma_i = \Sigma \cap I_i$. One verifies immediately that (2.9.d) is an isomorphism of complexes.

(2.10) *The complex $F(I)$.* The complexes $I \mapsto \mathcal{F}(I)$ in (2.6) almost satisfy the assumptions (a) and (b) at the beginning of the previous subsection; instead of a product map ρ we have only a partially defined product map. But one can define the bar complex in a parallel manner, as we explain now.

Let $I = [1, n]$ (or more generally a subset of $[1, n]$), and let

$$F(I) = F([1, n]) = \bigoplus_{\Sigma} \mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma) \tag{2.10.a}$$

as a group. An element of $\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)$ of multi-degree $(\delta_1, \cdots, \delta_c)$ is a sum of elements $\alpha = \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c$ with $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{F}(I_i)$, $\deg(\alpha_i) = \delta_i$. For such α, let $\epsilon_j = \delta_j - 1$ and $\overline{\deg}(\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c) := \sum \epsilon_j$. Define a map $\bar{d} : \mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma)$ by

$$\bar{d}(\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c) = -\sum_i (-1)^{\sum_{j>i} \epsilon_j} \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{i-1} \otimes d(\alpha_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c \ . \tag{2.10.b}$$

(Since $\mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma)$ is a multiple complex, the i-th differential of α, which equals $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{i-1} \otimes d(\alpha_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c$, is in $\mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma)$.) One has $\bar{d}\bar{d} = 0$, so $\mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma)$ is a complex with respect to $\overline{\deg}$ and $\bar{d}$. Note $(\mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma), \bar{d})$ differs from the complex $\mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma)$ in (2.8) by shift, namely

$$(\mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma), \bar{d}) = \mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma)[c]$$

where $c = |\Sigma| + 1$. We will often write $\mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma)^{\text{shift}}$ for the former to avoid confusion. Let $\bar{d} : F([1, n]) \to F([1, n])$ be the sum of them. For $k \in \Sigma$, define a map $\bar{\rho}_k : \mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma - \{k\})$ by

$$\bar{\rho}_k(\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c) = (-1)^{\sum_{j \geq i} \epsilon_j} \rho_k(\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_c) \ ; \tag{2.10.c}$$

where $k = \mathrm{tm}(I_{i-1})$ and ρ_k is the map in (2.8.e). Let $\bar{\rho} : F([1, n]) \to F([1, n])$ be the sum of $\bar{\rho}_k$. One has $\bar{d}\bar{d} = 0$, $\bar{\rho}\bar{\rho} = 0$ and $\bar{d}\bar{\rho} + \bar{\rho}\bar{d} = 0$, so that

$$d_F := \bar{d} + \bar{\rho} \tag{2.10.d}$$

is a differential on $F([1, n])$.

There is a decreasing filtration F^k of $F([1, n])$ by subcomplexes given by

$$F^k F([1, n]) = \bigoplus_{|\Sigma| \geq k} \mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma) \,, \qquad k \geq 0 \,.$$

A graded quotient in the filtration Gr_F^k is the direct sum of the complexes $\mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma)^{\text{shift}}$ for Σ with $|\Sigma| = k$. Since $\mathcal{F}([1, n]|\Sigma)$ is acyclic unless $\Sigma = \overset{\circ}{I}$, the quotient map $F([1, n]) \to \mathrm{Gr}_F^{n-2} = \mathcal{F}([1, n]|(1, n))^{\text{shift}}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

(1) For $S \subset (1,n)$ one has the corresponding quotient complex $F([1,n]|S)$ such that

$$F([1,n]|S) = \bigoplus_{\Sigma \supset S} \mathcal{F}([1,n] \barwedge \Sigma) \tag{2.10.e}$$

as a module. For $S = \emptyset$, $F([1,n]|\emptyset) = F([1,n])$. For $S = (1,n)$, one has $F([1,n]|(1,n)) = \mathcal{F}([1,n]|(1,n))$. If $S \subset S'$ there is a natural surjection of complexes

$$\sigma_{S\,S'} : F([1,n]|S) \to F([1,n]|S') \ . \tag{2.10.f}$$

If $S \subset S' \subset S''$ then $\sigma_{S\,S''} = \sigma_{S'\,S''}\sigma_{S\,S'}$.

The constructions so far apply to any subset $I \subset [1,n]$, in place of $[1,n]$; one has complexes $F(I|S)$ and maps $\sigma_{S\,,S'}$.

The maps $\sigma_{S\,S'} : F(I|S) \to F(I|S')$ are quasi-isomorphisms. Indeed by considering a filtration F^k as that of $F(I)$, one shows that the quotient map $F(I|S) \to \mathcal{F}(I|\overset{\circ}{I})^{\text{shift}}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

(2) If $S \supset T$, $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ is the corresponding segmentation of I, and $S_i = S \cap I_i$, there is a quasi-isomorphic inclusion of c-tuple complexes

$$\iota_{S/T} : F(I|S) \hookrightarrow F(I_1|S_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_c|S_c)\,. \tag{2.10.g}$$

This is defined as the sum, for $\Sigma \supset S$, of the inclusions $\iota_{\Sigma/T} : \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(I_1|\Sigma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_c|\Sigma_c)$. If $T = \emptyset$, then $\iota_{S/\emptyset} = id$. In the particular case $T = S$, one has a quasi-isomorphic inclusion $\iota_S : F(I|S) \hookrightarrow F(I \barwedge S)$.

The composition of the map $\iota_{S/T}$ followed by

$$\otimes \iota_{S_i} : F(I_1|S_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_c|S_c) \to F(I_1 \barwedge S_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_c \barwedge S_c) = F(I \barwedge S)$$

coincides with ι_S.

The maps $\iota_{S/T}$ and $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ are compatible, namely if $S \subset S'$ and $S'_i = S' \cap I_i$, the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I|S) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{S/T}} & F(I_1|S_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_c|S_c) \\ {\scriptstyle \sigma_{S\,S'}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \otimes \sigma_{S_i\,S'_i}} \\ F(I|S') & \xrightarrow{\iota_{S'/T}} & F(I_1|S'_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_c|S'_c)\ . \end{array}$$

(3) For $S \subset S'$, also define a map

$$\tau_{S\,S'} : F(I \barwedge S) \to F(I \barwedge S') \tag{2.10.h}$$

as follows. First define $\tau_S = \tau_{\emptyset\,S} : F(I) \to F(I \barwedge S)$ as the composition

$$F(I) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\emptyset,S}} F(I|S) \xrightarrow{\iota_S} F(I \barwedge S)\,.$$

For $S \subset S'$, let $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ be the segmentation of I by S, $S'_i = S' \cap I_i$, and

$$\tau_{S\,S'} := \otimes \tau_{S'_i} : F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_c) \to F(I_1 \barwedge S'_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_c \barwedge S'_c) = F(I \barwedge S')\,.$$

One verifies the following two properties (using the properties stated in (2)). If $S \subset S' \subset S''$ then $\tau_{S\,S''} = \tau_{S'\,S''}\tau_{S\,S'}$. The maps $\tau_{S\,S'}$ and $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ are compatible, namely the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I|S) & \xrightarrow{\iota_S} & F(I \barwedge S) \\ {\scriptstyle \sigma_{S\,S'}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{S\,S'}} \\ F(I|S') & \xrightarrow{\iota_{S'}} & F(I \barwedge S')\ . \end{array}$$

(4) For $K \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$ disjoint from S, one has a map of complexes

$$\varphi_K : F(I|S) \to F(I-K|S)$$

given as follows. If K is disjoint from Σ, the map $\pi_K : \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I-K|\Sigma)$ commutes with ρ_k ($k \in \Sigma$), thus also with $\bar{\rho}_k$; likewise π_K commutes with $\bar{d}$. It follows that if we define φ_K to be the sum of $\pi_K : \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I-K|\Sigma)$ for Σ such that $\Sigma \supset S$ and disjoint from K, and the zero map on $\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)$ otherwise, then φ_K commutes with $d_F = \bar{d} + \bar{\rho}$.

The following properties are easily verified. If $K = K' \amalg K''$ then $\varphi_K = \varphi_{K''}\varphi_{K'} : F(I|S) \to F(I-K|S)$. If K and S' are disjoint, the maps $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ and φ_K commute with each other, namely the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I|S) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{S\,S'}} & F(I|S') \\ {\scriptstyle\varphi_K}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\varphi_K} \\ F(I-K|S) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{S\,S'}} & F(I-K|S') \end{array}$$

(2.11) **Proposition.** *The following square commutes in the derived category ($n = |I|$ and $c = |K|$):*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(I|\overset{\circ}{I})[n-1] \\ {\scriptstyle\varphi_K}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi_K} \\ F(I-K) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(I-K|\overset{\circ}{I}-K)[n-c-1] \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are the canonical surjective maps, and the right vertical map is the one defined in (2.4), (6).

(2.12) **Proposition.** *Let R, J be disjoint subsets of $\overset{\circ}{I}$, with J non-empty. Then the following sequence of complexes is exact (the maps are alternating sums of the quotient maps σ)*

$$F(I|R) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bigoplus_{\substack{S\subset J\\|S|=1}} F(I|R\cup S) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bigoplus_{\substack{S\subset J\\|S|=2}} F(I|R\cup S) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \cdots \to F(I|R\cup J) \to 0\ .$$

(2.13)**Proof of Proposition (2.11).** We need two lemmas.

(2.13.1) **Lemma.** *Let $\alpha : A \to C$ and $\beta : B \to C$ be maps of complexes. Define another complex by*

$$F = \mathrm{Cone}(A \oplus B \xrightarrow{(\alpha,-\beta)} C)\,[-1]\,.$$

Let $p : F \to A$ and $q : F \to B$ be the projections. Then the square of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{p} & A \\ {\scriptstyle q}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\alpha} \\ B & \xrightarrow{\beta} & C \end{array}$$

is commutative up to homotopy. Further, if β is a quasi-isomorphism, then p is also a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. By definition there is a distinguished triangle in the homotopy category of complexes

$$F \to A \oplus B \to C \xrightarrow{[1]} .$$

The claim hence follows.

For the next lemma, let p be a positive integer, and assume given a family of complexes $A_{i,j}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, that are zero unless $0 \le i, j \le p$ and $0 \le i + j \le p$. Also given maps of complexes $f : A_{i,j} \to A_{i-1,j}$ and $g : A_{i,j} \to A_{i,j-1}$ such that $fg = gf$ and g are all quasi-isomorphisms. We thus have a commutative diagram of complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 & & & & & & & & & & A_{p,0} \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow f \\
 & & & & & & & & A_{p-1,1} & \xrightarrow{g} & A_{p-1,0} \\
 & & & & & & & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\
 & & & & & & A_{p-2,2} & \xrightarrow{g} & A_{p-2,1} & \xrightarrow{g} & A_{p-2,0} \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & \to & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & & & \downarrow f & & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\
A_{0,p} & \xrightarrow{g} & A_{0,p-1} & \to \cdots \to & & & A_{02} & \xrightarrow{g} & A_{01} & \xrightarrow{g} & A_{00} .
\end{array}$$

Let

$$A_p = \bigoplus_{i+j=p} A_{i,j} , \quad A_{p-1} = \bigoplus_{i+j=p-1} A_{i,j}$$

be the sum of the complexes; there are maps $f, g : A_p \to A_{p-1}$ that are sums of f and g, respectively. We form a complex

$$C_1 := \mathrm{Cone}(f - g : A_p \to A_{p-1})[-1] .$$

There is projection $C_1 \to A_p$, hence also projections from C_1 to $A_{p,0}$ and $A_{0,p}$.

Consider also the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & A_{p,0} \\
 & & \downarrow f \\
A_{0,p} & \xrightarrow{g} & A_{00}
\end{array}$$

where f is the composition of the maps f, $A_{p,0} \to A_{p-1,0} \to \cdots \to A_{00}$, and similarly for g. Let

$$C_2 = \mathrm{Cone}(A_{p,0} \oplus A_{0,p} \xrightarrow{f-g} A_{00})[-1] .$$

There are projections from C_2 to $A_{p,0}$ and $A_{0,p}$.

(2.13.2)**Lemma.** *There is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes $C_1 \to C_2$ compatible with the projections to $A_{p,0}$ and $A_{0,p}$.*

Proof. For simplicity we give the argument in case $p = 2$, the general case being similar. We have

$$C_1 = \mathrm{Cone}\left(A_{20} \oplus A_{02} \to \mathrm{Cone}(A_{11} \to A_{10} \oplus A_{01})\right)[-1] .$$

Since $g : A_{11} \to A_{10}$ is a quasi-isomorphism, the projection $\mathrm{Cone}(A_{11} \to A_{10} \oplus A_{01}) \to A_{01}$ is a quasi-isomorphism by the previous lemma, so the induced map $\mathrm{Cone}(A_{11} \to A_{10} \oplus A_{01}) \to A_{00}$ is also a quasi-isomorphism. This last map induces a quasi-isomorphism $C_1 \to C_2$.

We now prove the commutativity of the diagram in (2.11). We consider the case $K = \overset{\circ}{I}$ and $I = [1, n]$, and discuss later the necessary changes for a general K. Recall that $F(I)$ is the sum $\bigoplus \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma)$ for all $(\mathcal{J}, \Sigma)$ with $\mathcal{J}, \Sigma \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$ and $\mathcal{J} \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$. So there are projections from $F(I)$ to $\mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset|\overset{\circ}{I}) = \mathcal{F}(I|\overset{\circ}{I})[n-1]$ and $\mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset|\emptyset)[n-1] = \mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset)[n-1]$. Let S be the sum of $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma)$ with $(\mathcal{J}, \Sigma)$ satisfying either of following conditions:

- If $\Sigma = [i, n-1]$ for $3 \leq i \leq n$ (so $\Sigma = \emptyset$ if $i = n$), and $\mathcal{J} \cap [2, i-2] \neq \emptyset$ (equivalently, $\mathcal{J} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{J} \neq \{i-1\}$),
- If $\Sigma \neq [i, n-1]$ for $3 \leq i \leq n$, and $\mathcal{J}$ is any subset disjoint from Σ.

Using that σ are quasi-isomorphisms, one easily verifies that S is an acyclic subcomplex. Let $F_1(I)$ be the quotient complex of $F(I)$ by S; the projection $F(I) \to F_1(I)$ is a quasi-isomorphism compatible with projections to $\mathcal{F}(I|\overset{\circ}{I})[n-1]$ and $\mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset)[n-1]$. We may display $F_1(I)$ as follows.



It consists of the two diagonals of a bigger diagram



In other words, it is a triangular diagram of the kind mentioned above where we set

$$A_{i,j} = \mathcal{F}(I, [2+j, n-i-1] \,|\, [n-i, n-1])$$

for $0 \le i, j \le n-2$ and $i+j \le n-2$; the $F_1(I)$ is the complex C_1 associated to this triangular diagram (up to degree shift by 1).

Applying the second lemma, it is quasi-isomorphic to the complex C_2, which is the total complex of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset | [2, n-1]) \\ & & \big\downarrow \rho \\ \mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset) & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{F}(I, [2, n-1]) \ . \end{array}$$

where $\rho = \rho_{[2,n-1]}$ and $r = r_{\emptyset,[2,n-1]}$. We denote the latter by $F_2(I)$. Thus we have quasi-isomorphisms

$$F(I) \to F_1(I) \to F_2(I)$$

compatible with the projections.

By the first lemma, the following square commutes in the derived category

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_2(I) & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{F}(I|\overset{\circ}{I})[n-1] \\ \big\downarrow q & & \big\downarrow \rho \\ \mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset)[n-1] & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{F}(I, \overset{\circ}{I})[n-1] \ . \end{array}$$

One may replace $F_2(I)$ on the upper right corner by $F(I)$, and still gets a commutative square, which is part of the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I) & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{F}(I|\overset{\circ}{I})[n-1] \\ \big\downarrow q & & \big\downarrow \rho \\ \mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset)[n-1] & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{F}(I, \overset{\circ}{I})[n-1] \\ \big\downarrow \pi & & \\ \mathcal{F}(\{1, n\}, \emptyset)[1] & & \end{array}$$

Here $\pi = \pi_{\overset{\circ}{I}} : \mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset)[n-1] \to \mathcal{F}(\{1, n\}, \emptyset)[1]$. Note one has $F(\{1, n\}) = \mathcal{F}(\{1, n\}, \emptyset)[1]$ and the composition of q and π is nothing but the map $\varphi : F(I) \to F(\{1, n\})$. On the other hand, recall that ψ is defined as $\pi r^{-1} \rho$. The assertion hence follows.

In the case K is a subset of $\overset{\circ}{I}$ in general, we argue similarly, and reduce to consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I) & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{F}(I|\overset{\circ}{I})[n-1] \\ \big\downarrow q & & \big\downarrow \rho_K \\ \mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset|\overset{\circ}{I} - K)[n-1] & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{F}(I, K|\overset{\circ}{I} - K)[n-1] \\ \big\downarrow \pi_K & & \\ F(I - K|\overset{\circ}{I} - K) = \ \mathcal{F}(I - K, \emptyset|\overset{\circ}{I} - K)[n-c-1] & & \end{array}$$

This concludes the proof.

(2.14)**Proof of (2.12).** We recall that the complex $F(I|S)$ has a decreasing filtration by subcomplexes F^k, such that $\mathrm{Gr}^k F(I|S)$ is the direct sum of the complexes $\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)$ with $\Sigma \supset S$ and $|S| = k$.

The map $\sigma_{S\,S'} : F(I|S) \to F(I|S')$ respects the filtration, so we can take Gr^k of the sequence. For the term $F(I|R \cup S)$, the group $\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)$ appears in its graded quotient Gr^k if and only if $\Sigma \supset R \cup S$, equivalently, if $S \subset (\Sigma - R) \cap J$. So the graded quotient of the sequence is the sum, for Σ, of the following sequences (where $T := (\Sigma - R) \cap J$):

$$\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bigoplus_{\substack{S\subset T\\ |S|=1}} \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bigoplus_{\substack{S\subset T\\ |S|=2}} \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \cdots \to \mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma) \to 0\ .$$

Here the maps σ are the alternating sums of the identity maps. The acyclicity of this sequence is consequence of the following obvious fact.

Let A be an abelian group, T be a (possibly empty) finite set. For each subset S of T let $A_S = A$ be a copy of A, and for an inclusion $S \subset S'$, let $\alpha_{S\,S'} : A_S \to A_{S'}$ be the identity map. Then the sequence

$$A_\emptyset \to \bigoplus_{|S|=1} A_S \to \bigoplus_{|S|=2} A_S \to \cdots \to A_T \to 0\,,$$

with the maps alternating sums of $\alpha_{S\,S'}$, is exact.

Indeed, if T is empty, the sequence is of the form $A_\emptyset \to 0$, which is trivially exact. If T is non-empty, the sequence is exact even with 0 at left.

(2.15) *The class of symbols over S.* Given a quasi-projective variety S, let $(\mathrm{Smooth}/k\,, \mathrm{Proj}/S)$ be the category of smooth varieties X equipped with projective maps to S. A *symbol* over S is an object the form

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha\in A} (X_\alpha/S, r_\alpha)$$

where X_α is a collection of objects in $(\mathrm{Smooth}/k\,, \mathrm{Proj}/S)$ indexed by a finite set A, and $r_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. The class of objects over S is denoted $Symb(S)$.

For a finite sequence of symbols of the form $(X_i/S, r_i)$, let

$$F\big((X_1/S, r_1), \cdots, (X_n/S, r_n)\big)$$

be the complex $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ with respect to the sequence of dimensions

$$[i, i+1] \mapsto \dim X_{i+1} - r_{i+1} + r_i\ , i = 1, \cdots, n-1.$$

For any sequence of symbols K_i, define the complex $F(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$ by linearity.

We thus have the complexes $F(K_1, \cdots, K_n|S)$, and maps $\sigma_{S\,S'}$, φ_K satisfying the properties as before. The class of objects $Symb(S)$, together with these complexes and maps, still denoted $Symb(S)$. We shall define in [10] the notion of a *quasi DG category*. The content of this paper amounts to the verification that $Symb(S)$ forms a quasi DG category. The axioms for a quasi DG category correspond to the statements (2.10), (2.12) proven in this section and the additional structure - generating set for the complex $F(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$, notion of properly intersecting elements, and distinguished subcomplexes with respect to constraints (see (3.5)) as well as diagonal cycles and diagonal extension (see §4).

Remark. There is the structure of a category on $Symb(S)$ as in [4]. This is not used in this paper, and we refer the reader to [4]for details. Let us only say that the homomorphism group is

$$\mathrm{Hom}((X/S, r), (Y/S, s)\,) = \mathrm{CH}_{\dim Y - s + r}(X \times_S Y)$$

and the composition is to be defined appropriately.

3 Distinguished subcomplexes

In this section, complexes mean multiple complexes, and maps of complexes mean maps of multiple complexes (appropriate totalization may be needed).

(3.1)*Distinguished subcomplexes of* $\bigotimes_{i\in[1,r]} \mathcal{Z}(M_i)$.

(3.1.1) Let M be a smooth variety and $\mathcal{Z}(M,\cdot)$ its cycle complex. Recall that $\mathcal{Z}(M,m)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-free on the set $s\mathcal{Z}(M,m)$ of irreducible non-degenerate admissible cycles. Thus a non-zero element $u \in \mathcal{Z}(M,m)$ can be written as $\sum c_\nu \alpha_\nu$, with c_ν non-zero integers and $\alpha_\nu \in s\mathcal{Z}(M,m)$; we will say that α_ν are the generators that appear in the basis expansion of u. We let

$$s\mathcal{Z}(M) = \amalg_m s\mathcal{Z}(M,m) \tag{3.1.a}$$

and refer to it as the set of generators for $\mathcal{Z}(M)$. (In this section we will use the symbol sC for the generating set of a free complex C.)

(3.1.2)*Proper intersecting property.* Let $(M,Y) = (M_i, Y_i)$ be a sequence of fiberings on $[1,n]$: $M_i \to Y_i \leftarrow M_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$. Recall that we have the subvariety $M_{[1,n]}$ of $M_1 \times \cdots \times M_n$, and the projection $p_i : M_{[1,n]} \to M_i$ for each $i = 1, \cdots, n$. (We may take a sequence of fiberings on a finite ordered set I, but for convenience assume $I = [1,n]$.)

(1) Let A be a subset of $[1,n]$. We define an element $(\alpha_i)_{i\in A} \in \prod_A s\mathcal{Z}(M_i)$ to be *properly intersecting* with respect to (M,Y) on $[1,n]$ if $\{p_i^*\alpha_i\,, \text{faces}\}_{i\in A}$ is a properly intersecting set of cycles in $M_{[1,n]}$. (See (1.7).)

(2) Generalizing this, an element $(u_i)_{i\in A} \in \prod_A \mathcal{Z}(M_i)$ is defined to be properly intersecting if the following condition holds: Let $A' = \{i \in A \mid u_i \neq 0\}$. For any choice of elements $\alpha_i \in s\mathcal{Z}(M_i)$ for $i \in A'$, that appear in the basis expansion of u_i, the element $(\alpha_i)_{i\in A'} \in \prod_{A'} s\mathcal{Z}(M_i)$ is properly intersecting.

The notion of proper intersection is compatible with boundary ∂. Assume $(u_i)_{i\in[1,n]}$ is properly intersecting. For any $i \in [1,n]$ and any element $\beta_\nu \in \mathcal{Z}(M_i)$ appearing in the basis expansion of ∂u_i (namely $\partial u_i = \sum c_{i\nu}\beta_\nu$ with $\beta_\nu \in s\mathcal{Z}(M_i)$), the element obtained by replacing u_i by β_ν,

$$(u_1, \cdots, u_{i-1}, \beta_\nu, u_{i+1}, \cdots, u_n) \in \prod_{[1,n]} \mathcal{Z}(M_i)$$

is properly intersecting. The same holds for a properly intersecting set $(u_i)_{i\in A}$ indexed by $A \subset [1,n]$.

We will need more than sequences of fiberings. Let $T_1, \cdots, T_c$ be a finite sequence of finite ordered sets (each with cardinality ≥ 1). Then $T := T_1 \amalg T_2 \amalg \cdots \amalg T_c$ is a finite ordered set equipped with a partition. (Conversely a finite ordered set with a partition gives a finite sequence of finite ordered sets.) We will assume $T = [1,n]$ for simplicity.

Assume given, for each λ, a sequence of fiberings (M,Y) on T_λ. [Example: If $T = [1,5]$ is partitioned into $[1,3]$ and $[4,5]$, we have two sequences of fiberings

$$M_1 - M_2 - M_3 \qquad M_4 - M_5$$

where each string represents a sequence of fiberings.] We have the variety $M_{T_\lambda} \subset \prod_{i\in T_\lambda} M_i$ associated to the sequence (M,Y) on T_λ, so let

$$M_{[1,n]} = M_{T_1} \times \cdots \times M_{T_c} \subset \prod_{i\in[1,n]} M_i$$

be the product of them, and let $p_i : M_{[1,n]} \to M_i$ be the projections.

For an element $(\alpha_i)_{i\in A} \in \prod_A s\mathcal{Z}(M_i)$ or for $(u_i)_{i\in A} \in \prod_A \mathcal{Z}(M_i)$ (where A is a subset of $[1,n]$), we have the condition of proper intersection with respect to (M,Y) on $\{T_\lambda\}$, defined exactly in the same manner as that with respect to a sequence of fibering's.

Given an ordered set of smooth varieties $M_1, \cdots, M_r$, we will consider a class of subcomplexes of $\mathcal{Z}(M_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_r)$ specified as follows.

(3.1.3)**Definition.** Let $M = (M_i)_{i\in[1,r]}$ be an ordered set of smooth varieties.

(1) A *single condition of constraint* on the M consists of (a)-(d):

(a) An embedding of finite ordered sets $[1,r] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}$, and a partition $\{\mathbb{T}_1, \cdots, \mathbb{T}_c\}$ of $\mathbb{T}$;

(b) A sequence of fiberings (M,Y) on each $\mathbb{T}_\lambda$ (with the varieties M_i as given);

(c) A subset P of $[1,r]$;

(d) A set of elements $f_k \in \mathcal{Z}(M_k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{T}' := \mathbb{T} - [1,r]$, such that $\{f_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{T}'}$ is properly intersecting with respect to (M,Y) on $\{\mathbb{T}_\lambda\}$.

We denote such data by

$$\mathcal{C} = ([1,r] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T} = \cup\mathbb{T}_\lambda; (M,Y) \text{ on } \{\mathbb{T}_\lambda\}\ ; P \subset [1,r]; \{f_k \in \mathcal{Z}(M_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{T}'})\,. \tag{3.1.b}$$

(2) A *(general) condition of constraint* $\mathcal{C}$ on $(M_i)_{i\in[1,r]}$ is a finite set of single conditions of constraint: $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathcal{C}_j\}$.

To explain data (a) and (b) by an example, let $r = 5$, $\mathbb{T} = \{1,2,3,a,b,4,5,c\}$ (elements in this order), with partition $\mathbb{T}_1 = \{1,2\}$, $\mathbb{T}_2 = \{3,a,b,4\}$, and $\mathbb{T}_3 = \{5,c\}$. For (b) one must give three sequences of fiberings

$$M_1 - M_2 \quad M_3 - M_a - M_b - M_4 \quad M_5 - M_c\,.$$

Given a single constraint $\mathcal{C}$, consider the (r-tuple) subcomplex of $\mathcal{Z}(M_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_r)$ generated by $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_r$ with $\alpha_i \in s\mathcal{Z}(M_i)$ (see (3.1.1)) satisfying the condition that

$$\text{the element} \quad (\,\alpha_i\ (i \in P), \quad f_k\ (k \in T)\,) \in \prod_{P\cup\mathbb{T}'} \mathcal{Z}(M_i) \qquad \text{be properly intersecting}$$

with respect to (M,Y) on $\{\mathbb{T}_\lambda \cap [1,r]\}$. We denote it by $[\mathcal{Z}(M_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_r)]_{\mathcal{C}}$. For a general constraint $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathcal{C}_j\}$, we define

$$[\mathcal{Z}(M_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_r)]_{\mathcal{C}} := \bigcap_j [\mathcal{Z}(M_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Z}(M_r)]_{\mathcal{C}_j}$$

the intersection of the subcomplexes associated to each $\mathcal{C}_j$, and call it the *distinguished subcomplex* of $\otimes_{i\in[1,r]}\mathcal{Z}(M_i)$ with respect to $\mathcal{C}$. This terminology is justified by the proposition below.

We note that the class of subcomplexes of the form $[\otimes_{i\in[1,r]}\mathcal{Z}(M_i)]_{\mathcal{C}}$ is closed under taking tensor product and finite intersection.

As a special case of a distinguished subcomplex, assume we are given a sequence of fiberings (M,Y) on $[1,r]$, and a subset $P \subset [1,r]$. As we already considered in (1.7), let C_P be the

subcomplex of $\mathcal{Z}(M_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(M_r)$ generated by $\alpha_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_r$ with $\alpha_i\in s\mathcal{Z}(M_i)$, where $\{\alpha_i\,(i\in P)\}$ is properly intersecting. We verified it to be a distinguished subcomplex in (1.7). One can give an alternative proof using the proposition below, since C_P is the subcomplex associated to a single condition of constraint $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of $[1,r]=\mathbb{T}$ with the trivial partition, the given sequence of fibrerings (M,Y), the given set P, and the empty set for $\mathbb{T}'$.

(3.1.3)**Proposition.** *The subcomplex $[\mathcal{Z}(M_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(M_r)]_{\mathcal{C}}$ associated to $\mathcal{C}$ is a distinguished subcomplex in the sense of (1.6). In particular, the inclusion $[\mathcal{Z}(M_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(M_r)]_{\mathcal{C}}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{Z}(M_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(M_r)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof. For a single constraint $\mathcal{C}$ as in (3.1.b), the subcomplex $[\mathcal{Z}(M_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(M_r)]_{\mathcal{C}}$ is generated by $\alpha_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_r$ with $\alpha_i\in s\mathcal{Z}(M_i)$ such that

$$(\,p_i^*\alpha_i\ (i\in P),\quad M_{\mathbb{T}},\quad f_k\ (k\in\mathbb{T}'),\quad \text{faces}\,)\in\prod_{P\cup\mathbb{T}'}\mathcal{Z}(M_i)$$

(recall $M_{\mathbb{T}}:=M_{\mathbb{T}_1}\times\cdots\times M_{\mathbb{T}_c}$) is properly intersecting in $\prod_{i\in\mathbb{T}}M_i$. By taking as $\{V_j\}$ the properly intersecting set of cycles

$$\{M_{\mathbb{T}},f_k\,(k\in\mathbb{T}')\}$$

and applying (1.6.3), we see it is a distinguished subcomplex.

(3.2)*Distinguished subcomplexes of $\bigotimes_{i\in[1,r]}\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)$.* As in §1, let M be a smooth variety and $\mathcal{U}$ be an open covering of an open set of M; we then have the complex $\mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U})$. We can repeat all of (3.1) for the complex $\mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U})$.

Since $\mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U})=\bigoplus_I\mathcal{Z}(U_I)$ as a module, where I varies over subsets (including the empty set) of the indexing set for $\mathcal{U}$, an element $u\in\mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U})$ can be uniquely written as $\sum_I u_I$ with $u_I\in\mathcal{Z}(U_I)$.

(3.2.1) Since $\mathcal{Z}(U_I)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-free on the set $s\mathcal{Z}(U_I)$, $\mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U})$ is free on the set

$$s\mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U}):=\amalg_I s\mathcal{Z}(U_I)\ . \tag{3.2.a}$$

An element $u\in\mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U})$ can be uniquely expanded as $\sum c_\nu\alpha_\nu$, with non-zero $c_\nu\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha_\nu\in s\mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U})$.

(3.2.2) Let M_i be a sequence of fiberings indexed by $[1,n]$, and let $\mathcal{U}_i$ be a finite covering of an open set $U_i\subset M_i$. We will call such data a sequence of fiberings *equipped with open coverings*, and denote it by $(M,Y,\mathcal{U})$ on $[1,n]$.

(1) Let A be a subset of $[1,n]$, and $(\alpha_i)_{i\in A}\in\prod_{i\in A}s\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)$ be an element. It is said to be properly intersecting with respect to $(M,Y,\mathcal{U})$ on $[1,n]$ if, assuming $\alpha_i\in s\mathcal{Z}((U_i)_{I_i})$ with I_i a subset of the index set of $\mathcal{U}_i$, $\{p_i^*\alpha_i\,,\text{faces}\}_{i\in A}$ is properly intersecting in the set

$$\bigcap_{i\in A}p_i^{-1}(\,(U_i)_{I_i}\,)\subset M_{[1,n]}\,.$$

(2) Generalizing this as in (3.1.2), an element $(u_i)_{i\in A}\in\prod_A\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)$ is defined to be properly intersecting if the following condition holds: Let $A'=\{i\in A\mid u_i\neq 0\}$. For any choice of elements $\alpha_i\in s\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)$ for $i\in A'$, that appear in the basis expansion of u_i, the element $(\alpha_i)_{i\in A'}\in\prod_{A'}s\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)$ is properly intersecting.

More generally, let $T_1, \cdots, T_c$ be a partition of $[1,n]$, and assume given a sequence of fiberings (M,Y) on each T_λ; we will refer to such data as $(M,Y,\mathcal{U})$ on $\{T_\lambda\}$ (the open coverings $\mathcal{U}$ were given from the beginning). As in (3.1.2), we can define the condition of proper intersection with respect to $(M,Y,\mathcal{U})$ on $\{T_\lambda\}$ for elements $(\alpha_i)_{i\in A} \in \prod_{i\in A} s\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)$.

(3.2.3)**Definition.** Let $(M,\mathcal{U})$ be an ordered sequence of smooth varieties equipped with open coverings on $[1,r]$.

(1) A *single condition of constraint* on the $(M,\mathcal{U})$ on $[1,r]$ is data

$$\mathcal{C} = ([1,r] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T} = \cup \mathbb{T}_\lambda; (M,Y,\mathcal{U}) \text{ on } \{\mathbb{T}_\lambda\}\ ; P \subset [1,r]; \{f_k \in \mathcal{Z}(M_k,\mathcal{U}_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{T}'})\,. \qquad (3.2.b)$$

consisting of:

(a) An embedding of finite ordered sets $[1,r] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}$, and a partition $\{\mathbb{T}_1, \cdots, \mathbb{T}_c\}$ of $\mathbb{T}$;

(b) A sequence of fiberings (M,Y) on each $\mathbb{T}_\lambda$;

(c) A subset P of $[1,r]$;

(d) A set of elements $f_k \in \mathcal{Z}(M_k,\mathcal{U}_k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{T}' = \mathbb{T} - [1,r]$, such that $\{f_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{T}'}$ is properly intersecting with respect to $(M,Y,\mathcal{U})$ on $\{\mathbb{T}_\lambda\}$.

(2) A *condition of constraint* $\mathcal{C}$ on $(M,\mathcal{U})$ is a finite set of single conditions of constraint: $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathcal{C}_j\}$.

Given a single condition of constraint (3.2.b) let $[\mathcal{Z}(M_1,\mathcal{U}_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(M_r,\mathcal{U}_r)]_{\mathcal{C}}$ be the (multiple) subcomplex generated by elements $\alpha_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_r$ with $\alpha_i \in s\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)$, satisfying the condition that

$$\text{the element} \quad (\,\alpha_i\ (i\in P), \quad f_k\ (k\in T)\,) \in \prod_{P\cup\mathbb{T}'} \mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i) \qquad \text{be properly intersecting.}$$

For a general constraint $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathcal{C}_j\}$ the corresponding distinguished subcomplex is defined, as in (3.1.2), by taking the intersection of the distinguished subcomplexes with respect to $\mathcal{C}_j$.

Although this is not a distinguished subcomplex in the sense of (1.6), we extend the usage of the name since the proposition below shows it is a quasi-isomorphic subcomplex. As a special case, given a sequence of fiberings equipped with open coverings $(M,Y,\mathcal{U})$ on $[1,r]$, and a subset $P \subset [1,r]$, one has the subcomplex C_P of $\mathcal{Z}(M_1,\mathcal{U}_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(M_r,\mathcal{U}_r)$ generated by $\alpha_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_r$ with $\alpha_i \in s\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)$, where $\{\alpha_i\,(i\in P)\}$ is properly intersecting.

(3.2.4)**Proposition.** *The subcomplex $[\otimes_{i\in[1,r]}\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)]_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a quasi-isomorphic subcomplex of $\otimes_{i\in[1,r]}\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)$.*

Proof. The complex $\mathcal{Z}(M,\mathcal{U}) = \bigoplus_I \mathcal{Z}(U_I)$ has a decreasing filtration by subcomplexes $F^k = \bigoplus_{|I|\geq k}\mathcal{Z}(U_I)$, and a successive quotient Gr_F^k is the sum of the complexes $\mathcal{Z}(U_I)$ with $|I| = k$. Thus

$$\bigotimes_{i\in[1,r]} \mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i) = \bigoplus_{(I_1,\cdots,I_n)} \mathcal{Z}(U_{I_1})\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(U_{I_n})$$

also has a decreasing filtration by subcomplexes

$$F^k = \bigoplus \mathcal{Z}(U_{I_1})\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(U_{I_n})\,,$$

the sum over $(I_1,\cdots,I_r)$ with $\sum|I_i| \geq k$, and a successive quotient is the sum of the complexes $\mathcal{Z}(U_{I_1})\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{Z}(U_{I_n})$ with $\sum|I_i| = k$.

Note that from the definitions we have

$$[\bigotimes_{i\in[1,r]} \mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)]_{\mathcal{C}} = \bigoplus [\bigotimes_{i\in[1,r]} \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}_{I_i})]_{\mathcal{C}}\,.$$

So there is also the filtration F^k on the subcomplex $[\otimes_{i\in[1,r]}\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)]_{\mathcal{C}}$, and the inclusion $[\otimes_{i\in[1,r]}\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)]_{\mathcal{C}} \hookrightarrow \otimes_{i\in[1,r]}\mathcal{Z}(M_i,\mathcal{U}_i)$ respects the filtrations. In successive quotients, we have induced inclusions

$$[\bigotimes_{i\in[1,r]} \mathcal{Z}(U_{I_i})]_{\mathcal{C}} \hookrightarrow \bigotimes_{i\in[1,r]} \mathcal{Z}(U_{I_i})\,.$$

By (3.1.4) applied to $(U_{I_i})_{i\in[1,r]}$, these inclusions are quasi-isomorphisms.

(3.3) In order to transcribe the previous subsections in the setting of §2, we need some preliminaries.

(3.3.1)**Definition.** Let I be a finite ordered set, and let $\{I_\alpha\}$ be a collection of sub-intervals (of cardinality ≥ 2) of I, indexed by a finite set $\mathcal{A}$. We say $\{I_\alpha\}$ is *almost disjoint* if for distinct elements α, β of $\mathcal{A}$, $I_\alpha \cap I_\beta$ consists of at most one element. Then there is a total ordering $<$ on the set $\mathcal{A}$ given by $\alpha < \beta$ if and only if $\mathrm{in}(I_\alpha) < \mathrm{in}(I_\beta)$. When $\alpha < \beta$, we have either I_α and I_β disjoint, or $\mathrm{tm}(I_\alpha) = \mathrm{in}(I_\beta)$.

In case the indexed set is $\mathcal{A} = [1,r]$, the ordering on $\mathcal{A}$ thus defined coincides with the natural one if and only if $\mathrm{tm}(I_j) \leq \mathrm{in}(I_{j+1})$ for each $j = 1,\cdots,r-1$. We will then say that $\{I_1,\cdots,I_r\}$ is *ordered*.

(3.3.2) Let $I_1,\cdots,I_r$ be an ordered almost disjoint set of sub-intervals of I.

(1) The union of I_j is I if and only if $\mathrm{tm}(I_j) = \mathrm{in}(I_{j+1})$ or $\mathrm{tm}(I_j)+1 = \mathrm{in}(I_{j+1})$ for $j = 1,\cdots,r-1$, and $\mathrm{in}(I_1) = \mathrm{in}(I)$, $\mathrm{tm}(I_r) = \mathrm{tm}(I)$. We then say $\{I_j\}$ is a *pseudo-segmentation*. It is a segmentation of I if and only if $\mathrm{tm}(I_j) = \mathrm{in}(I_{j+1})$ for $j = 1,\cdots,r-1$, and $\mathrm{in}(I_1) = \mathrm{in}(I)$, $\mathrm{tm}(I_r) = \mathrm{tm}(I)$.

(2) We have a partition $T_1,\cdots,T_c$ of $[1,r]$ such that each T_λ is a sub-interval maximal with the property that if $j, j+1 \in T_\lambda$, then $I_j \cap I_{j+1} \neq \emptyset$. We refer to $\{T_\lambda\}$ as the partition associated to the almost disjoint set of sub-intervals $\{I_j\}$. [Example: If $r = 5$, and $\mathrm{tm}(I_1) = \mathrm{in}(I_2), \mathrm{tm}(I_2) < \mathrm{in}(I_3), \mathrm{tm}(I_3) < \mathrm{in}(I_4), \mathrm{tm}(I_4) = \mathrm{in}(I_5)$, then $[1,5]$ is partitioned into the sub-intervals $[1,2], \{3\}$, and $[4,5]$.]

If we set

$$I^\lambda = \bigcup_{j\in T_\lambda} I_j, \qquad \lambda = 1,\cdots,s,$$

then $I^1,\cdots,I^s$ is a disjoint set of sub-intervals (it is a partition of I in case $\{I_j\}$ is a pseudo-segmentation), each I^λ segmented into sub-intervals ${I^\lambda}_1,\cdots,{I^\lambda}_{r_\lambda}$, so that the totality of the sub-intervals $\{{I^\lambda}_k\}$ coincides with $\{I_j\}$. (Conversely an ordered, disjoint set of sub-intervals $I^1,\cdots,I^s$, plus a segmentation of each I^λ, gives an almost disjoint set of sub-intervals of I.)

(3.4)*Distinguished subcomplexes of* $\mathcal{F}(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{F}(I_r)$. Assume given a sequence of varieties X_i/S on a finite ordered set I (see §2).

(3.4.1) Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a subset of $\overset{\circ}{I}$. One has the associated smooth variety $M = X_I^{\mathcal{J}}$ and its cycle complex $\mathcal{F}(I,\mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{Z}(X_I^{\mathcal{J}})$. The complex $\mathcal{F}(I,\mathcal{J})$ is free on the set

$$s\mathcal{F}(I,\mathcal{J}) := s\mathcal{Z}(X_I^{\mathcal{J}})\,. \tag{3.4.a}$$

(1) (See §2.) Assume given a segmentation of I into sub-intervals of I, $\{I_1, \cdots, I_r\}$, and a set of subsets $\mathcal{J}_j \subset \overset{\circ}{I}_j$. Then there corresponds a sequence of fiberings on $T = [1, r]$ given by $j \mapsto M_j = X_{I_j}^{\mathcal{J}_j}$, and there are open coverings $\mathcal{U}_j = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}_j)$ on M_j.

(2) More generally, assume given an almost disjoint set of sub-intervals $\{I_1, \cdots, I_r\}$ of I, and subsets $\mathcal{J}_j \subset \overset{\circ}{I}_j$; such data will be denoted by $(X/S; I; \{I_j \supset \mathcal{J}_j\})$. Then one has a partition $T_1, \cdots, T_c$ of $[1, r]$ as in (3.3.2), and on each T_λ we have a sequence of fiberings $(M, Y, \mathcal{U})$; of course this depends only on the union $\cup I_j$.

(3.4.2) Let $(X/S; I; \{I_j \supset \mathcal{J}_j\})$ be as in (2) above, and $(M, Y, \mathcal{U})$ on $\{T_\lambda\}$ be the associated sequence of fiberings. Let A be a subset of $[1, r]$. By (3.2.2), we have the condition of proper intersection for elements

$$(u_j) \in \prod_A \mathcal{Z}(M_j, \mathcal{U}_j) = \prod_A \mathcal{F}(I_j, \mathcal{J}_j)\,.$$

(3.4.3)**Definition.** Let $(X/S; I; \{I_j \supset \mathcal{J}_j\})$ be as in (2) above.

(1) A single condition of constraint on $(X/S; I; \{I_j \supset \mathcal{J}_j\})$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}(I_j, \mathcal{J}_j)$ is data

$$\mathcal{C} = (I \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}; X \text{ on } \mathbb{I}; P; \{f_k \in \mathcal{F}(J_k, \mathcal{J}'_k)\}) \tag{3.4.b}$$

consisting of:

(a) An embedding of finite ordered sets $I \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}$ such that each I_j remains a sub-interval of $\mathbb{I}$;

(b) A sequence of varieties X (over S) on $\mathbb{I}$, extending the given X on I;

(c) A subset P of $[1, r]$;

(d) A collection of sub-intervals $\{J_k\}_{k=1,\cdots,t}$ of $\mathbb{I}$ such that $\{I_j\} \cup \{J_k\}$ is almost disjoint in $\mathbb{I}$, subsets $\mathcal{J}'_k \subset \overset{\circ}{J}_k$, and elements $f_k \in \mathcal{F}(J_k, \mathcal{J}'_k)$ that are properly intersecting (namely (f_k) is properly intersecting).

(2) By a condition of constraint on $(X/S; I; \{I_j \supset \mathcal{J}_j\})$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}(I_j, \mathcal{J}_j)$ we mean a finite set of single conditions of constraints $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathcal{C}_j\}$.

Recall from (3.4.1), (2) that associated to $(X/S; I; \{I_j \supset \mathcal{J}_j\})$ is $(M, Y, \mathcal{U})$ on $\{T_\lambda\}$, in particular a sequence of varieties with open coverings $(M, \mathcal{U})$ on $[1, n]$. A condition of constraint on $(X/S; I; \{I_j \supset \mathcal{J}_j\})$ in the above sense gives a condition of constraint on the corresponding $(M, \mathcal{U})$ on $[1, r]$ in the sense of (3.2.3), as follows. For a single condition of constraint (3.4.b):

(a) Let $\mathbb{T} = [1, r] \amalg [1, t]$, the index set for the intervals $\{I_j\} \cup \{J_k\}$, ordered as in (3.3.1); let $\{\mathbb{T}_\lambda\}$ be the partition of $\mathbb{T}$ associated to $\{I_j\} \cup \{J_k\}$ (see (2) of (3.3.2)). There is an inclusion $[1, r] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}$. [Example. Let $r = 5$, $t = 3$, and $\mathrm{tm}(I_1) = \mathrm{in}(I_2)$, $\mathrm{tm}(I_2) = \mathrm{in}(I_1)$ $\mathrm{tm}(J_1) = \mathrm{in}(J_2)$, $\mathrm{tm}(J_2) = \mathrm{in}(I_3)$, $\mathrm{tm}(I_3) < \mathrm{in}(J_3)$, $\mathrm{tm}(J_3) = \mathrm{in}(I_4)$, $\mathrm{tm}(I_4) = \mathrm{in}(I_5)$. The figure below illustrate this. We have $\mathbb{T} = \{1, 2, 1', 2', 3, 3', 4, 5\}$ in this order, and $\mathbb{T}_1 = \{1, 2, 1', 2', 3\}$, $\mathbb{T}_2 = \{3', 4, 5\}$.]



(b) Applying (3.4.1), (2) to $(X/S; \mathbb{I}; \{I_j \supset \mathcal{J}_j\} \cup \{J_k \supset \mathcal{J}'_k\})$, one obtains a a sequence of fiberings $(M, Y, \mathcal{U})$ on $\{\mathbb{T}_\lambda\}$. Concretely, $(M, Y, \mathcal{U})$ consists of the varieties $M_j = X_{I_j}$ and $M_k = X_{J_k}$ with superscripts $\mathcal{J}_j$ and $\mathcal{J}'_k$, together with open coverings $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}_j)$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}'_k)$, respectively. The corresponding cycle complexes are $\mathcal{F}(I_j, \mathcal{J}_j)$ and $\mathcal{F}(J_k, \mathcal{J}'_k)$.

(c) Take the given set $P \subset [1, r]$.

(d) For $k \in [1, t]$, we are given elements $f_k \in \mathcal{Z}(M_k, \mathcal{U}_k)$, that are properly intersecting.

To a general constraint $\mathcal{C}$ on $(X/S; I; \{I_j \supset \mathcal{J}_j\})$, there corresponds a general constraint on $(M, \mathcal{U})$.

According to (3.2) one has the corresponding distinguished subcomplex

$$[\mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_r, \mathcal{J}_r)]_{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_r, \mathcal{J}_r)\,,$$

called the distinguished subcomplex with respect to $\mathcal{C}$. Recall that it is generated by $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_r$, $\alpha_j \in s\mathcal{F}(I_j, \mathcal{J}_j)$, such that

$$(\alpha_j \ (j \in P), \quad f_k \ (k \in [1, t])\ ) \in \prod_P \mathcal{F}(I_j, \mathcal{J}_j) \times \prod_{[1,t]} \mathcal{F}(J_k, \mathcal{J}'_k)$$

is properly intersecting with respect to $(M, Y, \mathcal{U})$ on $\{\mathbb{T}_\lambda\}$.

As a special case of a distinguished subcomplex, we have $\mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1) \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}(I_r, \mathcal{J}_r)$ as considered in §2.

Remark. In (d) of (3.4.3), one may give elements $f_k \in \mathcal{F}(J_k)$ that are properly intersecting (in the sense to be defined in (3.4.4).) This gives a general condition of constraint as follows. Write $f_k = \sum f_k(\mathcal{J}'_k)$ with $f_k(\mathcal{J}'_k) \in \mathcal{F}(J_k, \mathcal{J}'_k)$. For any choice of subsets $\{\mathcal{J}'_k\}_{k=1,\dots,t}$, we have a single constraint in the sense of (3.4.3). The collection of these, as we take all choices $\{\mathcal{J}'_k\}$, gives us a constraint.

(3.4.4) *Variant for* $\mathcal{F}(I)$. We can repeat the discussion (3.4.1)-(3.4.3) with $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J})$ replaced by $\mathcal{F}(I)$.

The complex $\mathcal{F}(I)$ is the direct sum of submodules $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J})$ for $\mathcal{J} \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$. Thus it is free on the set

$$s\mathcal{F}(I) := \amalg\, s\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J})\,, \tag{3.4.c}$$

the disjoint union of the sets of generators for $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J})$.

Let $\{I_j\}_{j=1,\cdots,r}$ be an almost disjoint set of sub-intervals of I. Let A be a subset of $[1, r]$. An element $(u_j) \in \prod_{j \in A} \mathcal{F}(I_j)$ is said to be properly intersecting with respect to $(X/S; I; \{I_j\})$ if, writing $u_j = \sum u_j(\mathcal{J}_j)$ with $u_j(\mathcal{J}_j) \in \mathcal{F}(I_j, \mathcal{J}_j)$, the element

$$(u_j(\mathcal{J}_j))_{j \in A} \in \prod_A \mathcal{F}(I_j, \mathcal{J}_j)$$

is properly intersecting with respect to $(X/S; I; \{I_j \supset \mathcal{J}_j\})$ in the sense of (3.4.2), for any choice of subsets $\mathcal{J}_j$. Note that the data $(X/S; I; \{I_j\})$ alone does not specify a sequence of fiberings.

A single condition of constraint on $(X/S; I; \{I_j\})$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}(I_j)$ is data

$$\mathcal{C} = (I \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}; X \text{ on } \mathbb{I}; P; \{f_k \in \mathcal{F}(J_k)\}_{k=1,\cdots,t}) \tag{3.4.d}$$

satisfying the same conditions as in (3.4.3) except in (d) one must give properly intersecting elements $f_k \in \mathcal{F}(J_k)$ instead of elements in $\mathcal{F}(J_k, \mathcal{J}'_k)$. A condition of constraint is a finite set of single constraints.

A condition of constraint $\mathcal{C}$ specifies a subcomplex of $\mathcal{F}(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{F}(I_r)$ called the distinguished subcomplex with respect to $\mathcal{C}$. For a single constraint as (3.4.d), the corresponding subcomplex $[\otimes_{j\in[1,r]}\mathcal{F}(I_j)]_{\mathcal{C}}$ is defined to be the submodule generated by $\alpha_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_r$ with $\alpha_j\in s\mathcal{F}(I_j)$ satisfying the condition that

$$(\,\alpha_j\ (j\in P),\quad f_k\ (k\in[1,t]\,)\,)\in\prod_P\mathcal{F}(I_j)\times\prod_{[1,t]}\mathcal{F}(J_k)$$

be properly intersecting with respect to $(X/S;\mathbb{I};\{I_j\}\cup\{J_k\})$. From this definition one has equality

$$[\mathcal{F}(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{F}(I_r)]_{\mathcal{C}}=\bigoplus[\mathcal{F}(I_1,\mathcal{J}_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{F}(I_r,\mathcal{J}_r)]_{\mathcal{C}}\,,\tag{3.4.e}$$

the sum over $(\mathcal{J}_1,\cdots,\mathcal{J}_r)$, of the distinguished subcomplexes with respect to the same $\mathcal{C}$ defined in (3.4.3) (see also Remark at the end of (3.4.3)). The inclusion of a distinguished subcomplex is a quasi-isomorphism.

As a special case of a distinguished subcomplex, we have $\mathcal{F}(I_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_r)$ (see §2).

(3.4.5) *Variant for $\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)$.* We give a further variant, where $\mathcal{F}(I)$ is replaced with $\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)$.

If Σ is a subset of $\overset{\circ}{I}$ and $I_1,\cdots,I_c$ is the corresponding segmentation, recall that $\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)=\mathcal{F}(I_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_c)$ is the subcomplex of $\mathcal{F}(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{F}(I_c)$ with the set of generators

$$s\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma):=\{(\alpha_i)_{i=1,\cdots,c}\in\prod s\mathcal{F}(I_i)|\ (\alpha_i)\quad\text{is properly intersecting.}\,\}.\tag{3.4.f}$$

Assume now $I_1,\cdots,I_r$ is an almost disjoint set of sub-intervals of I, and Σ_j are subsets of $\overset{\circ}{I_j}$; we denote the data by $(X/S;I;\{I_j|\Sigma_j\})$. Each I_j is segmented by Σ_j to $I_{j,1},\cdots,I_{j,s_j}$. Then an element (A is a subset of $[1,r]$)

$$(\alpha_j)_{j\in A}\in\prod_A s\mathcal{F}(I_j|\Sigma_j)\quad\text{with}\quad\alpha_j=(\alpha_{jk})\in\prod_{k\in[1,,s_j]}s\mathcal{F}(I_{jk})$$

is said to be properly intersecting if the element

$$(\alpha_{jk})\in\prod_{j\in A}\prod_k s\mathcal{F}(I_{jk})$$

is properly intersecting with respect to $(X/S;I;\{I_{jk}\})$. Also, as in (3.1), (2), we can define the condition of proper intersection for an element

$$(u_j)_{j\in A}\in\prod_A\mathcal{F}(I_j|\Sigma_j)\,.$$

A single condition of constraint on the $(X/S;I;\{I_j|\Sigma_j\})$ is data

$$\mathcal{C}=(I\hookrightarrow\mathbb{I};X\text{ on }\mathbb{I};P;\{f_k\in\mathcal{F}(J_k|\Sigma'_k)\})\tag{3.4.g}$$

satisfying the same conditions as in (3.4.3) except in (d) one must give sub-intervals J_k such that $\{I_j\}\cup\{J_k\}$ is almost disjoint, subsets $\Sigma'_k\subset\overset{\circ}{J_k}$, and properly intersecting elements $f_k\in\mathcal{F}(J_k|\Sigma'_k)$. A condition of constraint is a finite set of single constraints.

Just as in (3.4.3) or (3.4.4), a condition of constraint specifies the corresponding distinguished subcomplex

$$[\mathcal{F}(I_1|\Sigma_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{F}(I_r|\Sigma_r)]_{\mathcal{C}}\subset\mathcal{F}(I_1|\Sigma_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{F}(I_r|\Sigma_r)\,.$$

(3.5)*Distinguished subcomplexes of* $F(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F(I_r)$. We keep the assumption from the previous subsection that X/S is a sequence of varieties on I. We give a variant of (3.4) for $F(I)$.

(3.5.1) We have $F(I)=\bigoplus\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)$, the sum over subsets Σ of $\overset{\circ}{I}$. So it is $\mathbb{Z}$-free over the set

$$sF(I):=\amalg\, s\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)\,. \tag{3.5.a}$$

(3.5.2) If $\{I_j\}_{j=1,\cdots,r}$ is an almost disjoint set of sub-intervals of I, and $A\subset[1,r]$, we have the notion of proper intersection with respect to $(X/S;I;\{I_j\})$ for an element

$$(u_j)\in\prod_{j\in A}F(I_j)$$

by naturally extending that for an element of $\prod\mathcal{F}(I_j|\Sigma_j)$ in (3.4.5).

(3.5.3)**Definition.** A single condition of constraint on $(X/S;I;\{I_j\})$ with respect to the complexes $F(I_j)$ can be defined as in (3.4.3), except in (d) one must replace $f_k\in\mathcal{F}(J_k,\mathcal{J}'_k)$ with properly intersecting elements $f_k\in F(J_k)$. Thus it is of the form

$$\mathcal{C}=(I\hookrightarrow\mathbb{I};X\text{ on }\mathbb{I};P;\{f_k\in F(J_k)\}_{k=1,\cdots,t})\,.$$

A condition of constraint is a finite set of single constraints.

For a condition of constraint $\mathcal{C}$ one has the associated distinguished subcomplex

$$[F(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F(I_r)]_{\mathcal{C}}\hookrightarrow F(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F(I_r)\,.$$

For a single constraint, it is the subcomplex generated by $\alpha_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_r$ with $\alpha_j\in sF(I_j)$ satisfying the condition that

$$(\,\alpha_j\ (j\in P),\quad f_k\ (k\in[1,t]\,)\,)\in\prod_P F(I_j)\times\prod_{[1,t]}F(J_k)$$

be properly intersecting with respect to $(X/S;\mathbb{I};\{I_j\}\cup\{J_k\})$.

As a special case, let $\{I_j\}$ be a segmentation of I, and let $F(I_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}F(I_r)$ be the subcomplex generated by elements $\alpha_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_r$ with $\alpha_j\in sF(I_j)$ where $(\alpha_j)_{j\in[1,r]}$ is properly intersecting. If S corresponds to the segmentation $\{I_j\}$, then we have

$$F(I_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}F(I_r)=F(I|S)\,. \tag{3.5.b}$$

(where the complex $F(I|S)$ is defined in §2 as the sum of $\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)$ for $\Sigma\supset S$). Indeed the left hand side is generated by properly intersecting elements $\alpha_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_r$ with $\alpha_j\in s\mathcal{F}(I_j|\Sigma_j)$, namely by elements $\alpha\in s\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)$ for $\Sigma\supset S$.

4 The diagonal cycle and the diagonal extension

We keep the notation of §2. In this section I denotes a finite ordered set of cardinality ≥ 2, and we often take $I = [1, n]$ for simplicity.

(4.1) *The diagonal cycles* $\mathbf{\Delta}(I)$. Let X be a smooth variety, projective over S, and $X_i = X$ be a constant sequence of varieties on $I = [1, n]$, $n \geq 2$ (or on a finite ordered set of cardinality ≥ 2). There is the diagonal embedding $\Delta : X \to X \times_S \cdots \times_S X$; denote the image of the fundamental class of X by $\Delta([1, n]) \in \mathcal{Z}(X \times_S \cdots \times_S X)$. Recall that there is a natural quasi-isomorphism

$$\iota : \mathcal{Z}(X \times_S \cdots \times_S X) \to \mathcal{Z}(X^{\emptyset}_{[1,n]}, \{U^{\emptyset}_{[1,n]}\}) = \mathcal{F}([1, n], \emptyset) \ .$$

We use the same $\Delta([1, n])$ to denote its image under this map. It thus consists of $\Delta([1, n])$ in $\mathcal{Z}(X^{\emptyset}_{[1,n]})$, and the zero element in $\mathcal{Z}(U^{\emptyset}_{[1,n]})$. Similarly for a finite ordered set I of cardinality ≥ 2, we have an element $\Delta(I) \in \mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset)^0$ which is a cocycle; viewed as an element of $\mathcal{F}(I)$, it is of degree 1 (of bi-degree $(1, 0)$), and is a cocycle: $\partial(\Delta(I)\,) = 0$.

For a subset $\Sigma \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$, letting $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ be the segmentation of I given by Σ, one verifies immediately that the tensor product

$$\Delta(I|\Sigma) := \Delta(I_1) \otimes \Delta(I_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(I_c) \in \mathcal{F}(I_1, \emptyset) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_c, \emptyset)$$

is in the subcomplex $\mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset|\Sigma)$, namely that $\{\Delta(I_1), \cdots, \Delta(I_c)\}$ is properly intersecting. It is a cocycle of degree c: $\partial(\Delta(I|\Sigma)\,) = 0$. As an element of the complex $\mathcal{F}(I|\Sigma)^{\text{shift}}$ (see (2.10)), its degree is 0. It is easily verified that the elements $\Delta(I)$ are subject to the following properties:

(1) For $k \in \Sigma$, $\rho_k(\Delta(I|\Sigma)\,) = r_k(\Delta(I|\Sigma - \{k\})\,)$ in $\mathcal{F}(I, \{k\}|\Sigma - \{k\})$. Here the map $r_{\mathcal{J},\mathcal{J}'} : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma)$ is written r_k if $\mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{J} \cup \{k\}$.

(2) For $K \subset \overset{\circ}{I} - \Sigma$, $\pi_K(\Delta(I|\Sigma)\,) = \Delta(I - K|\Sigma)$ in $\mathcal{F}(I - K|\Sigma)$.

From the ∂-closedness and (1), it follows that the collection

$$\mathbf{\Delta}(I) := (\Delta(I|\Sigma)\,)_\Sigma \in \bigoplus \mathcal{F}(I, \emptyset|\Sigma) \subset F(I) \tag{4.1.a}$$

is a cocycle of degree 0 in the complex $F(I)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}(\Delta(I|\Sigma)\,) &= -d(\Delta(I|\Sigma)\,) \\ &= -\textstyle\sum_{k\in\Sigma} r_k(\Delta(I|\Sigma)\,) \end{aligned}$$

(the first identity by the definition of $\bar{d}$ in (2.10), and the second identity by $\partial(\Delta(I|\Sigma)\,) = 0$), and

$$\bar{\rho}_k(\Delta(I|\Sigma)\,) = \rho_k(\Delta(I|\Sigma)\,)$$

by the definition of $\bar{\rho}$. From the definition (4.1.a) and (2) above, we have:

(4.2) **Proposition.** *The* 0*-cocycle* $\Delta(I) \in F(I)$ *satisfies:*

(1) If $|I| = 2$*, then* $\mathbf{\Delta}(I) = \Delta(I) \in F(I)$.

(2) If $S \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$*, and* $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ *the corresponding segmentation, one has*

$$\tau_S(\mathbf{\Delta}(I)\,) = \mathbf{\Delta}(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{\Delta}(I_c)$$

in $F(I\restriction S) = F(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F(I_c)$. *(Recall from (2.10) that* $\tau_S : F(I) \to F(I\restriction S)$ *is the composition of* $\sigma_{\emptyset,S} : F(I) \to F(I|S)$ *and* $\iota_S : F(I|S) \to F(I\restriction S)$*.)*

(3) For $K \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$, $\varphi_K(\boldsymbol{\Delta}(I)\,) = \boldsymbol{\Delta}(I-K)$.

Since $\Delta(I)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(I)$ depends on X, we will write $\Delta_X(I)$ for $\Delta(I)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_X(I)$ for $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(I)$. If $|I| = 2$, $\Delta_X(I)$ is the usual diagonal Δ_X.

(4.3) *The diagonal embedding* δ_*. Let X be a sequence of varieties on $I = [1,n]$. Given an element $k \in I$ (we allow $k = 1$ or $k = n$) and an integer $m \geq 1$, let I' be the ordered set

$$\{1,\cdots,k-1,k_1,\cdots,k_m,k+1,\cdots,n\}\ ,$$

where k is repeated m times. There is a natural surjection $\lambda : I' \to I$ which sends k_j to k and is the identity on $I' - \{k_j\}$, so there is an induced sequence of varieties on I'. One has the induced sequence of varieties λ^*X on I', which maps $i \in I' - \{k_j\}$ to X_i and k_j to X_k. For a subset $\mathcal{J}$ of $\overset{\circ}{I}$, recall that we have the variety

$$X_I^{\mathcal{J}} = \prod_{i\in I} X'_i, \quad X'_i = \begin{cases} \bar{X}_i & \text{if } i \notin \mathcal{J}, \\ X_i & \text{if } i \in \mathcal{J}. \end{cases}$$

Similarly for a subset $\mathcal{J}'$ of $\overset{\circ}{I'}$ one has the associated variety $(\lambda^*X)_{I'}^{\mathcal{J}'}$ which is abbreviated to $X_{I'}^{\mathcal{J}'}$. Explicitly,

$$X_{I'}^{\mathcal{J}'} = X'_1\times\cdots\times X'_{k-1}\times(X'_{k_1}\times\cdots\times X'_{k_m})\times X'_{k+1}\times\cdots\times X'_n$$

where if $i \notin \{k_j\}$,

$$X'_i = \begin{cases} \bar{X}_i & \text{if } i \notin \mathcal{J}', \\ X_i & \text{if } i \in \mathcal{J}'. \end{cases}\ ,$$

and X'_{k_j} equals $\bar{X}_k$ if $k_j \notin \mathcal{J}'$, and equals X_k if $k_j \in \mathcal{J}'$.

Assume that the image of $\mathcal{J}'$ by λ is contained in $\mathcal{J}$, and that the induced map $\mathcal{J}' \to \mathcal{J}$ is bijective. Then for an element $i \in I' - \{k_1,\cdots,k_m\} \cong I - \{k\}$, the variety X'_i is the same for $X_I^{\mathcal{J}}$ and for $X_{I'}^{\mathcal{J}'}$, and for each k_j there is an open immersion $X'_k \hookrightarrow X'_{k_j}$. Indeed if $k \notin \mathcal{J}$ and $k_j \notin \mathcal{J}'$, then $X'_k = X'_{k_j} = \bar{X}_k$; if $k \in \mathcal{J}$ and $k_j \in \mathcal{J}'$, $X'_k = X'_{k_j} = X_k$; if $k \in \mathcal{J}$ and $k_j \notin \mathcal{J}'$, then $X'_k = X_k$ and $X'_{k_j} = \bar{X}_k$. Taking the product of them, one obtains a closed immersion $\delta : X_I^{\mathcal{J}} \hookrightarrow X_{I'}^{\mathcal{J}'}$.

Recall to a subset $J \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$ there corresponds a closed set $A_I^{\mathcal{J}} \subset X_I^{\mathcal{J}}$, and $U_I^{\mathcal{J}}$ is its complement.

(4.3.1)**Proposition.** *(1) Let* $\mathcal{J} \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$, $\mathcal{J}' \subset \overset{\circ}{I'}$ *be subsets such that* $\mathcal{J}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{J}$, *and* $J \subset I$, $J' \subset I'$ *be subsets such that* λ *induces a surjection* $J' \to J$. *Then the following square is Cartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_I^{\mathcal{J}} & \hookrightarrow & X_I^{\mathcal{J}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\delta} \\ A_{I'}^{\mathcal{J}'} & \hookrightarrow & X_{I'}^{\mathcal{J}'}\ . \end{array}$$

Thus $\delta^{-1}(U_{I'}^{\mathcal{J}'}) = U_I^{\mathcal{J}}$.

(2) Let $\mathcal{J} \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$, $\mathcal{J}' \subset \overset{\circ}{I'}$ be subsets such that $\mathcal{J}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{J}$. Then the closed immersion δ induces a map of complexes

$$\delta_* : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}) \to \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}') .$$

Proof. (1) Obvious from the definitions.

(2) Let $\{J^0, \cdots, J^r\}$ be the segmentation of I by $\mathcal{J}$. Similarly let $\{J'^0, \cdots, J'^r\}$ be the segmentation of I' by $\mathcal{J}'$. Then one has surjections $J'^i \to J^i$, so by (1), $\delta^{-1}(U^{\mathcal{J}'}_{J'^i}) = U^{\mathcal{J}}_{J^i}$. Thus $\delta^{-1}\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}') = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J})$. By (1.3) there is the induced map of complexes

$$\delta_* : \mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}}_I, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J})\,) \to \mathcal{Z}(X^{\mathcal{J}'}_{I'}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}')\,) .$$

(4.4) *The maps δ_* and $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$.* We keep the notation for I and I' from the previous subsection. Assume that $\mathcal{J}$, Σ are disjoint subsets of $\overset{\circ}{I}$, and $\mathcal{J}'$, Σ' are disjoint subsets of $\overset{\circ}{I'}$, satisfying the following conditions:

- λ induces a bijection $\mathcal{J}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{J}$.
- λ induces a bijection $\Sigma' - \{k_1, \cdots, k_m\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma - \{k\}$. If $1 < k < n$, it is also assumed that λ induces a bijection $\Sigma' \to \Sigma$, in other words, if $k \in \Sigma$, then $\Sigma' \cap \{k_1, \cdots, k_m\} \neq \emptyset$, and if $k \notin \Sigma$, then $\Sigma' \cap \{k_1, \cdots, k_m\} = \emptyset$. (When $k = 1$ or n, $\Sigma' \cap \{k_1, \cdots, k_m\}$ may be empty or not.)

Then we will define a map of complexes

$$\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma') .$$

According to cases (there will be Type (0), (I), and (II)), we will give it the name δ_* or $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$.

(Type 0) Case $\Sigma' \cap \{k_1, \cdots, k_m\} = \emptyset$ ($k = 1, n$ allowed) We have the map $\delta_* : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma')$ given as follows.

First assume $1 < k < n$. If $\Sigma = \Sigma' = \emptyset$, this is the map defined in (4.4.2). In general, let $I_1, \cdots, I_r$ be the segmentation of I by Σ; then k is contained in some $\overset{\circ}{I_i}$. Let $I'_1, \cdots, I'_r$ be the segmentation of I' by Σ'. Then $I'_j = I_j$ for $j \neq i$ and $I'_i \to I_i$ is a surjection. For an element $u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \in \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1)\hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_r, \mathcal{J}_r) = \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma)$, we let

$$\delta_*(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_*(u_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \in \mathcal{F}(I'_1, \mathcal{J}'_1)\hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I'_r, \mathcal{J}'_r)$$

where δ_* on the right hand side is the map $\mathcal{F}(I_i, \mathcal{J}_i) \to \mathcal{F}(I'_i, \mathcal{J}'_i)$ defined in (4.3.1). If $k = 1, n$ and $\Sigma' \cap \{k_1, \cdots, k_m\} = \emptyset$, one defines δ_* in a similar manner.

Note that there are two subcases:

(0-a) Case $k \notin \mathcal{J}$ ($k = 1, n$ allowed). Then $\mathcal{J}'$ as above is uniquely determined.

(0-b) Case $k \neq 1, n$ and $k \in \mathcal{J}$. Then $\mathcal{J}' = (\mathcal{J} - \{k\}) \cup \{k_j\}$ for $j = 1, \cdots, m$. So we write $(\delta_j)_*$ for δ_*.

(4.4.1) **Proposition.** *For the map $\delta_* : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma')$, we have:*
(1) In cases (a) and (b), δ_* commutes with r_ℓ if $\ell \notin \{k\} \cup \mathcal{J} \cup \Sigma$.

(2) If $k \notin \mathcal{J} \cup \Sigma$, then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(I,\mathcal{J}|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\delta_*} & \mathcal{F}(I',\mathcal{J}'|\Sigma') \\ {\scriptstyle r_k}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle r_{k_j}} \\ \mathcal{F}(I,\mathcal{J}\cup\{k\}|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{(\delta_j)_*} & \mathcal{F}(I',\mathcal{J}'\cup\{k_j\}|\Sigma')\ . \end{array}$$

(3) If $k \in \mathcal{J}$ so that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_0 \cup \{k\}$, the following commutes for any $j < j'$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(I,\mathcal{J}|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{(\delta_j)_*} & \mathcal{F}(I',\mathcal{J}_0\cup\{k_j\}|\Sigma') \\ {\scriptstyle (\delta_{j'})_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle r_{k_{j'}}} \\ \mathcal{F}(I',\mathcal{J}_0\cup\{k_{j'}\}|\Sigma') & \xrightarrow{r_{k_j}} & \mathcal{F}(I',\mathcal{J}_0\cup\{k_j,k_{j'}\}|\Sigma')\ . \end{array}$$

(4) For $\ell \in \Sigma$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(I,\mathcal{J}|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\delta_*} & \mathcal{F}(I',\mathcal{J}'|\Sigma') \\ {\scriptstyle \rho_\ell}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_\ell} \\ \mathcal{F}(I,\mathcal{J}\cup\{\ell\}|\Sigma-\{\ell\}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_*} & \mathcal{F}(I',\mathcal{J}'\cup\{\ell\}|\Sigma'-\{\ell\})\ . \end{array}$$

Similarly $(\delta_j)_*$ commutes with ρ_ℓ.

Proof. (1), (2) and (4) are obvious from the definitions.

(3) For simplicity of notation consider the case $\Sigma = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{J} = \{k\}$ (the general case is parallel). Write $k' = k_j$ and $k'' = k_{j'}$. The map $r_{k''}(\delta_j)_*$ is induced by the map of coverings

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}) = \delta^{-1}(\mathcal{U}(\{k'\})\,) \to \delta^{-1}(\mathcal{U}(\{k',k''\})\,)\,.$$

But $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{J}) = \{U^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,k]}, U^{\mathcal{J}}_{[k,n]}\}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{-1}(\mathcal{U}(\{k',k''\})) &= \delta^{-1}\{U^{\mathcal{J}_0\cup\{k',k''\}}_{[1,k']}, U^{\mathcal{J}_0\cup\{k',k''\}}_{[k',k'']}, U^{\mathcal{J}_0\cup\{k',k''\}}_{[k'',n]}\} \\ &= \{U^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,k]}, \emptyset, U^{\mathcal{J}}_{[k,n]}\} \end{aligned}$$

since one clearly has $\delta^{-1}(U^{\mathcal{J}_0\cup\{k',k''\}}_{[k',k'']}) = \emptyset$.

Let $U_0 = U^{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,k]}$, $U_1 = \emptyset$, and $U_2 = U^{\mathcal{J}}_{[k,n]}$. Then $\mathcal{F}(I',\mathcal{J}_0\cup\{k',k''\}) = \bigoplus_J \mathcal{Z}(U_J)$ where J varies over subsets of $\{0,1,2\}$. Then the map in question, composed with the projection to the sum $\bigoplus_{J\not\ni 1}\mathcal{Z}(U_J)$,

$$\mathcal{F}(I,\mathcal{J}|\{k\}) \xrightarrow{r_{k''}(\delta_j)_*} \mathcal{F}(I',\mathcal{J}_0\cup\{k_j,k_{j'}\}|\Sigma') = \bigoplus_J \mathcal{Z}(U_J) \xrightarrow{proj} \bigoplus_{J\not\ni 1}\mathcal{Z}(U_J)$$

is the identity map. The projection to the sum $\bigoplus_{J\ni 1}\mathcal{Z}(U_J)$ is zero by $\delta^{-1}(U^{\mathcal{J}_0\cup\{k',k''\}}_{[k',k'']}) = \emptyset$. The other map $(\delta_{j'})_* r_{k'}$ in the diagram has the same description, so the two maps coincide.

(Type I) Case $k \in \Sigma$ and $|\Sigma'| = |\Sigma|$. Note that $1 < k < n$. One will define a map $\Delta(\Sigma,\Sigma') : \mathcal{F}(I,\mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I',\mathcal{J}'|\Sigma')$. For simplicity assume $\Sigma = \{k\}$, and let I_1, I_2 be the segmentation of I by k. Let $k' := k_j$ be the element in Σ', and I'_1, I'_2 be the segmentation of I' by ℓ, and

$$\delta_1 : X^{\mathcal{J}_1}_{I_1} \hookrightarrow X^{\mathcal{J}'_1}_{I'_1}, \qquad \delta_2 : X^{\mathcal{J}_2}_{I_2} \hookrightarrow X^{\mathcal{J}'_2}_{I'_2}$$

be the embeddings corresponding to the surjections $I'_i \to I_i$. Then the map $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma') : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma')$ is defined by $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')(u_1 \otimes u_2) = \delta_{1*}(u_1) \otimes \delta_{2*}(u_2)$. That the right hand side is indeed in $\mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma')$ is the first assertion of the following lemma, which is immediately verified.

(4.4.2)**Lemma.** *Let u_i be elements in $\mathcal{F}(I_i, \mathcal{J}_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, such that $\{u_1, u_2\}$ is properly intersecting with respect to $(X/S; I; \{I_i \supset \mathcal{J}_i\})$ in the sense of (3.4.2). Then $(\delta_i)_*(u_i) \in \mathcal{F}(I_i, \mathcal{J}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are properly intersecting with respect to $(X/S; I'; \{I'_i \supset \mathcal{J}'_i\})$, and one has*

$$\delta_*(u_1 \circ u_2) = \delta_{1*}(u_1) \circ \delta_{2*}(u_2)$$

in $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J})$.

The following proposition follows from (4.4.1) and (4.4.2).

(4.4.3)**Proposition.** *Assume we are in case (I); let $\Sigma' = (\Sigma - \{k\}) \cup \{k_j\}$.*
(1) $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ commutes with r_ℓ if $\ell \in \overset{\circ}{I} - (\mathcal{J} \cup \Sigma)$.
(2) $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ commutes with ρ_ℓ if $\ell \neq k$.
(3) The following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\Delta(\Sigma,\Sigma')} & \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma') \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_k} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_{k_j}} \\ \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J} \cup \{k\}|\Sigma - \{k\}) & \xrightarrow{(\delta_j)_*} & \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}' \cup \{k_j\}|\Sigma' - \{k_j\}) \ . \end{array}$$

(Type II) Case $k \in \Sigma$ and $|\Sigma'| > |\Sigma|$ ($k = 1, n$ allowed). We will define the map

$$\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma') : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma')$$

as follows.

First consider the case $1 < k < n$. For simplicity assume $\Sigma = \{k\}$, the general case being similar. Let I_1, I_2 be the segmentation of I by k, and $I'_1, \cdots, I'_c$ the segmentation of I' by Σ'. One has $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) = \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}(I_2, \mathcal{J}_2)$, and

$$\mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma') = \mathcal{F}(I'_1, \mathcal{J}'_1) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}(I'_2, \emptyset) \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}(I'_{c-1}, \emptyset) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}(I'_c, \mathcal{J}'_c) \ .$$

Note $I'_2, \cdots, I'_{c-1}$ correspond to constant sequences on X_k. The map $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ is defined by

$$u_1 \otimes u_2 \mapsto \delta_{1*}(u_1) \otimes \Delta(I'_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(I'_{c-1}) \otimes \delta_{2*}(u_2)$$

where $\delta_{1*} : \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1) \to \mathcal{F}(I'_1, \mathcal{J}'_1)$ is the map associated to the surjection $I'_1 \to I_1$, and similarly for the map δ_{2*}. We have used the following lemma.

(4.4.4)**Lemma.** *Let u_i be elements in $\mathcal{F}(I_i, \mathcal{J}_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, such that $\{u_1, u_2\}$ is properly intersecting with respect to $(X/S; I; \{I_i \supset \mathcal{J}_i\})$. Then*

$$\{\delta_{1*}(u_1), \Delta(I'_2), \cdots, \Delta(I'_{c-1}), \delta_{2*}(u_2)\}$$

is properly intersecting with respect to $(X/S; I'; \{I'_i \supset \mathcal{J}'_i\})$ *One has*

$$\delta_{1*}(u_1) \circ \Delta(I'_2) = \bar{\delta}_{1*}(u_1)$$

where $\bar{\delta}_1$ *is associated to the surjection* $I'_1 \cup I'_2 \to I_1$*; similarly for* $\Delta(I'_{c-1}) \circ \delta_{2*}(u_2)$.

Next assume $k = 1$ (the case $k = n$ being similar), and assume for simplicity $\Sigma = \emptyset$. Let $I'_1, \cdots, I'_{c-1}, I'_c$ be the segmentation of I' by Σ'. The surjection $I'_c \to I$ corresponds to a closed immersion $\delta' : X_I^{\mathcal{J}} \hookrightarrow X_{I'_c}^{\mathcal{J}'}$. The map $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ is given by

$$u \mapsto \Delta(I'_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(I'_{c-1}) \otimes \delta'_*(u) \in \mathcal{F}(I'_1, \emptyset)\hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I'_{c-1}, \emptyset)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I'_c, \mathcal{J}') = \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma') \, .$$

For $1 \leq k \leq n$, from the definitions and the above lemma, we have:

(4.4.5) **Proposition.** *Assume we are in case (II).*
(1) $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ *commutes with* r_ℓ*. if* $\ell \neq k$ *for* $\ell \neq k$.
(2) $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ *commutes with* ρ_ℓ *if* $\ell \neq k$.
(3) If $k' = k_j \in \Sigma'$*, the following commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\Delta(\Sigma,\Sigma')} & \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma') \\ {\scriptstyle \Delta(\Sigma,\Sigma'-\{k'\})}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_{k'}} \\ \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma' - \{k'\}) & \xrightarrow{r_{k'}} & \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}' \cup \{k'\}|\Sigma' - \{k'\}) \, . \end{array}$$

(4.4.6) We conclude this subsection by noting of the maps δ_* and $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$. Let $k' \in \{k_1, \cdots, k_m\}$ and $\lambda' : I'' \to I'$ be a map such that λ' is surjective and bijective over $I' - \{k'\}$. Let $\mathcal{J}'', \Sigma''$ be subsets of $\overset{\circ}{I'}$ satisfying the condition at the beginning of (4.4) with respect to $(\mathcal{J}', \Sigma')$. We thus have the map δ_* or $\Delta(\Sigma', \Sigma'')$, $\mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma') \to \mathcal{F}(I'', \mathcal{J}''|\Sigma'')$. Then the composition of the maps

$$\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\delta_*} \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma') \xrightarrow{\delta_*} \mathcal{F}(I'', \mathcal{J}''|\Sigma'')$$

is shown to coincide with the map δ_* associated to $\lambda\lambda' : I'' \to I$. The same for the composition of the maps $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ and $\Delta(\Sigma', \Sigma'')$. The verifications are immediate from the definitions.

(4.5) We shall define a degree preserving map

$$\mathrm{diag} : F(I) \to F(I') \tag{4.5.a}$$

as the sum of the maps δ_* or $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$, $\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma')$ for $(\mathcal{J}, \Sigma)$ and $(\mathcal{J}', \Sigma')$ satisfying the condition at the beginning of (4.4) (the other components are set to be zero). We also use the notation $\mathrm{diag}(I, I')$ or λ^*.

(4.5.1)**Proposition.** *The map* diag *is a map of complexes.*

Proof. One must show $d_F \,\mathrm{diag} = \mathrm{diag}\, d_F$. This follows from the propositions in (4.4) together with examination of the signs, as we will elaborate below.

Recall that $d_F = \bar{d} + \bar{\rho}$, and $\bar{d} = \sum \pm 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (r + (-1)^a \partial) \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$. So d_F is a signed sum of $1 \otimes \cdots \otimes r \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$, $1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$, and ρ. Of these, $1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$ commutes with the map diag. Thus we have only to examine the commutativity of diag and the signed sum of the maps r and ρ.

The composition $d_F \operatorname{diag}$ is the signed sum of the compositions

$$\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma') \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}''|\Sigma'')$$

where $f = \delta_*$ or $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$, and $g = r_k$, ρ_k, or ∂. Specifically, according to the type of f, they consist of the following (we omit the case $g = \partial$):

(Type 0-a) For $f = \delta_*$ of Type (0-a),
$r_\ell\delta_*$ $(\ell \notin \mathcal{J}' \cup \Sigma' \cup \{k_1, \cdots, k_m\})$, $r_{k_j}\delta_*$ $(j = 1, \cdots, m)$, $\rho_\ell\delta_*$ $(\ell \in \Sigma')$.

(Type 0-b) For $f = (\delta_j)_*$ of Type (0-b),
$r_\ell(\delta_j)_*$ $(\ell \notin \mathcal{J}' \cup \Sigma' \cup \{k_1, \cdots, k_m\})$, $r_{k_{j'}}(\delta_j)_*$ $(j' \neq j)$, $\rho_\ell(\delta_j)_*$ $(\ell \in \Sigma')$.

(Type I) For $f = \Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ of Type (I), with $\Sigma' \cap \{k_1, \cdots, k_m\} = \{k_j\}$,
$r_\ell\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ $(\ell \notin \mathcal{J}' \cup \Sigma' \cup \{k_1, \cdots, k_m\})$, $r_{k_i}\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ $(i \neq j)$,
$\rho_\ell\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ $(\ell \in \Sigma' - \{k_j\})$, $\rho_{k_j}\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$.

(Type II) For $f = \Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ of Type (II),
$r_\ell\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ $(\ell \notin \mathcal{J}' \cup \Sigma' \cup \{k_1, \cdots, k_m\})$, $r_{k_i}\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ $(k_i \notin \Sigma')$,
$\rho_\ell\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ $(\ell \in \Sigma' - \{k_1, \cdots, k_m\})$, $\rho_{k_j}\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ $(k_j \in \Sigma')$.

On the other hand, the composition $\operatorname{diag} d_F$ is the signed sum of the compositions

$$\mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}_1|\Sigma_1) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'_1|\Sigma'_1)\,.$$

They consist of (omitting the case $g = \partial$):

(Type 0-a) For $f = \delta_*$ of Type (0-a),
$\delta_* r_\ell$ $(\ell \notin \mathcal{J} \cup \Sigma)$, $\delta_*\rho_\ell$ $(\ell \in \Sigma)$.

(Type 0-b) For $f = (\delta_j)_*$ of Type (0-b),
$(\delta_j)_* r_\ell$ $(\ell \notin \mathcal{J} \cup \Sigma)$, $(\delta_j)_* r_k$ $(j = 1, \cdots, m)$,
$(\delta_j)_*\rho_\ell$ $(\ell \in \Sigma)$, $(\delta_j)_*\rho_k$.

(Type I) For f of Type (I),
$\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')r_\ell$ $(\ell \notin \mathcal{J} \cup \Sigma)$, $\Delta(\Sigma - \{\ell\}, \Sigma')\rho_\ell$ $(\ell \in \Sigma')$.

(Type II) For f of Type (II),
$\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')r_\ell$ $(\ell \notin \mathcal{J} \cup \Sigma)$, $\Delta(\Sigma - \{\ell\}, \Sigma')\rho_\ell$ $(\ell \in \Sigma')$.

(i) Among these, one has identities

$$r_\ell f = f r_\ell \qquad (f = \delta_* \text{ or } \Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')\,)$$

and

$$\rho_\ell f = f \rho_\ell \qquad (f = \delta_* \text{ or } \Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')\,)$$

by (4.4.1), (1), (4) and (4.4.3), (1), (3); one also verifies that the signs assigned to $r_\ell f$ and $f r_\ell$ (resp. $\rho_\ell f$ and $f\rho_\ell$) are equal.

(ii) One has $r_{k_j}\delta_* = (\delta_j)_* r_k$ by (4.4.1), (2). To show that the signs assigned to the two terms are also equal, assume, say, $\Sigma = \emptyset$ (the general case being similar), and let I_1, I_2 be the segmentation of I by k, $\mathcal{J}_i = \mathcal{J} \cap I_i$. Then $r_{k_j}\delta_*$ appears in $d_F \operatorname{diag}$ with the sign $-\{|\mathcal{J}_2|\}$; indeed the sign $\{|\mathcal{J}'_{>k_j}|\} = \{|\mathcal{J}_2|\}$ is assigned to r_{k_j} in defining r (cf. (2.6)), and minus sign is further assigned in defining $\bar{d}$ (cf. (2.10.b)). On the other hand, $(\delta_j)_* r_k$ appears in $\operatorname{diag} d_F$ with the same sign, since $\{|\mathcal{J}_{>k}|\} = \{|\mathcal{J}_2|\}$ is assigned to r_k for r, and minus sign is further assigned for $\bar{d}$. (Recall our notation $\{c\} := (-1)^c$ for an integer c.)

(iii) One has, for $j < j'$, $r_{k_{j'}}(\delta_j)_* = r_{k_j}(\delta_{j'})_*$ by (4.4.1), (3). We show that they appear in d_F diag with *opposite* signs, so they cancel each other. Indeed, when $\Sigma = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_0 \cup \{k\}$, let I_1, I_2 be as above and $\mathcal{J}_i = \mathcal{J} \cap \overset{\circ}{I}_i$. The map $r_{k_{j'}}(\delta_j)_*$ appears with sign $-\{|(\mathcal{J}_0 \cup \{k_j\})_{>k_{j'}}|\} = -\{|\mathcal{J}_2|\}$ while $r_{k_j}(\delta_{j'})_*$ appears with $-\{|(\mathcal{J}_0 \cup \{k_{j'}\})_{>k_j}|\} = -\{|\mathcal{J}_2| + 1\}$.

(iv) By (4.4.3), (2) one has, for $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ of type (I), $\rho_{k_j}\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma') = (\delta_j)_*\rho_k$. We show that they appear with the same signs. Assume $\Sigma = \{k\}$, and let I_1, I_2, $\mathcal{J}_i$ be as above. For $u = u_1 \otimes u_2 \in \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_2, \mathcal{J}_2)$, with $u_1 \in \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1)^p, a = |\mathcal{J}_1| + 1$ (namely of bi-degree (a, p)) and $u_2 \in \mathcal{F}(I_2, \mathcal{J}_2)^q, b = |\mathcal{J}_2| + 1$, recall that $\bar{\rho}_k(u) = (-1)^{aq}u_1 \circ u_2$ by (2.8.e) and (2.10.c). The sign for $\bar{\rho}_{k_j}\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')(u)$ is the same, since $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ preserves the bi-degrees (a, p) and (b, q).

(v) For each map $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma') : \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma')$ of type (I) or (II), and $k_j \notin \Sigma'$, one has by (4.4.5), (3)

$$\rho_{k_j}\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma' \cup \{k_j\}) = r_{k_j}\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')\,.$$

We claim that the two maps appear with *opposite* signs in d_F diag, canceling each other.

We will consider three typical cases (the general case is parallel to one of these cases.) First assume $\Sigma = \{k\}$, $\Sigma' = \{k_{j'}\}$, and $j < j'$. Let $J = [k_j, k_{j'}]$, and I_1, I_2, $\mathcal{J}_i$ be as before. Consider an element $u = u_1 \otimes u_2 \in \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(I_2, \mathcal{J}_2)$, with u_1 of bi-degree (a, p), and u_2 of bi-degree (b, q). Then

$$\Delta(\Sigma, \{k_j, k_{j'}\})(u) = (\delta_1)_*u_1 \otimes \Delta(J) \otimes (\delta_2)_*u_2\,,$$

using the notation introduced where we defined the map $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$. Then $\rho_{k_j}\Delta(\Sigma, \{k_j, k_{j'}\})(u)$ appears with the sign

$$(-1)^{a\cdot 0}\{\epsilon(\Delta(J)) + \epsilon(u_2)\} = \{b + q - 1\}$$

by (2.8.e) and (2.10.c), while $r_{k_j}\Delta(\Sigma, \{k_{j'}\})(u)$ appears with $-\{\epsilon(u_2)\} = -\{b + q - 1\}$.

Second assume $\Sigma = \{k\}$ and $\Sigma' = \{k_{j'}\}$ with $j' < j$. Let $J = [k_{j'}, k_j]$. For $u = u_1 \otimes u_2$ as above, $\Delta(\Sigma, \{k'_j, k_j\})(u) = (\delta_1)_*u_1 \otimes \Delta(J) \otimes (\delta_2)_*u_2$. In this case $\rho_{k_j}\Delta(\Sigma, \{k_{j'}, k_j\})(u)$ appears with sign $\{q\}\{b + q - 1\}$ (since $\Delta(J)$ is of bi-degree $(1, 0)$, the sign $(-1)^{aq}$ for ρ is $(-1)^q$), and $r_{k_j}\Delta(\Sigma, \{k_{j'}\})(u)$ appears with $-\{|\mathcal{J}_2|\} = \{b\}$.

The third case is where $\Sigma = \{k\}$ and $\Sigma' = \{k_{j'}, k_{j''}\}$ and $j' < j < j''$. Then

$$\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma' \cup \{k_j\})(u) = (\delta_1)_*u_1 \otimes \Delta(J_1) \otimes \Delta(J_2) \otimes (\delta_2)_*u_2\,,$$

with $J_1 = [k_{j'}, k_j]$, $J_2 = [k_j, k_{j''}]$. Then $\rho_{k_j}\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma' \cup \{k_j\})(u)$ appears with sign $\{b + q - 1\}$, while $r_{k_j}\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma'\})(u)$ appears with $-\{b + q - 1\}$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

(4.5.2) *Generalization.* Let $\lambda : I' \to I$ be a surjective map of finite ordered sets of cardinality ≥ 2. Given a sequence of varieties X on I, let λ^*X be the induced sequence of varieties on I'. Generalizing the map (4.5.a), we define the map of complexes $\lambda^* = \mathrm{diag} = \mathrm{diag}(I, I') : F(I) \to F(I')$ by writing $\lambda = \lambda_1\lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_r$, with each λ_i satisfying the condition in (4.3), and setting $\lambda^* = \lambda_r^* \cdots \lambda_1^*$. The well-definedness follows from (4.4.6). Note that we have functoriality: If $\lambda' : I'' \to I'$ is another surjective map, then we have $\lambda'^*\lambda^* = (\lambda\lambda')^* : F(I) \to F(I'')$.

(4.6) **Proposition.** *Let $\lambda : I' \to I$ be a surjective map of finite ordered sets. The map* diag $: F(I) \to F(I')$ *is compatible with φ and τ in the following sense.*

(1) Let $\ell \in \overset{\circ}{I'}$. If $\sharp\lambda^{-1}(\lambda(\ell)) = 1$, then $\varphi_{\lambda(\ell)} \operatorname{diag}(I, I') = \operatorname{diag}(I - \{\ell\}, I' - \{\ell\})\varphi_\ell$, namely the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I) & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{diag}(I,I')} & F(I') \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi_{\lambda(\ell)}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_\ell} \\ F(I - \{k\}) & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{diag}(I-\{\ell\},I'-\{\ell\})} & F(I' - \{\ell\})\ . \end{array}$$

If $\sharp\lambda^{-1}(\lambda(\ell)) > 1$, then $\varphi_\ell \operatorname{diag}(I, I') = \operatorname{diag}(I, I' - \{\ell\})$, namely the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I) & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{diag}(I,I')} & F(I') \\ & {\scriptstyle \operatorname{diag}(I,I'-\{\ell\})}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_\ell} \\ & & F(I' - \{\ell\}) \end{array}$$

commutes.

(2) Let $\ell \in \overset{\circ}{I'}$. If $\lambda(\ell) \neq 1, n$, let I_1, I_2 be the segmentation of I by $\lambda(\ell)$, and I'_1, I'_2 be the segmentation of I' by ℓ. One then has a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I) & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{diag}(I,I')} & F(I') \\ {\scriptstyle \tau_{\lambda(\ell)}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_\ell} \\ F(I_1) \otimes F(I_2) & \longrightarrow & F(I'_1) \otimes F(I'_2)\ , \end{array}$$

where the lower horizontal arrow is $\operatorname{diag}(I_1, I'_1) \otimes \operatorname{diag}(I_2, I'_2)$.

If $\lambda(\ell) = 1$ (so that $\lambda^{-1}(1)$ has cardinality ≥ 2), let I'_1, I'_2 be the segmentation of I' by ℓ. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I) & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{diag}(I,I')} & F(I') \\ {\scriptstyle \operatorname{diag}(I,I'_2)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_\ell} \\ F(I'_2) & \longrightarrow & F(I'_1) \otimes F(I'_2)\ . \end{array}$$

The lower horizontal map is $u \mapsto u \otimes \boldsymbol{\Delta}(I'_2)$. (Note I'_2 parametrizes a constant sequence of varieties, so one has the element $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(I'') \in F(I'')$.) Similarly in case $\lambda(\ell) = n$.

Proof. (1) For ℓ with $\sharp\lambda^{-1}(\lambda(\ell)) = 1$, and for the map δ_* in (4.3), it follows immediately from the definitions that the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\delta_*} & \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma') \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_\ell}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_\ell} \\ \mathcal{F}(I - \{\ell\}, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\delta_*} & \mathcal{F}(I' - \{\ell\}, \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma')\ . \end{array}$$

The same holds for the map $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ in (4.4). If $\sharp\lambda^{-1}(\lambda(\ell)) > 1$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\delta_*} & \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma') \\ & {\scriptstyle \delta_*}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_\ell} \\ & & \mathcal{F}(I' - \{\ell\}, \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma')\,. \end{array}$$

The same for the map $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$. The assertion follows from these.

(2) If $\lambda(\ell) \in \Sigma$ and $\ell \in \Sigma'$, then for the map $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$, the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(I, \mathcal{J}|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\Delta(\Sigma,\Sigma')} & \mathcal{F}(I', \mathcal{J}'|\Sigma') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(I_1, \mathcal{J}_1|\Sigma_1) \otimes \mathcal{F}(I_2, \mathcal{J}_2|\Sigma_2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(I'_1, \mathcal{J}'_1|\Sigma'_1) \otimes \mathcal{F}(I'_2, \mathcal{J}'_2|\Sigma'_2) \ . \end{array}$$

Here $\mathcal{J}_i = \mathcal{J} \cap \overset{\circ}{I}_i$, $\Sigma_i = \Sigma \cap \overset{\circ}{I}_i$, and similarly for $\mathcal{J}'_i$ and Σ'_i. The vertical inclusions are the canonical ones, and the lower horizontal arrow is $\Delta(\Sigma_1, \Sigma'_1) \otimes \Delta(\Sigma_2, \Sigma'_2)$. Taking the sum over $\Delta(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ we obtain the claim. The proof for the case $\lambda(\ell) = 1, n$ is similar.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank S. Bloch, B. Kahn, and P. May for helpful discussions.

References.

[1]Bloch, S. : Algebraic cycles and higher K-theory, Adv. in Math. 61 (1986), 267 - 304.

[2]— : The moving lemma for higher Chow groups, J. Alg. Geom. 3 (1994), 537–568.

[3]— : Some notes on elementary properties of higher chow groups, including functoriality properties and cubical chow groups, preprint on Bloch's home page.

[4]Corti, A. and Hanamura, M. : Motivic decomposition and intersection Chow groups I, Duke Math. J. 103 (2000), 459-522.

[5]Fulton, W. : Intersection Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1984.

[6]Hanamura, M. : Mixed motives and algebraic cycles I, Math. Res. Letters 2(1995), 811-821.

[7]— : Mixed motives and algebraic cycles II, Invent. Math. 158(2004), 105-179.

[8]— : Mixed motives and algebraic cycles III, Invent. Math. 158(2004), 105-179. Math. Res. Letters 6(1999), 61-82.

[9]— : Homological and cohomological motives of algebraic varieties, Invent. Math. 142 (2000), 319-349.

[10]— : Quasi DG categories and mixed motivic sheaves, preprint.

Department of Mathematics,
Tohoku University,
Aramaki Aoba-Ku, 980-8587, Sendai, Japan